Isolation and Expansion of Human Limbal Stromal Niche Cells
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PURPOSE. Limbal stromal niche cells heterogeneously express embryonic stem cell (SC) markers. This study was conducted to isolate and expand them and to prove that their phenotype is critical for supporting SCs.

METHODS. Human limbus was isolated by dispase or collagenase. Single cells were seeded on coated, 2D, or 3D Matrigel and were serially passaged in modified embryonic SC medium (MESCM), supplemented hormonal epithelial medium (SHEM), or Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium plus 10% fetal bovine serum (DF) before they were seeded in 3D Matrigel. Sphere growth was achieved by mixing expanded single cells with dispase-isolated epithelial cells in 3D Matrigel. Expression of SC markers was analyzed by qRT-PCR, immunofluorescence staining, and Western blot; SC clonal growth was measured on 3T3 feeder layers.

RESULTS. Collagenase, but not dispase, isolated subjacent mesenchymal cells, of which the expression of Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, Rex1, SSEA4, N-cadherin, and CD34 was promoted in MESCM more than SHEM or DF. Reunion of PCK+ and Vim+ cells generated spheres in 3D Matrigel, but spindle cells emerged on 2D or coated Matrigel. Serial passages on coated Matrigel resulted in rapid expansion of spindle cells, of which the expression of ESC markers had declined but could be regained after reseeding in 3D Matrigel in MESCM but not in SHEM or DF. Resultant epithelial spheres mixed with spindle cells expanded in MESCM expressed more p63α, less CK12, and more holoclones than those mixed with spindle cells expanded in DF.

CONCLUSIONS. Limbal stromal niche cells expressing SC markers can be isolated and expanded to prevent differentiation and maintain clonal growth of limbal epithelial progenitors. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53:279 –286) DOI:10.1167/iovs.11-8441

The corneal epithelium, like other epithelial tissues, undergoes constant renewal by a population of adult lineage-committed epithelial stem cells (SCs) anatomically located in limbal palisades of Vogt.1,2 Although the mechanism remains elusive, the quiescence, self-renewal, and fate of limbal epithelial SCs are conceivably controlled in this unique niche.3 As a first step toward addressing these issues, it is important to isolate putative limbal niche cells (NCs). To that end, we have recently reported that the traditional method of isolating an intact human limbal epithelial sheet using dispase, which cleaves the basement membrane,4 fails to remove the entire limbal basal progenitors and only removes few subjacent mesenchymal cells (MCs).5 In contrast, collagenase that cleaves stromal interstitial, but not basement membrane, collagens isolates a cluster of cells consisting of not only entire limbal epithelial progenitors but also abundant subjacent stromal MCs.5 Interestingly, the latter cells are as small as 5 μm in diameter and heterogeneously express some embryonic SC markers including Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, Rex1, and SSEA4 as well as other SC markers such as Nestin, N-cadherin, and CD34.6 Because disruption of the physical close association between limbal basal epithelial cells and these MCs by trypsin and EDTA (T/E) results in the loss or marked reduction of epithelial clonal growth in three different in vitro assays,5 we speculated that these stromal MCs may serve as NCs. Even if were true, we still do not know whether their phenotype of expressing these SC markers is critical for their niche function.

Although the presence of NCs is implicated in the previous study,7 characterization of these NCs depends on successful isolation and expansion. In this regard, Dravida et al.6 isolated SSEA4+ cells by magnetic cell sorting from limbal explant outgrowth, cultured them on a substrate coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) in a modified embryonic SC medium containing bFGF and LIF (hereafter termed MESCM), and successfully expanded multipotent fibroblastlike cells expressing Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, Rex1, SSEA4, and TDGF1 for more than 20 passages. Herein, we report our modified method of isolating and expanding these NCs and provide strong evidence that the expression of SC markers is the hallmark for them to prevent differentiation and maintain clonal growth of limbal epithelial progenitors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All materials used for cell isolation and culturing are listed in Supplementary Table S1. http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11-8441/-/DCSupplemental.

Isolation of Limbal Epithelial Sheets and Clusters

Human corneoscleral rims from donors younger than 60 years and <5 days from death to culturing were obtained from the Florida Lions Eye Bank (Miami, FL) and were managed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The isolation of limbal epithelial sheets or clusters by either dispase or collagenase, respectively, was consistent with what we have reported. In short, after corneoscleral tissue was rinsed three times with Hanks’ balanced salt solution containing 50 μg/ml gentamicin and 1.25 μg/ml amphotericin B, the remaining sclera, conjunctiva, iris, trabecular meshwork, and corneal endothelium were removed. Then the tissues were cut into 12 one-clock-hour segments, from which each limbal segment was obtained by incisions made at 1
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mm within and beyond the anatomic limbus. An intact epithelial sheet was isolated by digestion of each limbal segment at 37°C for 16 hours with 10 mg/mL neural protease (Dispase II; Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN) in MESC made of DMEM/F-12 (1:1) supplemented with 10% knockout serum, 5 μg/mL insulin, 5 μg/mL transferrin, 5 ng/mL sodium selenite, 4 ng/mL bFGF, 10 ng/mL hLIF, 50 μg/mL gentamicin, and 1.25 μg/mL amphotericin B. In parallel, other limbal segments were digested at 37°C for 18 hours with 1 mg/mL collagenase (GAPDH). Relative gene expression data were analyzed by the comparative Ct method (ΔΔCt).

Immunoblot Analysis

Proteins from day 10 spheres were extracted by RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors and phosphatase. The protein concentration was determined by a BCA protein assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Equal amounts of proteins in total cell extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes that were then blocked with 5% wt/vol fat-free milk in TBST (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% (vol/vol) Tween-20), followed by sequential incubation with specific primary antibodies and their respective secondary antibodies using β-actin as the loading control. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by a chemiluminescence reagent (Western Lighting; Pierce). Antibodies used are listed in Supplementary Table S2, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11-8441/-/DCSupplemental.

Statistical Analysis

The significance of the differences between groups was determined by Student’s t-test. Test results were reported as two-tailed P values, where P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Collagenase Isolates More Subjacent Mesenchymal Cells

Anatomically, the limbal niche is located at the limbal palisades of Vogt, in which the epithelial-mesenchymal interface is undulated and consists of intermittent projections. Several studies suggest that limbal epithelial SCs may lie deep in the stroma in cryptlike structures. These anatomic features in the limbus suggest that limbal epithelial SCs might closely interact with cells in the underlying limbal stroma. As reported and commonly practiced, digestion with dispase removed an intact human limbal epithelial sheet (Fig. 1A) that consisted nearly exclusively of PCK+ cells (Fig. 1B). Nonetheless, as recently reported, digestion with collagenase resulted in a cluster of cells (Fig. 1C) that consisted of not only entire spheres, EdU-labeled and consisted nearly exclusively of PCK+ cells (Fig. 1B). Nonetheless, as recently reported, digestion with collagenase resulted in a cluster of cells (Fig. 1C) that consisted of not only entire

Coated, 2D, and 3D Matrigel Culture and Treatment

Matrigel with different thicknesses—that is, coated, thin (2D), and thick (3D) gel—were prepared by adding the plastic dish with 5% diluted Matrigel, 50 μL 50% diluted Matrigel, and 200 μL of 50% diluted Matrigel (all in DMEM) per square centimeter, respectively, by incubation at 37°C for 1 hour before use. On 3D Matrigel, dispase and collagenase-isolated cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10^4/cm^2 in MESC. In parallel, on coated and 2D Matrigel, 5 × 10^4/cm^2 or 1 × 10^5/cm^2 collagenase-isolated cells were seeded in MESC, SHEM, or DF. At passage (P0), cells on day 5 cultured in coated, 2D, and 3D Matrigel in MESC were added with 10 μM of 5-ethylthi-2-deoxyuridine (EdU) for 24 hours. Spheres in 3D gel at different time points were harvested by digestion in 10 mg/mL neural protease (Dispase II; Roche Applied Science) at 37°C for 2 hours, of which some were rendered into single cells by T/E. On 80% confluence on coated Matrigel, single cells were continuously passaged at a density of 5 × 10^5 cells/cm^2. At P4, the expanded cells were also reseeded in 3D Matrigel at a density of 5 × 10^5 cells/cm^3 in three different media for 6 days. Afterward, P4 expanded cells from 3D Matrigel were prelabeled with red fluorescent nanocrystals (Qtracker cell labeling kits; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), mixed at a 1:4 ratio with dispase-isolated epithelial cells, seeded at a density of 5 × 10^4 cells/cm^2 in 3D Matrigel containing MESC, and cultured for 10 days. The extent of total expansion was measured by the number of population doubling from P1 to P4 using the formula:

$$n_D = \frac{\log_{10} y}{\log_{10} 2},$$

where y is the final density of the cells and x is the initial seeding density of the cells.

3T3 Clonal Cultures

The epithelial progenitor status of the sphere growth was determined by a clonal assay on 3T3 fibroblast feeder layers in SHEM. The feeder layer was prepared by treating 80% subconfluent 3T3 fibroblasts with 4 μg/mL mitomycin C at 37°C for 2 hours in DMEM containing 10% newborn calf serum before seeding at the density of 2 × 10^4 cells/cm^2. Single cells obtained from day 10 spheres were then seeded on mitomycin C–treated 3T3 feeder layers, at a density of 100 cells/cm^2 for 2 weeks. Resultant clonal growth was assessed by rhodamine B staining, and colony-forming efficiency (CFE) was measured by calculating the percentage of the clone number divided by the total number of cells seeded. Clone morphology was subdivided into holoclone, meroclone, and paracclone based on the criteria for skin keratinocytes.

Immunofluorescence Staining

Limbal epithelial sheets or clusters obtained by dispase or collagenase digestion, respectively, were cryosectioned to 6 μm. Spheres, EdU-labeled cells, and the P4 isolated mesenchymal cells were prepared for cytospin using a centrifuge system (Cytofuge; StarSpin, Inc., Norwood, MA) at 1000 rpm for 8 minutes. For immunofluorescence staining, 4% formaldehyde-fixed samples were permeated with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 minutes and were blocked with 2% BSA in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature before they were incubated in the primary antibody overnight at 4°C. Corresponding secondary antibodies were then incubated for 1 hour using appropriate isotype-matched, nonspecific IgG antibodies as controls. EdU-labeled cells were detected by fixation in 4% formaldehyde for 15 minutes, followed by 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 minutes, blocking with 2% BSA in PBS for 1 hour, and incubation in reaction cocktails (Click-IT, Invitrogen) for 30 minutes before they were subjected to PCK immunostaining. Nuclear counterstaining was achieved by Hoechst 33342 before they were analyzed with a confocal microscope (LSM 700; Zeiss, Thornwood, NY). Detailed information about primary and secondary antibodies and agents used for immunostaining is listed in Supplementary Table S2, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11-8441/-/DCSupplemental.

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction

Total RNA was extracted from limbal clusters freshly isolated by collagenase on day 0 and cells on coated and 3D gel at different passages with an RNA isolation kit (RNeasy Mini; Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Total RNA (1–2 μg) was reverse transcribed to cDNA with a high-capacity cDNA transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). qRT-PCR was carried out in a 20-μL solution containing cDNA, gene expression assay (TaqMan; Invitrogen) (Supplementary Table S3, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11-8441/-/DCSupplemental), and PCR master mix (Universal; Applied Biosystems). The results were normalized by internal control, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Relative gene expression data were analyzed by the comparative Ct method (ΔΔCt).

Immunoblot Analysis

Proteins from day 10 spheres were extracted by RIPA buffer supplemented with protease inhibitors and phosphatase. The protein concentration was determined by a BCA protein assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Equal amounts of proteins in total cell extracts were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes that were then blocked with 5% wt/vol fat-free milk in TBST (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% (vol/vol) Tween-20), followed by sequential incubation with specific primary antibodies and their respective secondary antibodies using β-actin as the loading control. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by a chemiluminescence reagent (Western Lighting; Pierce). Antibodies used are listed in Supplementary Table S2, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.11-8441/-/DCSupplemental.
PCK+/p63α+/Vim+ cells was best maintained during collagenase digestion in MESCM.

**Different Growths on Three Matrigel Substrates**

Our previous study showed that disruption of the close association between PCK+ epithelial progenitors and Vim+ MCs diminished epithelial clonal growth in three different assays, suggesting that the latter might serve as NCs. We speculated that such close association between PCK+ and Vim+ cells in collagenase-isolated clusters might be attained by preservation of the basement membrane. We then reasoned that single PCK+ and Vim+ cells generated by T/E, which disrupted their close association in collagenase-isolated clusters, might be re-united in the basement membrane substrate prepared by Matrigel. Indeed, spheres emerged in 3D Matrigel when cultured in MESCM, whereas predominant spindle cells without spheres occurred in coated and 2D Matrigel (Fig. 3A). Double immunostaining showed that spheres formed in 3D Matrigel consisted of both PCK+ cells and Vim+ cells on day 1, and both cells increased in number on days 5 and 10 (Fig. 3B). The

**Clusters Isolated by Collagenase in MESCM Express Most ESC Markers**

Previously, we isolated the limbal clusters by collagenase digestion in SHEM, which contains FBS. We reported that MCs in such collagenase-isolated limbal clusters are as small as 5 μm in diameter and heterogeneously express various SC markers, including Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, Rex1, SSEA4, Nestin, N-cadherin, and CD34. To prepare further isolation of these putative NCs, we digested limbal segments with collagenase in MESCM and compared the expression of the markers with that in SHEM or DF. qRT-PCR showed that the transcript level of Vim was not different among these three media (Fig. 2A), suggesting that they resulted in similar numbers of MCs. However, the transcript levels of Oct4, Nanog, Sox2, Rex1, CD34, and N-cadherin in MESCM were all significantly higher than those in SHEM and DF (n = 3, all P < 0.01; Fig. 2A), suggesting that expression of these markers by collagenase-isolated clusters was better maintained in MESCM. As a comparison, except for that of Oct4, Rex1, and N-cadherin, the expression of all other markers was notably reduced in DF (Fig. 2A). Our previous study showed that all small PCK+ epithelial cells were p63α+ but Vim−. Thus, we performed double immunostaining in PCK−, p63α−, or Vim+ MCs with the SC markers. Results showed that these small nonepithelial MCs indeed heterogeneously expressed Oct4, Nanog, SSEA4, Sox2, Rex1, CD34, and N-cadherin (Fig. 2B). Some of these SC markers were also expressed in some small epithelial cells. Collectively, these findings indicated that expression of these SC markers by small
proliferative activity measured by nuclear EdU labeling on day 5 for 24 hours was higher in coated and 2D Matrigel than in 3D Matrigel (Fig. 3C). The labeling index was 25.6% and 27.3% in PCK cells and 13.6% in PCK cells in coated and 2D Matrigel, respectively. They were significantly higher than 12.5% in PCK cells and 2.6% in PCK cells in 3D Matrigel (all \( P < 0.01; n = 3 \)). These results suggested that cell proliferation was higher on coated and 2D Matrigel, where spindle cells emerged, than in 3D Matrigel, where sphere growth formed by the reunion of single PCK and Vim cells.

### Spindle Cells Proliferate and Dominate on Coated Matrigel after Serial Passages

Because spheres formed in 3D Matrigel contained both PCK+ and Vim+ cells and Vim+ cells therein grew more slowly than PCK+ cells when judged by the EdU-labeling index (Fig. 3), 3D Matrigel was not an ideal substrate for isolating and expanding Vim+ MCs. In contrast, spindle cells emerged among small, round cells on coated Matrigel and rapidly increased in number on further passages (Fig. 4). Although some small, round cells were noted in P0, spindle cells dominated from P2 onward (Fig. 4). When reseeded in 3D Matrigel, single P4 cells began to form aggregates with stellate borders as early as day 1, increased in size, but then ceased to grow on day 6 (Fig. 4). These changes in proliferative activity were also reflected by the population doubling time, which was 40 and 39 hours for spindle cells at P2 and P3 in coated Matrigel but was significantly lengthened to 881 hours when reseeded in 3D Matrigel at P4 (Table 1).

### Reversibility of Phenotype of Spindle Cells Expanded in MESCM

Compared with that of the D0 cluster immediately isolated by collagenase, qRT-PCR revealed a rapid disappearance of p63 (i.e., an epithelial progenitor marker\(^1\)) and CK12 (i.e., a corneal epithelial differentiation marker\(^1,4,5\)) by P2 cells (Fig. 5A), suggesting that coated Matrigel successfully eliminated all epithelial cells by successive passages. From P0 to P3, there was a significant decline in expression of Vim and N-cadherin transcripts (Fig. 5A; all \( P < 0.01; n = 3 \)). On reseeding in 3D Matrigel at P4, the transcript levels of Oct4, Nanog, Sox2, and CD34 transcripts but a steady significant increase of expression of Vim and N-cadherin transcripts (Fig. 5A; all \( P < 0.01; n = 3 \)). When reseeded in 3D Matrigel, these spindle cells at P4 indeed re-expressed Oct4, Nanog, SSEA4, Sox2, Rex1, CD34, and N-cadherin (Fig. 5B).

As a comparison, we also isolated and expanded spindle MCs on coated Matrigel in SHEM and DF. On reseeding in 3D Matrigel at P4, they also formed similar aggregates (not shown). However, qRT-PCR showed that these cells did not
regain expression of these SC markers (Fig. 6A). Immunostaining confirmed the lack of such expression (Fig. 6B). Collectively, these data showed that the phenotype of expressing embryonic SC markers was regained by spindle cells expanded by continuous passages on coated Matrigel only in MESCM but not in SHEM or DF.

**Spheres Formed by Reunion between Dispase-Isolated Epithelial Cells and MCs Isolated and Expanded in Different Media**

Figure 3 showed that reunion between PCK+ epithelial cells and Vim+ MCs obtained from collagenase-isolated clusters led to sphere growth. We found that PCK+ epithelial cells obtained from dispase-isolated limbal epithelial sheets, which contained few Vim+ cells (Fig. 1B), could also yield similar sphere growth in 3D Matrigel containing MESCM (Fig. 7A). Double immunostaining shows that these spheres consisted of predominantly PCK+ epithelial cells, of which few coexpressed Vim on day 10 (Fig. 7B). Thus, we mixed dispase-isolated epithelial cells with MCs that had been expanded on coated Matrigel up to P4, followed by seeding in 3D Matrigel in different media at the ratio of 4:1 to match the finding that 20% of collagenase-isolated clusters is made of PCK+/Vim+ MCs. More and relatively larger spheres were generated by MCs expanded in MESCM (Figs. 7A, 7C). These spheres consisted of epithelial cells and MCs prelabeled by red nanocrystals (Qdot; Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) (Fig. 7D). In contrast, spheres generated by mixing with MCs expanded in DF tended to adhere to one another on day 10 (Fig. 7E), which also consisted of both epithelial cells and MCs prelabeled by red nanocrystals (Qdot; Life Technologies) (not shown).

**Maintenance of Limbal Epithelial Progenitor Status by Expanded NCs**

Although similar spheres were formed by dispase-isolated epithelial cells with or without mixing with expanded MCs

---

**TABLE 1. Population Doubling of Cultured MCs from Collagenase-Isolated Clusters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Passage</th>
<th>Seeding Density (×10⁶/cm²)</th>
<th>Culture Time (d)</th>
<th>Final Density (×10⁶/cm²)</th>
<th>Number of Cell Doublings</th>
<th>Cumulative Number of Cell Doublings</th>
<th>Population Doubling Time (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2045.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>169.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>8.23</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>880.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of seeding density, culture time, and final density from P0 to P4, from which population doubling and doubling time were calculated.
immunofluorescence staining of p63α showed that dispase-isolated MCs (MESCM) had more p63α expression than dispase-isolated MCs (DF) (Fig. 8A). Western blot analysis followed by densitometry confirmed that spheres formed by collagenase-isolated limbal clusters (Fig. 3) expressed 3.5-fold p63α and 0.6-fold CK12 compared with those formed by dispase-isolated limbal epithelial sheets (Fig. 8B). Compared with spheres formed by dispase-isolated limbal epithelial cells, the addition of MCs expanded in MESCM resulted in spheres expressing 3.9-fold more p63α and 0.5-fold less CK12 (i.e., to a level similar to levels formed by collagenase-isolated clusters). In contrast, the addition of MCs expanded in DF resulted in spheres expressing 0.7-fold p63α and 0.7-fold CK12 (Fig. 8B).

As reported,5 spheres from collagenase-isolated limbal clusters generated more holoclones than dispase-isolated limbal epithelial sheets on growth-arrested 3T3 feeder layers, presumably because of inclusion of the entire limbal basal epithelial progenitors (Fig. 8C). Compared with this baseline finding, spheres generated by mixing dispase-isolated epithelial cells with MCs expanded in MESCM had significantly more holoclone than those formed by dispase-isolated limbal epithelial cells alone (dispase) or were mixed with MCs expanded on coated Matrigel in DF (Dis + MCs [DF]) or in MESCM (Dis + MCs [MESCM]) or by collagenase-isolated clusters (Collagenase). Immunofluorescence staining of p63α demonstrated that Dis + MCs (MESCM) had more p63α (green) expression than Dis + MCs (DF) (these MCs were prelabeled by nanocrystals [red]) (A). Western blot analysis confirmed that dispase-isolated MCs (MESCM) had more p63α but less CK12 than dispase-isolated MCs (DF) using β-actin as the loading control (B). Spheres generated by Dis + MCs (MESCM) had significantly more holoclone than those by Dis + MCs (DF) using dispase and collagenase as controls (C. n = 3. **P < 0.01). Scale bar, 20 μm.

DISCUSSION

In the locale of limbal palisades of Vogt, the basement membrane is undulated and fenestrated8,10 and limbal epithelial SCs lie deep in the stroma, as suggested by cryptlike structures disclosed by serial histologic sectioning10–12 and ultrastructural analyses.9 We have provided the first evidence supporting close physical contact between limbal epithelial SCs and MCs that lie immediately subjacent in the limbal stroma.5 As reported,5 digestion with collagenase, but not dispase, effectively isolated not only the entire limbal epithelial cells but also subjacent MCs (Fig. 1). Furthermore, qRT-PCR confirmed that limbal clusters isolated by the digestion of collagenase in SHEM expressed Oct4, Nanog, SSEA4, Sox2, Rex1, N-cadherin, and CD34 (Fig. 2). Among them N-cadherin,16 nestin,17 and Oct418 were upregulated.
have been found in human limbal basal epithelial cells. Although further proof is needed that small PCK+/p63α+ epithelial cells that also express these SC markers (Fig. 2B) might represent genuine SCs, the present study provides strong evidence supporting the notion that small PCK−/p63α−/Vim+ cells that express these SC markers represent limbal native NCs.

The best way to isolate these NCs was to use collagenase digestion in MESC because qRT-PCR and double immunostaining disclosed that expression of the SC markers was better maintained in MESC than in SHEM or DF (Fig. 2). To isolate similar cells, Dravida et al. used SSEA4 magnetic beads to isolate a subset from a mixture of cells derived from limbal explants outgrowth. In contrast, we achieved successful isolation by seeding collagenase-isolated limbal clusters on coated Matrigel to rapidly eliminate PCK+ cells, as evidenced by the disappearance of p63 and CK12 (Fig. 5) and the emergence of spindle cells (Fig. 4) at P2. We chose MESC because Dravida et al. successfully used it to expand the cells into multilayered fibroblast-like cells expressing Oct-4, Sox2, Nanog, Rex1, SSEA4, and TDGF1. In agreement with their findings, we also found that serial passages on coated Matrigel in MESC resulted in rapid expansion, as shown by a high EdU-labeling index (Fig. 3C) and a short doubling time around 40 hours (Table 1). The difference in the proliferation of Vim+ cells in 3D versus 2D and coated Matrigel was attributed primarily to the physical property of Matrigel because the same composition was used. We speculate that such a difference might be caused by the difference in matrix stiffness or rigidity, which may activate such mitotic signaling mediated by ERK and RhoA. However, the expression of SC markers rapidly declined compared with that by just isolated only by collagenase (Fig. 5). Cells expanded in our study might not have been the same as those expanded by Dravida et al. because ours expressed CD34 whereas theirs did not. For the first time, we disclosed that such a loss was transient because the expression of spindle cells could be regained and promoted by rescinding in 3D Matrigel containing MESC (Fig. 5). Unlike Dravida et al., we did not include the remaining limbal stroma; therefore, we concluded that these NCs expressing SC markers were immediately subjacent to limbal basal epithelial cells.

In contrast to dominant Vim+ spindle cells that arose from coated or 2D Matrigel, spheres emerged in 3D Matrigel (Fig. 7). One should not confuse these spheres with the "neurospheres" and "mammospheres" generated by nonadherent precursor cells in Matrigel-free cultures containing the neural SC medium. Because of the dramatic outcome in yielding either spheres or spindle cells in different Matrigel substrates, future studies are needed to determine how matrix rigidity might influence the NC morphology and phenotype.

Re-expression of SC markers by MCs expanded on coated Matrigel appeared to be crucial for endowing them with the NC phenotype. This notion was shown by studying spheres that express these SC markers at the limbal epithelial crypt. Our recent report showed that such a sphere is mediated by the SDF-1 preferentially expressed by small PCK+ cells because disruption of the reunion before sphere growth by AMD3100, which specifically blocks the chemotactic interaction between SDF-1 and CXCR4, results in corneal epithelial differentiation and loss of holoclones. Further studies demonstrated that mere reunion with MCs was not sufficient because spheres formed by MCs expanded on coated Matrigel in DF, though able to partake in the reunion, did not yield the same result (Fig. 8). The failure of the latter MCs to prevent corneal differentiation and maintain holoclones of limbal epithelial progenitors was correlated with their irreversible loss of expression of SC markers during expansion in either DF or SHEM (Fig. 7). Thus, caution should be exercised in the choice of media during isolation and expansion of limbal NCs to preserve their unique phenotype of expressing these SC markers.

In conclusion, limbal stromal niche cells expressing SC markers can be isolated and expanded to prevent the differentiation of limbal epithelial progenitors. The in vitro model of sphere cultures in 3D Matrigel can be used to investigate how limbal NCs might regulate limbal epithelial SC quiescence, self-renewal, and fate in the future.
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