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PURPOSE. Intraocular stem cell transplantation may be therapeutic for retinal neurodegenerative diseases such as glaucoma via neuronal replacement and/or neuroprotection. However, efficacy is hindered by extremely poor retinal graft integration. The purpose was to identify the major barrier to retinal integration of intravitreally transplanted stem cells, which was hypothesized to include the cellular and/or extracellular matrix (ECM) components of the inner limiting membrane (ILM).

METHODS. Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were cocultured on the vitreal surface of retinal explants. Retinal MSC migration was compared between control explants and explants in which portions of the ILM were removed by mechanical peeling; the inner basal lamina was digested with collagenase; and glial cell reactivity was selectively modulated with α-aminoadipic acid (AAA). In vivo, the MSCs were transplanted after intravitreal AAA or saline injection into glaucomatous rat eyes.

RESULTS. Retinal MSC migration correlated positively with the amount of peeled ILM, whereas enzymatic digestion of the inner basal lamina was robust but did not enhance MSC entry. In contrast, AAA treatment suppressed glial cell reactivity and facilitated a >50-fold increase in MSC migration into retinal explants. In vivo analysis showed that AAA treatment led to a more than fourfold increase in retinal engraftment.

CONCLUSIONS. The results demonstrated that the ECM of the inner basal lamina is neither necessary nor sufficient to prevent migration of transplanted cells into the neural retina. In contrast, glial reactivity was associated with poor graft migration. Targeted disruption of glial reactivity dramatically improved the structural integration of intravitreally transplanted cells. (Invest Ophtalmol Vis Sci. 2010;51:960–970) DOI:10.1167/iovs.09-3884
**Effect of Glial Suppression on Retinal Transplantation**

Table 1. In Vitro Engraftment Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Total MSCs</th>
<th>% MSCs within Retina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Treated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 U Collagenase injected</td>
<td>10,188 ± 1,329</td>
<td>5,930 ± 2,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 U Collagenase injected</td>
<td>9,480 ± 1,306</td>
<td>8,815 ± 806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02 U Collagenase injected</td>
<td>8,255 ± 1,557</td>
<td>9,275 ± 2,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2 U Collagenase in vitro</td>
<td>9,853 ± 0.857</td>
<td>12,075 ± 1,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 U Plasmin in vitro</td>
<td>17,975 ± 1,275</td>
<td>18,213 ± 3,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 μg AAA in vitro</td>
<td>14,895 ± 1,590</td>
<td>24,875 ± 5,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 μg AAA in vitro</td>
<td>14,248 ± 3,454</td>
<td>8,795 ± 4,192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of retinal engraftment by MSCs cocultured on the vitreal surface of retinal explants. Injected, substance was injected intravitreally before explantation and coculture. In vitro, substance was applied to retinal tissue after explantation but before coculture. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

* Unpaired *t* test.
† Mann-Whitney *U* test.

In this study, we systematically assessed the contributions of the inner basal lamina ECM and the retinal glial cell population to the blockade of graft migration after intravitreal transplantation. We demonstrated in vitro that, although mechanical ILM peeling facilitated graft migration, enzymatic degradation of the inner basal lamina alone, without concomitant Müller cell trauma, did not recapitulate the effect. However, treatment with a glia-specific toxin dramatically improved cell integration even when the ILM was fully intact. Furthermore, we confirmed that this latter effect was preserved in vivo, suggesting that suppression of glial reactivity may be a necessary component of future retinal stem cell transplantation therapies.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Adult (8–12-week-old) male Sprague-Dawley rats were housed in light- and temperature-controlled conditions. All procedures were performed in accordance with U.K. Home Office regulations and the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Visual Research.

**Reagents**

Collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was diluted in PBS to achieve the indicated dosages (Table 1). Human plasmin (Sigma-Aldrich) was suspended in PBS to achieve the indicated dosages (Table 1), and the pH was adjusted to 7.4. All substances were added to the RGC surface of retinal explants in a volume of 2 μL or injected intravitreally in a volume of 2 μL of collagenase or 5 μL of AAA. In vivo, AAA was used at a concentration of 100 μg/μL.

**Organotypic Retinal Explant Tissue Culture**

Retinal tissue obtained from adult rats was cultured as previously described.15 We found that the dissection procedure could be varied slightly to preserve or remove the ILM. For ILM preservation, the anterior segment was removed after circumferential incision of the globe 1 mm posterior to the ora serrata, in an attempt to remove the vitreous base (which is the strongest point of adhesion between the vitreous and the ILM) from the posterior eye cup. In contrast, for ILM removal, the globe was incised along the peripheral cornea in an attempt to leave the vitreous base attached to the posterior eye cup. On removal of the vitreous from the posterior eye cup, the ILM tended to be concomitantly peeled off of the surface of the retina, where the vitreous base was preserved while remaining attached to the retina, where the vitreous base had been dissected along with the anterior segment. In all experiments involving enzymatic basal lamina digestion or suppression of glial reactivity, the vitreous was not removed from the retinal tissue. Retinal tissue was cultured RGC side up on culture inserts (Millipore; Millicell Inc., Cork, Ireland) in medium composed of neuronal cell culturing medium (Neurobasal-A), B27 supplement (2%), N2 supplement (1%), l-glutamine (0.8 mM), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 μg/mL) (all components from Invitrogen, Inc., Carlsbad, CA) at 35°C and 5% CO2. Half of the medium was exchanged on day 1 and every second day thereafter.

**Laser-Induced Ocular Hypertension**

Ocular hypertension was induced by using a modification of the method developed by Levkovitch-Verbin et al. Briefly, anesthetized rats were placed in front of a slit lamp equipped with a 532-nm diode laser, which delivered 0.7-W pulses for 0.6 second. Fifty to 60 laser pulses were directed to the trabecular meshwork 360° around the circumference of the left cornea only. The animals were treated twice, 1 week apart. Contralateral fellow eyes served as the untreated control. Intraocular pressure (IOP) was measured bilaterally before and 24 hours after each laser treatment, and then weekly thereafter, with a rebound tonometer (TonoLab; Tiolat Oy, Helsinki, Finland).

**Mesenchymal Stromal Cells**

Mesenchymal stem cells (sometimes referred to as mesenchymal stromal cells, or MSCs) were isolated from the bone marrow of adult transgenic Sprague-Dawley rats, genetically engineered to ubiquitously express GFP, as previously described.21 Briefly, bone marrow was aspirated from the tibia and femur and seeded into plastic culture flasks at a density of 5 × 105 cells/cm2 in DMEM (1 g/L glucose; Invitrogen) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen), penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 μg/mL). After 48 hours, plastic-adherent cells were purified by complete medium exchange. The cells were grown until approximately 80% confluent and then passed as necessary. Previously published assays in which MSCs from the same isolation technique were used have confirmed expression of CD90 and CD44, but not CD34 or CD14.21 Furthermore, we determined these cells to be CD11b negative, but confirmed that they expressed laminin, fibronectin, and collagen IV.

The multipotency of MSCs was confirmed at passages 5 and 13 by differentiating the MSCs in culture medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin. The cells were grown until approximately 80% confluent and then passaged as necessary. Previously published assays in which MSCs from the same isolation technique were used have confirmed expression of CD90 and CD44, but not CD34 or CD14.21 Furthermore, we determined these cells to be CD11b negative, but confirmed that they expressed laminin, fibronectin, and collagen IV.

The multipotency of MSCs was confirmed at passages 5 and 13 by differentiating the MSCs in culture medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin. The cells were grown until approximately 80% confluent and then passaged as necessary. Previously published assays in which MSCs from the same isolation technique were used have confirmed expression of CD90 and CD44, but not CD34 or CD14.21 Furthermore, we determined these cells to be CD11b negative, but confirmed that they expressed laminin, fibronectin, and collagen IV.
MSCs from passages 10 to 12 were cocultured with retinal explants by suspending them in PBS (750 cells/μL) and placing a 2-μL drop on the inner retinal surface. Cocultures were maintained for 7 days. For transplantation into living rats, MSCs were suspended in PBS (10,000 cells/μL) one week before the induction of ocular hypertension, the left eyes of anesthetized rats were treated with topical anesthetic (tetracaine 1%). Intravitreal injections, proximal to the retina, of 3 μL were administered with a 30-gauge needle on a 5-μL syringe (Hamilton, Reno, NV). Care was taken to ensure that the lens was not damaged.

**Immunofluorescence**

Retinal explant cultures were fixed by immersion in 4% PFA for 24 hours at 4°C. Rats were deeply anesthetized and then perfused with 4% PFA, after which their eyes were enucleated and the posterior eye cups were immersion fixed for 24 hours at 4°C. All tissue was cryoprotected in 30% sucrose for 24 hours at 4°C, embedded in OCT, frozen on dry ice, and cryosectioned at 14 μm for explants and 40 μm for in vivo tissue.

Tissue was processed for immunohistochemistry on microscope slides (Superfrost-plus; VWR International, Lutterworth, UK). Sections were blocked with 5% normal goat serum (NGS) and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton-100 in 0.1 M PBS for 90 minutes before incubation with the primary antibody (Supplementary Table S1, http://www.iovs.org/cgi/content/full/51/2/960/DC1) diluted in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Primary antibody binding was detected with appropriate AlexaFluor-conjugated secondary antibodies (Supplementary Table S1) incubated in blocking solution at room temperature for 3 hours. Nuclei were counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Invitrogen, Inc.).

Tissue was visualized with a standard epifluorescence microscope (model DM6000B; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) or a laser scanning confocal microscope (model TCS-SPE; Leica). In all figures, the retina is oriented with the retinal ganglion cell side toward the top of the page and the photoreceptor side toward the bottom of the page.

**Statistical Analysis**

The migratory capacity of MSCs after coculture or transplantation was quantified under direct epifluorescence visualization in sections stained with DAPI plus anti-GFP and anti-laminin antibodies, which permitted simultaneous visualization of grafted cells within and outside of the retinal tissue. For retinal explants, the number of MSCs outside of the retina, within the retinal ganglion cell layer, the inner nuclear layer, the inner plexiform layer, and the outer nuclear layer, were quantified for every 10th section, to estimate the total number of MSCs in each retinal layer per explant. For posterior eye cups, MSCs that had clearly migrated into the retina were quantified in every 10th section and averaged to estimate the total number of MSCs in each section. At least four samples were counted per group. Statistical tests were as follows: linear regression analyses and unpaired Student's t-tests (Excel; Microsoft Corp, Redmond, WA); nonlinear regression analyses (SigmaPlot; Systat Software, Inc, San Jose, CA); and nonparametric Mann-Whitney U tests, to compare the percentage of MSC migrating into retinal explants (SPSS; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM.

**RESULTS**

**Effect of Mechanical Peeling of the ILM on Retinal Graft Migration**

The inability of intracellular cell transplants to migrate into adult retinal tissue is well established. Considering that intravitreal cell graft migration appears to be arrested at the ILM, we assessed whether removal of the ILM itself, including any inhibitory factors within that microenvironment, would facilitate graft penetration into the neural retina. Using an established organotypic tissue culture system, we cultured retinal tissue from adult rats with the RGC side facing up (n = 16).

During the dissection process, various proportions of the ILM were mechanically peeled away, along with the vitreous body from some retinal explants (see the Methods section). Attempts were made to peel large amounts of ILM from approximately half of the explants and to preserve most of the ILM in the other half to produce samples with differing ILM preservation. ILM preservation was quantified retrospectively by laminin and/or collagen IV immunohistochemistry (Fig. 1A).

Microscopic analysis revealed that removal of the basal lamina (immunoreactive for laminin and collagen IV) could be achieved while consistently preserving GFAP and vimentin astrocyte/Müller glial endfoot immunoreactivity within the nerve fiber layer of the remaining retinal tissue (Figs. 1C, 1E). As such, the cleavage plane was most likely between the nerve fiber layer and the basal lamina of the ILM. Linear quantification indicated that the retinal explants possessed various levels of residual ILM ranging from 33% to 100% coverage of the retinal surface.

After 1 day of culture, 1500 MSCs were added to the surface of each retinal explant, and the coculture was maintained for 7 days. At that point, an average of 7363 ± 924 GFP-positive MSCs were present in each coculture, indicating that the grafted cells not only survived, but also proliferated. The total number of remaining MSCs after 7 days in coculture correlated positively (P < 0.05) with ILM preservation (Fig. 1F), suggesting that the presence of ILM promoted MSC survival and/or proliferation. In addition, we found that MSCs predominantly remained outside the ILM where it was preserved (Fig. 1B), whereas the removal of the ILM was associated with significant MSC migration into the retinal tissue (P < 0.05; Figs. 1C, 1G). Normalization of the number of engrafted MSCs to total MSCs per explant improved this correlation (Fig. 1H, P < 0.01).

Furthermore, there appeared to be an exponential increase in the percentage of engrafted MSCs as ILM was removed (Fig. 1H).

We also observed that integration of transplanted cells was associated with a general reduction in glial reactivity, as evidenced by a downregulation of GFAP (Fig. 1D, 1E), vimentin, and nestin immunoreactivity in some explants. Most notably, GFAP immunoreactivity was abolished from Müller cell bodies, leaving GFAP exclusively in the nerve fiber layer throughout the entirety of the four explants that underwent extensive ILM peeling (42% ± 5% residual ILM coverage; Fig. 1E, Supplementary Figs. S1E–H, http://www.iovs.org/cgi/content/full/51/2/960/DC1). Reduced GFAP immunoreactivity was seen throughout the tissue, even in areas where ILM was preserved (Supplementary Figs. S1I–L). In contrast, GFAP immunoreactivity was high in Müller cells within the inner plexiform layer, inner nuclear layer, and outer plexiform layer in the other explants that retained more ILM (86% ± 3% residual ILM coverage; Fig. 1D, Supplementary Figs. S1A–D). The reason for the reduced GFAP expression in explants that underwent the greatest amount of ILM peeling is unclear. We speculate that this effect may have been caused by the breakage and removal of Müller glial endfeet during ILM peeling, leading to explant trauma in an in vitro system within which glial reactivity and/or health was unable to recover. Note, however, that of the 16 retinal explants assessed, the four that exhibited this highly disrupted pattern of glia-related protein expression were also the most permissive of MSC engraftment (Fig. 1I, P = 0.001).

Thus, whereas ILM removal appeared to be associated with improved MSC engraftment in vitro, it is unclear whether this result was due to removal of the inner basal lamina or the effect on cellular components of the ILM which manifested as reduced glial reactivity, or both. Therefore, we then went on to assess the importance of these two factors on stem cell engraftment independently.
Effect of Disruption of the Inner Basal Lamina on Retinal Graft Migration

To determine whether disruption of the basal lamina in the absence of Müller cell injury could facilitate migration of grafted cells into the retina, we used the proteolytic enzyme collagenase, which has been shown to effectively degrade the ILM in the chick retina.22–24 To determine an effective dose, we treated explants with various concentrations of collagenase and then assessed ILM integrity. The effects of 0.2, 0.1, 0.05, 0.02, and 0.01 U collagenase in 2 µL of PBS placed on the inner surface of retinal explants were indistinguishable. At all doses, collagenase caused small disruptions to appear in the basal lamina as early as 2 days (not shown). This result was in contrast to untreated explants in which the ILM was uniformly continuous (Figs. 2A, 2E).

The effect of basal lamina disruption on MSC migration was investigated in vitro by coculturing MSCs on retinal explants 4 days after collagenase treatment. Compared with the controls, treatment with 0.2 U collagenase did not affect MSC proliferation or viability and did not enhance MSC migration into the host retina. Nevertheless, MSC migration into the host tissue was robust and, in some cases, glial reactivity was significantly reduced (E). Quantification of total MSCs in each coculture (F), the absolute number of MSCs that had migrated into the host retinal tissue (G), and the percentage of MSCs that had migrated into the host retinal tissue (H) after 7 days of coculture demonstrated a significant correlation with the percentage of intact ILM for each parameter. (I) Explants exhibiting very low levels of glial reactivity throughout the Müller cell bodies (F-H, ●) were more permissive to cocultured MSC engraftment than explants that exhibited high GFAP reactivity (F-H, ●). Blue: DAPI. Scale bars: (A) 500 µm; (B) 100 µm. ***p = 0.001; Mann-Whitney U test.

**FIGURE 1.** Mechanical disruption of the ILM enhanced retinal stem cell engraftment. The ILM of retinal explant cultures, visualized by immunoreactivity for laminin, was mechanically peeled (A) or left intact before coculture with MSCs (green) on the vitreal surface. Arrows: intact ILM; arrowheads: areas of the retina where ILM was removed. In the presence of an intact ILM (B, D), MSC migration into the host tissue was minimal, and glial reactivity, visualized by immunoreactivity for GFAP, was generally high (D). Conversely, in the absence of an intact ILM (C, E), MSC migration into the host tissue was robust and, in some cases, glial reactivity was significantly reduced (E). Quantification of total MSCs in each coculture (F), the absolute number of MSCs that had migrated into the host retina (G), and the percentage of MSCs that had migrated into the host retinal tissue (H) after 7 days of coculture demonstrated a significant correlation with the percentage of intact ILM for each parameter. (I) Explants exhibiting very low levels of glial reactivity throughout the Müller cell bodies (F-H, ●) were more permissive to cocultured MSC engraftment than explants that exhibited high GFAP reactivity (F-H, ●). Blue: DAPI. Scale bars: (A) 500 µm; (B) 100 µm. ***p = 0.001; Mann-Whitney U test.
Laminin and collagen IV labeling revealed greater disruption of basal laminar integrity in collagenase-treated explants (Figs. 2J, 2L), compared with control (Figs. 2I, 2K). In addition, nestin/laminin double-labeling (blue/red, respectively) confirmed that collagenase treatment compromised the integrity of the ILM to the extent that Müller cell and/or astrocyte processes were able to breach the structure and make contact with the grafted MSCs directly, such that Müller glia endfeet formed a continuous barrier against the MSC bolus, and the ILM was no longer in contact with the graft (Figs. 2J, 2L). This clearly demonstrates that the basement membrane is unnecessary for blockade of graft migration into the retina and also had no effect on the proliferation or migration of cocultured MSCs (Table 1).

Finally, we investigated whether collagenase could modulate the integrity of the basal lamina in vivo. After intravitreal injection, collagenase digested components of the ocular blood vessels causing hemorrhage, an effect that does not occur in the avascular chick retina but which is known to occur after intracerebral injection and has been used to model stroke in rodents.26,27 A dose of 0.2 U collagenase produced widespread vitreal and subretinal hemorrhaging within 24 hours (Figs. 3A, 3B), whereas doses of 0.05 and 0.02 U caused occasional, localized subretinal bleeding (not shown). All doses produced similar disruption of ILM integrity with occasional discontinuities observed in the basement membrane, as confirmed by both collagen IV and laminin immunohistochemistry (Figs. 3C–E). To test whether this ILM disruption was sufficient to

**Figure 2.** In vitro digestion of the ILM with collagenase did not enhance retinal stem cell engraftment. Retinal explants were treated in vitro with the indicated collagenase doses. Immunohistochemical analysis 5 days later revealed that collagenase treatment caused significant disruption of the ILM (arrowheads: discontinuities; red: laminin, A–D; collagen IV, E–H). Coculture of MSCs (green) on the RGC surface of explants demonstrated no difference in proliferation (M) or retinal engraftment (N) induced by collagenase treatment (J, L) compared to PBS-treated explants (I, K). However, collagenase pretreatment followed by MSC coculture resulted in the protrusion of Müller cell processes (stained for nestin in blue) through the ILM (stained for laminin [I, J] or collagen IV [K, L] in red), forming a continuous barrier against the MSC graft. (A–H) Blue, DAPI; (I–L) white, DAPI. Scale bar, 100 μm.
permit integration of stem cells, explants were made from retinas 7 days after intravitreal collagenase injection and cocultured with MSCs. Despite some observed disruption to the ILM after in vivo collagenase, no change in either MSC proliferation/survival or retinal integration was observed (Table 1; Figs. 3F, 3G).

Effect of Attenuation of Glial Reactivity on Retinal Graft Migration In Vitro

\( \alpha \)-Aminoacidic acid (AAA) is a glutamate analogue that is selectively gliotoxic. Within the retina, AAA has been used for the specific destruction or transient impairment of Müller cell function without direct effects on neuronal populations. To determine whether targeted disruption of glial activity would enhance the integration of cocultured cells, we treated explants with 2\( \mu \)L of 100 \( \mu \)g/\( \mu \)L AAA (6 hours after isolation), and 24 hours later MSCs were cocultured on the inner retinal surface. After 7 days of coculture, AAA-treated explants demonstrated no difference in MSC proliferation (F) or retinal engraftment (G) induced by prior in vivo collagenase treatment. (F, G) Representative of similar results obtained with three different doses of collagenase. Blue: DAPI. Scale bar, 100 \( \mu \)m.

AAA-mediated suppression of glial reactivity was associated with a marked increase in the percentage of MSCs that migrated into the retinal explant tissue (39.3% ± 11.4% in AAA-treated explants versus 0.8% ± 0.4% in control explants, \( P < 0.001 \), Table 1, Fig. 5). Of interest, there were approximately twice as many MSCs in both the retinal ganglion cell layer and the inner nuclear layer compared with the inner plexiform layer (Fig. 5C). In contrast, almost no MSCs could be found in the outer nuclear layer. In fact, in many instances an abrupt discontinuation of MSC migration was observed at the inner boundary of the ONL (Fig. 4). In addition, we noted a prefer-

**FIGURE 3.** In vivo digestion of the ILM with collagenase did not enhance retinal stem cell engraftment. Profuse intraocular hemorrhaging was observed in eyes 24 hours after intravitreal injection of 0.2 U collagenase (B). The posterior eye cup of a PBS-treated eye is shown for comparison (A). Immunohistochemistry for laminin (red, C–E) demonstrated the disruption of ILM structure in collagenase-treated eyes (arrowheads: discontinuities). Coculture of MSCs on the RGC surface of explants demonstrated no difference in MSC proliferation (F) or retinal engraftment (G) induced by prior in vivo collagenase treatment. (F, G) Representative of similar results obtained with three different doses of collagenase. Blue: DAPI. Scale bar, 100 \( \mu \)m.

**FIGURE 4.** Treatment of retinal explants with AAA suppressed glial reactivity elicited by stem cell coculture. MSCs (green) were placed on the RGC surface 24 hours after treatment of the explant with either PBS (A, C, E) or AAA (B, D, F), maintained in coculture for 7 days and subsequently processed for immunohistochemistry. Compared with controls, AAA treatment resulted in the downregulation of GFAP (A, B, red), abolition of nestin expression (C, D, red), and disruption of the pattern of vimentin expression (E, F, red). Blue: DAPI. Scale bar, 100 \( \mu \)m.
more than 300% increase in the number of MSCs that had migrated into the retina (31.4 ± 6.0 cells/section for AAA-treated eyes vs. 7.6 ± 1.5 cells/section for vehicle-treated eyes, Figs. 6C, 6G–I; *P < 0.05). This result equated to retinal engraftment of approximately 10% of the intravitreally transplanted cells in AAA-treated glaucomatous eyes. Co-labeling of GFP and ED1, a marker of macrophages/monocytes, confirmed that the GFP+ cells were not macrophages falsely identified as MSCs, because of GFP phagocytosis (Figs. 6G, 6H).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we used in vitro and in vivo methods to investigate the barriers to the migration of intravitreal cell grafts. We used MSCs in these experiments, as these cells are currently attracting much attention as a potential therapy for CNS diseases, because of their neuroprotective properties,29–31 their ability to home to degenerating tissue,32 and their possible, though controversial, neural transdifferentiation potential.33 Since migration from the vitreous cavity into the neural retina is an early event that is likely to be important in stem cell therapy that provides both neural regeneration and neuroprotection, we focused the present study on cellular migration rather than on more specific events such as neural differentiation. We found that the ECM of the inner basal lamina is neither necessary nor sufficient to prevent retinal engraftment of stem cells but that reactive glial processes appear to play a dominant role in this process. Furthermore, we found that exogenous manipulation of the inhibitory environment can overcome inhibition of transplant migration and propose that suppression of glial reactivity will be a necessary component of intraocular stem cell transplantation therapies in the future. This is a major step forward in the development of cell therapies for retinal disease, as suboptimal graft integration has been a major stumbling block to date. As glial reactivity is a ubiquitous phenomenon throughout the CNS, our results are likely also to apply to potential cell-based therapies for a range of other CNS conditions.

Intraocular transplantation of stem cells for retinal therapy can be achieved via two approaches, either subretinally or intravitreally, with each technique possessing advantages and disadvantages for particular applications. Subretinal injections leave cells physically constrained adjacent to the outer retina and near to rich blood supply, whereas intravitreal injections are technically simpler and provide direct access to the inner retina. Most research into improving the outcome of intraocular grafts has focused on subretinal injections, in part because of an initial focus on diseases of the photoreceptors. However, we have an interest in applying stem cell therapies to glaucoma, a common neurodegenerative disease of the inner retina that is the leading cause of irreversible blindness worldwide.34 In the context of inner retinal disease, intravitreal injections are likely to be more applicable than subretinal injections. Although studies involving subretinal transplantation have identified both ECM molecules and cellular factors as inhibitory to graft migration, it is unclear whether these elements play the same role, if any, when the graft is placed intravitreally. Besides providing useful information for developing treatments for inner retinal disease, determining the commonality of barriers to cell transplantation in different regions of the retina may provide insights that will aid in developing cell-based therapies in other CNS compartments.

Components of the ECM have been identified as potential barriers to the integration of transplanted stem cells in the CNS. For example, enzymatic degradation of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans has been shown to enhance stem cell engraftment in the spinal cord34 and brain35 and also to augment...
the integration of neural stem cells after intraocular transplantation, although the effects have been modest.16,17 Matrix metalloproteinase-2 has a similar effect in vitro.18 In the present study, we focused on degradation of proteins concentrated at the retinal ILM, as this appears to be the site of blockade for intravitreally transplanted cells. Although our enzymatic treatments effectively digested the inner basal lamina ECM proteins laminin and collagen, they did not enhance the migration of cells into the retina. This contrasts with data from subretinal approaches where destruction of physical impairments to cell integration has proven beneficial at the outer limiting membrane.19 This effect may be due to fundamental differences in the microenvironment of the inner and outer retina. It is possible that glial obstacles are more prominent in the inner retina, rather than inhibitory ECM factors and physical barriers as in the outer retina, such that enzymatic ECM digestion has a negligible effect on intravitreal graft migration.

**FIGURE 6.** Intravitreal AAA enhanced in vivo retinal engraftment of intravitreally transplanted stem cells in glaucoma. Ocular hypertension was experimentally induced unilaterally after intravitreal transplantation of MSCs and treatment with AAA or PBS. Bilateral IOP profiles are shown for animals treated with PBS (A) and AAA (B), with laser treatments occurring on days 0 and 7. Five weeks after transplantation, the number of MSCs that had migrated into the retinal tissue was quantified for eyes treated with PBS or AAA (C, *p < 0.05). In all eyes, most of the transplanted cells remained in the vitreous cavity and formed a multilayered sheet on the surface of the ILM (D). However, individual GFP+ MSCs also migrated into the retinal tissue and were observed beneath the ILM (E, red for laminin). (F) A retinal section with numerous GFP+ cells within the retinal tissue. Most GFP+ cells were transplanted MSCs (white arrows), whereas rare ED1+/GFP+ cells represented macrophages that had phagocytosed GFP (yellow arrows). Orthogonal projections, of the same confocal z-stack shown in (F), clearly demonstrate the noncolocalization of GFP and ED1 in MSCs (G) and the co-localization of these markers in some macrophages (H). (E, F) White: DAPI. Scale bars, 100 μm.
retina, under both normal and pathologic circumstances. Indeed, this concurs with the data presented by West et al., who studied the effects of AAA on subretinal transplantation. They noted that AAA treatment led to an approximately threefold increase in the number of photoreceptor progenitors that integrated into the outer nuclear layer 3 weeks after injection. Of importance, the authors of this study attributed the effect to a structural disruption of the outer limiting membrane, which is composed primarily of heterotypic and homotypic adherence junctions between Müller glia and photoreceptors. Furthermore, that report indicated that GFAP immunoreactivity in healthy eyes that had not received transplants was localized exclusively to the inner retina and was not affected by AAA treatment; however, GFAP immunoreactivity in transplanted eyes was not investigated. In contrast, the present study demonstrated a dramatic increase in reactive gliosis after retinal explant culture, the onset of ocular hypertension, and intravitreal transplantation. The effects of AAA on highly reactive retinal gel cells appear to be different from normal control retinal tissue, as we demonstrated a dramatic downregulation in reactive intermediate filaments after treatment in the current models. That we also demonstrated improvement in retinal engraftment of intravitreally transplanted cells after AAA treatment indicates that glial reactivity appears to predominate over ECM-mediated effects on cell graft migration in the context of inner retinal disease. However, it is possible that combinational treatments would produce an even more robust effect on intravitreal transplant migration than suppressing glial reactivity alone.

In contrast to the lack of intraretinal migration of MSCs observed after enzymatic disruption of the retinal ILM, suppression of glial reactivity using a selective toxin greatly potentiated retinal integration of intravitreally transplanted cells. Similar results have been found previously using transgenic techniques to knockdown glial expression of the proteins GFAP and vimentin. The authors reported that both subretinal and intravitreal transplantation of neural stem cells into adult mice resulted in minimal retinal engraftment, as has been well documented previously. However, they observed a more than sixfold increase in stem cell migration into the retina from subretinal transplantation after knockdown of GFAP and vimentin while simultaneously preserving retinal structure and function. Suppression of Müller cell expression of GFAP and vimentin has been associated with a reduction in their reactivity. The data presented in our study indicate that a relatively comparable increase in the number of engrafted stem cells can be attained by a transient, rather than permanent, disruption of glial cell function. A return of GFAP expression by Müller cells after in vivo AAA treatment confirmed the transient nature of our intervention. Such acute environmental manipulation is preferable, given that permanent loss of filament protein expression by Müller cells increases retinal vulnerability to mechanical damage.

In contrast to these results, Nishida et al. demonstrated a high degree of retinal integration by intravitreally transplanted neural stem cells after mechanical retinal injury. In that paper, transplanted cells integrated near the injury site and also in regions of structurally intact retina, which also exhibited reactive gliosis, up to 1200 μm away from the injury site. They suggested that glial reactivity facilitated graft integration, possibly by local production of growth factors and/or chemokines. However, other reports have suggested that reactive gliosis, or components thereof, constitutes a barrier to the retinal integration of numerous transplanted cell types in a variety of circumstances. An interesting hypothesis to explain this apparent discrepancy was proposed by Zhang et al., when they observed that neurites from abutting retinas in culture would cross-integrate only when certain glial-associ-
cells, which is likely to severely affect vision. Moreover, it is likely to suppress the production of a variety of chemokines (such as stromal cell-derived factor-1) that are produced by glial cells, which have been shown to be important in guiding the migration of transplanted cells in the brain after ischemic insult, and may play a role in cell therapy for other neurodegenerative conditions. Instead of such a general approach, more targeted efforts are needed. This may be approached from at least two directions. First, it may be better to block reactive glial changes without disrupting other physiological functions. The JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway has been implicated in upstream signaling of glial activation, and modulation of this pathway may overcome glial inhibition to retinal engraftment without affecting visual function. Second, specific downstream processes that occur in reactive glial cells must be identified. Glial reactivity is a blanket term that is associated with a wide variety of changes that occur in stressed glial cells, including hypertrophy; upregulation of intermediate filament expression; alterations in the production of neurotrophins, cytokines, chemokines, and reactive oxygen species; and changes in buffering properties for extracellular ions and molecules. It is unlikely that all these changes contribute equally to the poor integration of intraocular grafts. Instead, it may be that the effect noted in our study was mediated primarily by a physical blockade of cell migration by hypertrophic Müller cell processes and, therefore, targeted reduction of hypertrophy may be helpful. In addition, reactive glial cells may produce inhibitory molecules that block the integration of grafted cells and suppressing either the production or activity of these molecules may allow for a high level of stem cell engraftment in the CNS.

In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that the predominant block to retinal integration of intravitreally transplanted stem cells is glial cell reactivity, as opposed to physical barriers contained within the ILM. An important finding was that even a transient reduction in glial reactivity could significantly enhance engraftment of stem cells into the retina in vivo. These findings have direct implications for the development of stem cell therapies for common irreversible neurodegenerative retinal diseases such as glaucoma. However, given that glial reactivity also inhibits the integration of stem cells in the brain, these findings are also applicable to the CNS as a whole. Finally, although identification of the major barrier to stem cell integration in the mature inner retina is a major step forward, it is unlikely to be sufficient for clinical therapy. Therefore, further research is necessary to characterize other inhibitory factors of interest, as it is likely that a combinatorial approach will be necessary for optimization of transplanted cell engraftment.
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