Age-Associated Changes in the Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer and Optic Nerve Head
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PURPOSE. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) measures of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness and neuroretinal rim (NRR) parameters are often used as a surrogate for retinal ganglion cell content. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between these morphological measures and the aging effects on these structures.

METHODS. One hundred thirteen healthy individuals, aged 19 to 76 years, with no prior history of retinal or optic nerve head pathology were recruited. A circumpapillary and radial OCT scan centered on the optic nerve head (ONH) was used for data analysis. Transverse scaling was calculated for each subject using measures from optical biometry. Custom algorithms were used for morphological analysis of the ONH NRR and RNFL that included quantification of major retinal vascular contribution.

RESULTS. There was a significant age-related loss of RNFL thickness (−0.23 μm/y, R² = 0.24, P < 0.01), major retinal vascular contribution (−0.03 μm²/y, R² = 0.07, P = 0.01), neural rim volume (NRV, −0.004 mm³/y, R² = 0.15, P < 0.01), and minimum rim width (MRW, −1.77 μm/y, R² = 0.23, P < 0.01) before, and after, incorporating the Bruch’s membrane opening size (sMRW, −1.86 μm/y, R² = 0.22, P < 0.01). When normalized, the rates of change for ONH NRR parameters (NRV, 0.69%/y and sMRW, 0.50%/y) exceeded that of RNFL thickness (0.19%/y; P < 0.01).

CONCLUSIONS. Although both RNFL and ONH NRR parameters contain axons of retinal ganglion cells, there are differences in age-related changes in these measures that should be considered in clinical application.
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The glaucomas are a group of optic neuropathies that are characterized by losses of retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) and associated thinning of the retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL), cupping of the optic nerve head (ONH), and decrease in visual sensitivity.1–4 In early stages of the disease, when visual fields may still be clinically normal, a critical assessment of the ONH and RNFL provide valuable information for initial diagnosis and management.5,6 These structures are often assessed during an ophthalmoscopic evaluation, but the procedure has high inter- and intrasubject variability.7–9 In contrast, objective in vivo imaging using optical coherence tomography (OCT) provides higher resolution and more repeatable measures.10–14

The standard OCT scan protocol used to assess the health of the optic nerve is a circumpapillary 12° diameter circular scan centered on the ONH. Global and localized RNFL thickness measures from this strategy have been shown to be reasonably sensitive for detection and progression of glaucomatous neuropathy.15 Of recent, improved methods of using OCT volume or radial scans for assessing glaucoma associated changes in ONH morphology have been proposed. These measurements include the neuroretinal rim (NRR) area (RA), the minimum NRR width (MRW), and the NRR volume (NRV), all referenced to Bruch’s membrane opening (BMO).36–18 Each of these metrics, RNFL thickness, RA, MRW, and NRV, are considered to reflect the RGC axonal content of the retina. Although morphological measures with OCT technology are repeatable, there are several aspects of the scan acquisition and analysis that are important to consider. Scans that are well centered and captured through a dilated pupil and have high image quality are needed for accurate analysis.19–22 In addition, the location of the scan path is dependent on the optics of the imaging device and eye. Hence, in myopic eyes or eyes with a longer axial length, the RNFL scan path is further from the ONH rim margin where the nerve fiber layer is also thinner.23–25 Incorporating transverse scaling in RNFL measures has been shown to improve the precision of these measures.26–28 Similarly, it is logical that scaling principles should also be applied for analysis of ONH morphology.24 For the detection of optic neuropathies, it is important to differentiate pathological losses from normal age-related losses. Several histological studies that have evaluated RGC axons of the optic nerve or RGC cells in the retina have shown steady losses of cells with age.29–33 Similarly, a majority of studies of OCT measurements have determined that the circumpapillary RNFL thickness becomes systematically thinner with age, but the age-related effects of OCT-derived ONH neural measures and their relationship to RNFL thickness have not been clearly delineated.34–40 These measures also include both neuronal and nonneuronal components,28,36,41 including glial and vascular tissue, that could exhibit age-related changes. In addition, ONH neural measures are dependent on the orientation of the axonal...
bundles as they bend at the BMO, and it is possible that this orientation changes with the lamina structure in the aging eye (Bhakta AS, et al. IOVS 2014;55: ARVO E-Abstract 897).52,45

The present study was undertaken to investigate the relationship between OCTI-derived morphological measures of the RNFL, MRW, and NRV and the aging effects on these structures.

Methods

Subjects

One hundred thirteen subjects with no history of ocular pathology were recruited from the University of Houston University Eye Institute clinics for this study. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, and all aspects were reviewed by the Committee for Protection of Human Subjects at the University of Houston. Prior to collection of data, informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Subjects were screened using a brief medical history, visual acuity assessment, 24-2 SITA standard visual fields, intraocular pressure measures, slit lamp examination, and a dilated fundus examination to ensure good ocular health. Only subjects with at least 20/30 best corrected acuity and no visual field defects or history of retinal pathology, optic nerve pathology, or ocular surgery were included. Subjects with a history of hypertension and/or diabetes were included only if there was no present or prior associated retinal or optic nerve pathology. One eye of each subject was randomly selected for data collection.

Optical Coherence Tomography

Data were acquired with the Spectralis HRA+OCT (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany; software version 6.0), 30 minutes after the instillation of 1% tropicamide. Scans used for analysis included (1) a standard 12° circular scan, with Automatic Real Time (ART) averaging set at 40, and (2) a 12-line, 20° radial scan with an ART setting of 20. Prior to each scan capture, ART was engaged, and the scans were centered as best as possible on the ONH using anatomical structures visible on the infrared (IR-SLO) and B-scan images. Scans were repeated if image overlap was noted during averaging, or if any B-scan image quality fell below 25 dB. Image and scan acquisition data were exported in raw (.vol) files and coded on the basis of image orientation.
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ONH Analysis

Each ONH parameter (Fig. 1B) was calculated with both fixed (289.2 μm/deg) and custom transverse scaling. The dimensions of the ONH rim margin were determined by marking the RPE/BMO on each side of the ONH in 12 radial B-scans and fitting the resultant 24 points with an ellipse. The BMO was used because it is readily visible and thought to be a relatively stable reference for quantification of ONH parameters.49 The inner limiting membrane (ILM) and basement membrane (BM) were manually delineated for each B-scan, and a spline fit was used for interpolating the BM within the region of the BMO. Two ONH NRR parameters, the minimum rim width (MRW) and neural rim volume (NRV), were calculated after scaling the scans to 1:1 μm. The MRW was determined as the shortest distance from the marked BMO opening and the ILM. Based on previous findings on the relationship between RA and disc size,50,51 the MRW is expected to decrease with increase in disc area. Specifically, the RA is related to the MRW as RA ∼ (π/3) × NRR. The ONH NRR parameters, the minimum rim width (MRW) and neural rim volume (NRV), were calculated after scaling the scans to 1:1 μm. The MRW was determined as the shortest distance from the marked BMO opening and the ILM. Based on previous findings on the relationship between RA and disc size,50,51 the MRW is expected to decrease with increase in disc area. Specifically, the RA is related to the MRW as RA ∼ (π/3) × NRR.
where $BMO$ circumference, and can be replaced with any measure, average BMO circumference, is expected to be different across date in our laboratory ($4.25$ $\mu$m, and average MRW (Equation 3), and this measure was then converted to a scaled thickness (sMRW) by dividing it by the average scaled BMO circumference for subjects collected to date in our laboratory ($4.25$ $\mu$m, Equation 4). In principal, the average BMO circumference, is expected to be different across ethnicities and $50.52$ and can be replaced with any measure, resulting in similar scaled measures.

BMO circumference ($\mu$m)

$$= \pi \left[ 3(a + b) - \sqrt{10ab + 3(a^2 + b^2)} \right]$$

(3)

Where $a$ and $b$ are the major and minor axis of the best fit ellipse in micrometers.

$$\text{MRA} \left( \mu^2 \right) = \text{MRW} \times \text{BMO circumference}$$

$$\text{sMRW} = \frac{\text{MRA}}{4.25 \mu m}$$

(4)

To calculate the neural rim volume (NRV), a total retinal thickness map from the ILM and BM segmentation of the radial scans was first created, from three-dimensional representations of both surfaces. This thickness map was then scaled to 1 pixel/1 $\mu$m, and the tissue/pixel content above the splined BM plane within the three-dimensional BM plane was used to compute the NRV.

All statistical analysis and plots reported were using either GraphPad Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA), Minitab 16 (Minitab, Inc., State College, PA, USA), or SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Results**

A total of 57 right and 56 left eyes of 113 subjects were analyzed for this study. All eyes were healthy as defined by the inclusion criteria. The mean age of subjects was $43.8 \pm 17.5$ years, with a range from 19 to 76 years of age, and neither sex nor ethnicity was considered in enrollment or analysis of the results of the study. Only the average/global measures of the RNFL and ONH parameters were included in the data analysis for the present study. All measures, other than age ($P < 0.01$) and fixed and custom scaled NRV ($P < 0.01$) were normally distributed as determined by the D’Agostino and Pearson normality test. The mean and median values for age, axial length, and scaled and fixed scaled measures are presented in the Table.

**RNFL Thickness**

The effects of individualized transverse scaling of OCT measurements and the nonneuronal vasculature contribution to the RNFL were studied using the group mean data. The mean RNFL thickness before scaling and vessel removal was $111.22 \pm 9.4$ $\mu$m, and there was a significant relationship between global RNFL thickness and axial length, with longer eyes having thinner measures (slope = $-3.2$ $\mu$m/mm, $R^2 = 0.23$, $P < 0.01$). After scaling to correct for differences in the subjects’ ocular biometry, the mean RNFL area was $1.24 \pm 0.09$ mm$^2$, corresponding to a scaled thickness of $13.9 \pm 8.4$ $\mu$m, which was uncorrelated to axial length (slope = $0.74$, $R^2 = 0.019$). Overall, the major retinal vascular contribution to RNFL thickness was $15.6 \pm 19$ $\mu$m (13.8 $\pm$ 1.5%) for scaled global measures, but the vascular contribution to RNFL thickness varied with thickness. Specifically, eyes with greater scaled RNFL thickness had a larger vascular contribution in micrometers (slope = $0.1$ $\mu$m/ $\mu$m, $R^2 = 0.18$, $P < 0.01$), but this relationship was only marginally significant when the vascular contribution was expressed as a percentage (slope = $-0.04$ $%$/ $\mu$m, $R^2 = 0.04$, $P = 0.05$). Thus, the results from the present study are consistent with previous investigations on separate groups of subjects that demonstrated that RNFL content does not vary with axial length and that the vasculature from the central retinal artery and vein make up a significant portion of RNFL thickness.
ONH Parameters

The transverse magnification effects on the quantification of ONH parameters were investigated by comparing measurements with and without custom scaling. Using fixed transverse scaling, none of the ONH parameters (i.e., NRV, MRW, sMRW, or BMO area) was correlated with axial length ($P = 0.41, P = 0.18, P = 0.32, \text{and } P = 0.54,$ respectively). However, when custom scaling was implemented, the measurements for BMO area (slope = 0.11 mm$^2$/mm, $R^2 = 0.15$), NRV (slope = 0.04 mm$^2$/mm, $R^2 = 0.11$), and sMRW (slope = 17.1 mm/mm, $R^2 = 0.12$) increased with axial length ($P < 0.01$), whereas MRW did not ($P = 0.08$). Further, with a multiple regression analysis to test whether NRV, BMO area, and axial length predicted MRW, the statistics indicated that BMO area and NRV together explained 91% of the variance ($F_{2,110} = 555, P < 0.01$). The MRW did not correlate significantly with BMO area (slope = −27.4 mm$^2$/mm, $R^2 = 0.02, P = 0.07$), but when the MRW was transformed to a scaled value (sMRW) using Equation 4, there was a significant inverse relationship with sMRW (slope = 0.60 mm/mm, $R^2 = 0.12, P = 0.01$). The relationship between the ONH NRR measures of scaled data for NRV and MRW/sMRW were more clearly defined by a power-function relationship, which is sensible because of the dimensions of the measures (volume versus linear). In addition, the ratio of probabilities for AICc analysis did not support the use of an alternative function for the relationship between RNFLV thickness and ONH NRR parameters.

Age-Associated Trends

The RNFL thickness includes neuronal tissue (i.e., the RGC axons) and nonneuronal tissue (i.e., the branches of the central retinal artery and vein and glial tissue), all subject to age-related changes, possibly at different rates. The methods of this study allow for analysis of RNFL thickness with and without major retinal vasculature. Based on the results of the effects of scaling on RNFL and ONH parameters, only individually scaled measures were used for the analysis of age-related trends. Both the scaled RNFL thickness, with major
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**FIGURE 2.** The relationship between the two NRR parameters, MRW and NRV. The relationship between scaled MRW and NRV measures is best described using a simple power function (A). The variability is decreased when MRW is scaled to the BMO size (sMRW) as illustrated in (B).
retinal vasculature included (Fig. 4A, slope $=-0.234$ $\mu$m/y, $R^2 = 0.24$, $P < 0.01$) or with the vessels removed (Fig. 4B, slope $=-0.206$ $\mu$m/y, $R^2 = 0.22$, $P < 0.01$) showed significant thinning as a function of age. Although the slopes of the two functions were not significantly different ($F_{1,222} = 0.27$, $P = 0.61$), there was a significant age-related decrease in contribution of the major retinal vasculature to the total RNFL thickness (Fig. 4C, slope $=-0.028$ $\mu$m/y, $R^2 = 0.07$, $P = 0.01$). Consequently, the percentage of thickness from retinal vasculature remained essentially constant across ages (Fig. 4D). These results demonstrate an interesting aging dynamic in the rates of RNFL thinning and reduction of the caliber of blood vessels to maintain a nearly constant proportion of the blood vessels to the total RNFL thickness.

On the face of it, age-related changes in ONH morphology should be confined to structures with RGC axons. This is confirmed by the results of the present study, where the area of the BMO did not show an age dependent change in size (Fig. 5A), while the scaled measures for NRV (Fig. 5B, slope $=-0.004$ mm$^3$/y, $R^2 = 0.15$, $P < 0.01$), MRW (Fig. 5C, slope $=-1.77$ $\mu$m/y, $R^2 = 0.23$, $P < 0.01$) and sMRW (Fig. 5D, slope $=-1.86$ $\mu$m/y, $R^2 = 0.22$, $P < 0.01$) each declined with age. In each case, the aging function was well-defined by linear regression over the range of ages that were assessed. Although sMRW incorporates the size of the BMO, there was no significant difference between the age-dependent slopes for MRW and sMRW ($F_{1,222} = 0.04$, $P = 0.84$).

It is a further expectation that if aging effects in the RNFL and ONH NRR are uniformly related to an age-related loss in RGCs, then the rates of loss should be similar across these anatomically distinct but related areas. The differences in scaled ONH NRR and RNFL rates of change with age were investigated by converting the data to percentages of the mean of the youngest 25 subjects for each measure (Fig. 6). The results demonstrate that the normalized RNFL (data not shown) and RNFLV (Fig. 6, black symbols) thicknesses decreased at a rate of 0.19% and 0.20% per year, respectively, and not statistically different ($F_{1,222} = 0.008$, $P = 0.9$). Similarly, none of the normalized rates of change of neural rim parameters were statistically different with slopes for NRV (Fig. 6, open symbols), MRW (data not shown), and sMRW (gray symbols) of $-0.69%$, $-0.49%$, and $0.50%$ per year, respectively ($F_{2,333} = 0.91$, $P = 0.40$). However, the rates of change with age for ONH NRR parameters were significantly greater than for RNFLV thickness measures ($F_{4,555} = 5.45$, $P < 0.01$). The differences in rates of change for RNFL and NRR indicate that additional factors are involved in the age-related changes of these retinal structures.
Modeling Neural Versus Nonneuronal Changes With Age

The differences in age-related effects on RNFL thickness and ONH NRR parameters provide a purpose for building models of aging, which are based on a foundation that the axonal compositions of the RNFL and ONH NRR are identical and reflect the underlying RGC population and, therefore, differences are likely nonneuronal in nature. The normal aging effect on the RGC population was derived from histological data in six published reports (Fig. 7), but for comparison to observations of the present study, only data for subjects between 18 and 80 years of age were included. From linear regression on these histological counts, the age-associated loss was estimated at 7209 RGCs/y (Fig. 7, intercept $= 1,411,778$, $R^2 = 0.18$, $P < 0.01$). Using this linear relationship, the amount of neural contribution at any age to RNFL and ONH NRR measures were estimated (Equation 5) by using an average axon diameter of 0.83, based on an average of previously reported histological measures (0.72, 0.82, and 0.96 µm), assuming that the average axon diameter was uniform in the RNFL and optic nerve and did not change with age. The assumption was also made that the histological techniques used in the literature resulted in only minimal alteration of the axonal diameter.

$$\text{Neural component} = \frac{1,411,778}{7209 \times \text{Age}}$$

The neural component for the standard circular scan was estimated by dividing the measure from Equation 5 by the nominal scan circumference of 10,901 µm (Fig. 8A, green line).

For the age range of the current study, the slope for predicted RNFL neuronal thickness as a function of age was $0.36 \mu m/y$.
which is significantly different from the measured RNFL slopes, either with (-0.23 μm/y; Fig. 4A) or without (-0.21 μm/y, Fig. 4B) major retinal vasculature ($F_{2,225} = 6.79$, $P < 0.01$). The OCT data were used to calculate the nonneuronal component by subtracting the thickness contribution from the neural component from Equation 5. Based on these calculations, an age-related increase of the nonneuronal component (Fig. 8A, blue line), minus major retinal vasculature (Fig. 8A, red line), of 0.15 μm/y was estimated as the difference between measured thickness, without retinal vasculature, and the predicted RNFL thickness.

For comparison of the neuronal changes in the RNFL with neuronal changes at the ONH, the sMRW was estimated by dividing the histological neural component (Equation 5) by the average BMO circumference of 4825 μm. From this calculation, the predicted loss of axons of sMRW was -0.81 μm/y (Fig. 8B, green line) and significantly different from the OCT empirically derived slope of -1.86 μm/y presented in Figure 5D ($F_{1,222} = 10.18$, $P < 0.01$). In contrast to RNFL measures, the nonneuronal component of the ONH NRR tissue is substantial and decreases by -1.05 μm/y in this analysis (Fig. 8B, blue line). Therefore, the models suggest substantially different aging effects of the RNFL and ONH neural rim parameters.

**DISCUSSION**

In vivo imaging with OCT technology provides high resolution images of the retina and ONH, which provide data for continuing image applications for improvement in the diagnosis and detection in progression of optic neuropathies. For accurate analysis of OCT scans, factors including scan centration, scan quality, reference planes, segmentation, transverse scaling, and nonneuronal factors need to be taken into consideration. The results of the present study demonstrate the agreement between morphological measures of the RNFL, MRW, and NRV and their relationship with age, when individualized transverse scaling is incorporated in well-centered OCT scans.

For accurate and precise morphological measures, several factors, including the location and dimensions of the scan path, need to be taken into consideration and have been studied extensively for the standard RNFL circular scan. For example, a systematic change in both the TSNIT plot and global thickness has been reported with displacement of the RNFL scan path. In addition, RNFL thickness decreases with an increase in distance of the scan path from the ONH rim margin. Hence, in longer eyes, in which the scan path is projected further from the rim margin, the RNFL is also thinner.

The effects of ocular magnification on RNFL measures can be corrected, with the assumption that there is minimal change in the RGC axonal content within the circumpapillary region. By incorporating transverse scaling, RNFL thickness can be transformed to an area measure and subsequently to a scaled thickness for a nominal scan circumference. Although several scaling methods have been proposed, those that include both anterior segment optics and axial length are more accurate. When scaling is applied to RNFL scans, not only is the relationship with axial length not significant, but there is also a reduction in measurement variability as illustrated by the decrease in standard deviation. These scaling methods can also be applied for ONH morphological measures to improve their accuracy and precision.

For ONH measures, a significant relationship with axial length was only seen after individualized transverse scaling was applied. Although some studies illustrate a decrease or no change in ONH or BMO size with axial length, several investigations, including population-based studies that incorporated scaling, have shown similar results to those presented. The current results suggest that there is a stretching of the BMO with increase in axial length, with subsequent effects on the ONH neuronal measures. For this study, MRW was scaled to fixed BMO size (sMRW) using similar principles as for RNFL scaling, whereas NRV measures that include the BMO size in their computation were not adjusted for BMO size. Both NRV and sMRW were greater in longer eyes, but this relationship most likely does not represent a larger ganglion cell population in longer eyes, as illustrated by the lack of relationship between BMO area and RNFL thickness. Since there are significant differences in ONH size between ethnic groups, these results support studies investigating ethnic differences in ONH morphological measures and including axial length and BMO size when comparing to a normative database.

All three measures, NRV, sMRW, and RNFL, include axons of retinal ganglion cells, and should have good correspondence. Although there was a correlation between scaled RNFL and ONH NRR measures, the significant variability suggests differences in the nonneuronal component that include vasculature and glial tissue. Since the nerve fiber capillary network is continuous and similar to that of the ONH NRR tissue, it is not expected that these differences are contributory, at least in healthy normal individuals. However, the variability is most likely a reflectance on glial tissue differences. Specifically, whereas the RNFL has both astroglia and Müller cell processes, the ONH NRR tissue lacks Müller cell processes. The differences in nonneuronal tissue may...
also explain differences in the relationship of these morphological measures and age.

Age-associated changes in scaled RNFL thickness with and without vasculature removed was similar to those previously published (range -0.1-0.5 \( \mu m/y \)). The difference in slopes for the two measures was explained by the age-related decrease in major retinal vascular thickness contribution with age. The thinning of the retinal vasculature (-0.028 \( \mu m/y \)) or -0.16 \%/y) was similar to the change in retinal vessel diameter reported in the Beaver Dam population (-2.3 \( \mu m/y \) or -0.13%/y). However, the percentage vascular contribution in the present study was similar across ages, indicating a proportional decrease with age-related axonal loss.

Based on previous histological data, the estimated loss of retinal ganglion cells for the age range studied was estimated at 7209 RGCs/y, or 0.36 \( \mu m \) of RNFL thickness per year for an average axon diameter of 0.85 \( \mu m \). Using these estimates, the calculated residual RNFL thickness is similar to that reported for eyes with no light perception. With the assumption that the axon diameter does not change with age, the model would suggest an increase in nonneuronal tissue similar to that previously modeled using normative data from TD-OCT and standard automated perimetry threshold measures. Since vascular tissue decreases in caliber, the increase in nonneuronal tissue is most likely glial in nature.

For ONH morphological analysis, the MRW is a relatively new optic nerve thickness metric that in principle estimates the RNFL thickness at the BMO. And similar to RNFL measures, an age-associated decrease in this measure was noted in the present study (sMRW -1.86 \( \mu m/y \)) that was similar to that previously reported (Chauhan et al. IOVS 2014:55: ARVO E-Abstract 4028). Hence, it was ideal to estimate axonal content based on the same principles used for circumpapillary RNFL thickness measures. Based on this analysis, the loss of sMRW would be predicted at 0.81 \( \mu m/y \) with the assumption that all axons were sampled in cross-section.

The differences in age-associated changes of RNFL and ONH NRR parameters are exemplified when measures are expressed as percentages. These findings are in agreement with those from investigators who have investigated normalized age-associated changes in RNFL and ONH parameters using time domain OCT. Specifically, in a study by Sung and colleagues, ONH measures including rim area, cup volume, and vertical integrated rim area changed at a greater rate than RNFL thickness. In addition, when the same assumptions of axon size and RGC loss are used to model sMRW changes, a significant and opposite trend for nonneuronal tissue was noted. The model would suggest that the decrease in nonneuronal tissue is similar in magnitude to that of neuronal tissue. This decrease is likely glial in nature because the vascular changes in this region should be similar to that in the nerve fiber layer; whereas the circumpapillary RNFL contains Miller glia, however, MRW measures do not.

It is also likely that the discrepancy in predicted versus measured MRW relationship with age could represent differences in axonal arrangement with age. For example, in a recent report, Ren and colleagues illustrate an age dependent relationship between anterior lamina cribrosa surface (ALCS) depth and mean deviation. These age related differences in lamina and connective tissue structure could place tension on the axonal fibers subsequently increasing the angular bend at the BMO, compacting the tissue in the region, increasing the axonal density, and consequently decreasing the MRW measured.

In conclusion, RNFL and ONH NRR parameters provide information on the ganglion cell content within the eye that is important for diagnosis and management of optic neuropathy.

However, while these measures include the RGC axonal population, there also are significant nonneuronal components and age-related changes that must be considered in clinical application.
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