Central Corneal Thickness Is Highly Heritable: The Twin Eye Studies
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PURPOSE. A classic twin study was performed to determine the heritability of central corneal thickness (CCT), an important parameter in glaucoma assessment.

METHODS. The concordance of CCT between monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twins was compared. A total of 256 twin pairs (131 MZ and 125 DZ) were recruited from three centers: the Twin Eye Study in Tasmania, the Brisbane Adolescent Twin Study, and the Twins U.K. Adult Registry held at St. Thomas’ Hospital in London. As part of an extensive ophthalmic evaluation, CCT was measured by ultrasound pachymetry.

Structural equation modeling with the Mx program (Department of Psychiatry, Medical College of Virginia, Richmond, VA) was used to determine the heritability of CCT.

RESULTS. The mean age of subjects was 38 years (range, 8–81). The mean CCT of all eyes examined was 544.5 ± 37.3 μm (SD). The CCT measurements correlated more highly in MZ twins than in DZ twins, with intraocular correlation coefficients of 0.95 and 0.52, respectively, suggesting a strong genetic influence. A model of additive genetic and unique environmental effects provided the best fit, yielding a heritability of 0.95 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.93–0.96) with the remaining variance being attributable to unique environmental factors.

CONCLUSIONS. In this study of Australian and U.K. twins, genetic factors were shown to be of major importance in CCT, with a heritability of 0.95. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2005;46:3718–3722) DOI:10.1167/iovs.04-1497

Central corneal thickness (CCT) has been proposed recently as an important factor in glaucoma diagnosis and management, and as such the analysis of determinants of CCT is of interest. Given the hereditary nature of primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), future mutation screening may identify susceptible individuals, who could be closely reviewed clinically, allowing intervention before disease progression. At present, mutations in only three genes (myocilin, optineurin, and WDR-36) have been shown to cause POAG, and each accounts for only a small proportion of cases.1–3 For a complex heterogeneous disease such as POAG, the study of an intermediate phenotype or significant confounder such as CCT may facilitate identification of further glaucoma-related genes.

Elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) is an important risk factor for POAG. Reducing IOP is associated with a significant reduction in the rate of visual field progression.4 Goldmann applanation tonometry (GAT) is accepted as the gold standard for IOP measurements. Although GAT assumes a CCT of 500 μm, it has been shown to reflect most accurately the true IOP when the CCT is 520 μm.5 However, CCT varies greatly in the normal population.6 Consequently, CCT is a confounder when performing GAT, with underestimation of IOP in eyes with thin corneas and overestimation in eyes with thick corneas.7 The Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS) demonstrated that CCT significantly influences the likelihood of conversion from ocular hypertension to glaucoma.8 Thinner CCT has been associated with advanced glaucoma, although the mechanism has not yet been determined.9 CCT has been reported to be associated with glaucoma progression, although there is still debate about the issue.9

A hereditary basis for CCT was suggested in 1978 through a population-based familial aggregation study of Greenland Eskimos, which estimated CCT heritability to be between 0.6 and 0.7.10 Reduced CCT has been associated with some genetic diseases such as congenital glaucoma,11 osteogenesis imperfecta,12 Down syndrome,13 X-linked megalocornea,14 keratoconus,15 Marfan syndrome,16 and Ehlers-Danlos syndrome,17 whereas increased CCT has been found in patients with congenital aniridia.18

Twin studies are an excellent method of studying the relative importance of genetic and environmental influences on a phenotype.19 Such investigations are based on the assumption that siblings share the same environmental influences, yet monozygotic (MZ) twins have identical genes and dizygotic (DZ) twins have, on average, only half of their segregating genes in common. Thus, a greater concordance in MZ twins than in DZ twins may be attributable to genetic factors.

We conducted a classic twin study to determine the heritability of CCT in a general population. Using modern genetic modeling techniques, we compared the covariance of CCT between MZ and DZ twins.
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METHODS

Subject Recruitment

Twins were recruited for an ocular examination from three registries. Australian twins were recruited from the Twin Eye Study in Tasmania (TEST) and the Brisbane Adolescent Twin Study. U.K. twin pairs were recruited from the Twins U.K. Adult Registry held at St. Thomas' Hospital in London. All three centers invited subjects from the general population, through local and national media campaigns. In Australia, more than 30,000 sets of twins have volunteered to be on the Australian twin registry, many nominated by their parents over a decade ago. We sent invitations to all twins in Tasmania (over 1000 eligible pairs) to participate in an extensive eye examination. In Brisbane, 502 DZ pairs of adolescent twins have been involved in previous studies, including a genome-wide scan analysis of eye color. There may be bias of people with a personal or family history of eye disease agreeing to participate, the same for every population based study. More “community-minded” people are also more likely to participate. We evaluated the first 123 pairs in Tasmania and the first 12 pairs in Brisbane who had undergone pachymetry.

U.K. twins were already volunteers on the twin registry for reasons other than eye studies and were subsequently invited to have pachymetry performed either as a result of agreeing to come up for a “twin day” to meet other twins and undergo a variety of tests, or as part of a macular pigment study involving healthy female volunteers aged 18 to 50 years. In both cases, subjects were unaware of the test and its connection to glaucoma. They originally volunteered in response to appeals for twin volunteers aged more than 10 years. The Twin Research Unit had issued press releases with publications and asked for volunteers for original studies related to osteoporosis and osteoarthritis, and so only women were recruited initially, via TV, radio, magazines, newspapers. There are, at present, 8000 pairs of twins in the Twins U.K. database, and the volunteers for this study were more likely to live near London than is the average person, but did not differ in other respects from the overall group (for example, mean age). Research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, after local ethics committee approval and informed consents were obtained. To minimize ascertainment bias, participants were unaware of the pachymetry test when they volunteered.

Clinical Examination

In all subjects, topical anesthetic drops (either 0.4% oxybuprocaine or 0.5% proxymetacaine) were administered to both eyes 1 minute before CCT measurement. For the Australian twins, CCT was measured with a pachymeter (model SP 2000; Tomey Corp., Nagoya, Japan). An average of five consecutive measurements were recorded in each eye. An ultrasound pachymeter (model 500; DGH Technology, Inc., Scarsdale, NY) was used to measure the CCT of the U.K. twins, and three consecutive measurements were taken in each eye. Twin pairs were measured at the same time of day to avoid bias related to diurnal variation. A detailed ocular history and ocular examination was performed on all twins, and subjects with anterior segment disease or previous refractive surgery were excluded from the study. Two pairs were excluded from the U.K. study due to previous photorefractive keratectomy for myopia in one of the pair.

Determination of Zygosity

The zygosity of the Australian twins was determined by DNA analysis of short tandem-repeat (STR) polymorphisms, whereas in the United Kingdom, zygosity was determined by a standardized questionnaire. In cases in which there was still any doubt concerning zygosity, it was confirmed by DNA analysis of polymorphisms (AmpFl STR Profiler kit; Applied Biosystems, Inc. [ABI], Foster City, CA). The questionnaire has been found to be approximately 95% accurate in determining zygosity compared with STR polymorphisms which has an accuracy of greater than 99%. In U.K. twins, 42.5% underwent STR polymorphism analysis to confirm zygosity.

Data Analysis

The CCT for each subject was calculated as the mean CCT for the two eyes. Before pooling, results from the Australian and U.K. groups were analyzed separately, investigating for the presence of geographic differences.

Possible measurement error of CCT may occur when readings are not performed perpendicular to the cornea (and the CCT measurement will be too high). Lowest measurements may be more accurate than the mean. There was a 1% to 3% (5-15 μm) difference between the lowest CCT and the mean CCT. However, the purpose of this study was not to establish the true mean population CCT, but to compare variance within twin pairs. There should be no significant bias if standardized measurement techniques are used, such as the mean CCT. Reanalysis of the U.K. twins with the lowest CCT reading of both eyes did not alter the twin correlations found with the mean.

Maximum likelihood modeling was conducted to estimate heritability. In summary, the covariance within the MZ group was compared with that of the DZ twins. The observed phenotypic variance was defined by additive (A) or dominant (D) genetic components in conjunction with common (C) or unique (E) environmental components. Bias introduced through measurement error was incorporated into the latter component (E). Heritability, the proportion of variation attributable to genetic factors, was determined by the ratio of the variance due to genetic effects to the total phenotypic variance (h² = [A+D]/[A+D+C+E]). A significant deterioration in the fit, as determined by the hierarchic χ² test, implied the component was significant and should not be removed from the final model. The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) was used to determine the best fitting model, by describing the best goodness-of-fit combined with fewest latent variables. The model with the lowest AIC suggested the best fit.

Data management and statistical tests were performed with a computerized statistics package (STATA, ver. 8 SE; Stata Corp., College Station, TX). Genetic modeling was conducted using Mx (Department of Psychiatry, Medical College of Virginia, Richmond, VA). Data are expressed as the mean ± SD.

Table 1. Summary of Intraclass Correlations between MZ and DZ Twin Pairs from the Two Study Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>U.K. Twins</th>
<th>Australian Twins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>MZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD) (y)</td>
<td>40.6 (15.2)</td>
<td>35.4 (13.3)</td>
<td>43 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CCT (SD) (μm)</td>
<td>542 (39)</td>
<td>547 (37)</td>
<td>538 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intraclass correlation of mean CCT</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Two hundred fifty-six unselected twin pairs (131 MZ, 125 DZ) were recruited from Australia and the United Kingdom. All were white. One hundred eighty-eight pairs were same-sex female twins (117 U.K., 71 Australian), 32 pairs were same-sex male twins (3 U.K., 29 Australian), and 36 pairs were mixed-sex twins (1 U.K., 35 Australian). The mean age of all subjects was 38 ± 15 years (range, 8–81). The Australian twins were on average younger than the U.K. twins (mean age, 34 ± 17 and 45 ± 11 years, respectively). Demographic information is provided in Table 1.

The CCTs in all subjects studied were normally distributed (Fig. 1). The CCT for each subject’s right and left eyes correlated highly (intraclass correlation coefficient: 0.97). Further analysis was performed using the mean CCT of both eyes for each subject. Analysis for each eye separately was similar (results not shown).

The mean CCT of all subjects was 544.5 ± 37.3 μm. A weak negative correlation between CCT and age was identified (r = -0.09, P = 0.048). Given that this effect was small, age was not included in further analysis. There was no significant correlation of CCT with refractive error (P = 0.47).

Overall, there was no significant difference (P = 0.5, unpaired t test) in CCT between U.K. twin pairs (543.1 ± 32.6 μm) and Australian twin pairs (545.6 ± 40.9 μm). However, the CCT of U.K. MZ twins was significantly thinner than U.K. DZ twins (mean, 538 ± 32 for MZ and 549 ± 35 for DZ; P = 0.02). In the Australian group there was no significant difference between the CCT of MZ and DZ twins (P = 0.78).

Male twins were found to have a mean CCT of 550 ± 36.6 μm and female twins had a mean CCT of 542 ± 36.8 μm (Table 2), which was not significantly different (P = 0.12, unpaired t test).

CCT correlated highly within MZ twin pairs (product moment correlation coefficient, 0.95; r² = 0.9, P < 0.001), whereas the correlation of CCTs in DZ twin pairs was lower (correlation coefficient, 0.52; r² = 0.27, P < 0.001; Fig. 2). When the U.K. and Australian groups were analyzed separately, the MZ and DZ correlations were very similar to that of the whole group, with MZ correlations of 0.94 and 0.96 and DZ correlations of 0.53 and 0.52, respectively (Table 1). The MZ and DZ correlations for the average CCT were also similar if the twins of different gender were analyzed separately (Table 2). The higher correlation of CCT in MZ twins implied a strong genetic influence on CCT.

The results of maximum-likelihood modeling for all subjects are summarized in Table 3. An AE model, combining both additive genetic effects and unique environment effects, provided the best-fitting model (with the lowest AIC). The heritability of CCT in this study was calculated to be 0.95 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.93–0.96), with the remaining variance (0.05, 95% CI, 0.4–0.7) being attributable to environmental effects. These results did not significantly differ for the U.K. twins alone (b² = 0.94, 95% CI 0.91–0.96) or the Australian twins group (b² = 0.95, 95% CI, 0.93–0.97). Dominant genetic effects and shared environmental effects were found not to be significant in this study.

DISCUSSION

This study revealed CCT to be a highly heritable trait. With a heritability of 0.95, genetic factors were the primary influence in the determination of CCT. Unique environmental effect (which included measurement error) contributed only 5% of the variance. The measured heritability of CCT was substantially greater in our study than that calculated in the Greenland Eskimos study (0.6–0.7).10 Factors that could contribute to this difference include differences in study design and/or greater measurement error in the Greenland Eskimos study, as it relied on optical determination of CCT. Alternatively, fundamental population differences may exist between the white twins in this study and the Greenland Eskimo population.

Given that our results demonstrated no significant difference in CCT between male and female subjects, or between the U.K. and Australian groups, combined analysis of the sample populations was justified. The mean CCT in the total study group (mean, 544.5 μm; 95% CI, 472–617) was similar to that generated by a recent meta-analysis of normal white adult CCT, which found a mean of 535 μm (95% CI, 474–596).6 Twins have been found to be very similar to singletons for many complex traits,21 and the equal-environment assumption of twin studies is now widely accepted.22 The similarity of results between the U.K. and Australian twins, in conjunction with the

Table 2. Intraclass Correlations between MZ and DZ Twins of Different Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F/F</th>
<th>M/M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MZ/DZ</td>
<td>MZ/DZ/Mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (U.K./Australian)</td>
<td>228/126/102</td>
<td>34/2/32/30/17/270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in years (SD)</td>
<td>40.8 (15)</td>
<td>40.2 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CCT (μm) (SD)</td>
<td>540.9 (39)</td>
<td>549.1 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraclass correlation of mean CCT</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F/F, same-sex female twin pairs; M/M, same-sex male twin pairs; Mixed, mixed-sex twin pairs.

![Figure 1. Distribution of CCT.](http://iovs.arvojournals.org-pdfaccess.ashx?url=/data/journals/iovs/933436/ on 06/26/2017)
wide age range and open sampling method (population-based volunteer recruitment unselected for eye disease), may make our results generalizable to other white populations.

However, racial differences in CCT have recently been highlighted through several population studies. Nemesure et al.\(^{29}\) reported that CCT was thinner among the black Barbados population compared with the white Barbados population (mean 529.8 ± 37.7 μm vs. 545 ± 45.7 μm). Mean CCT measurements among Hispanic patients were found to lie between those of African-Americans and a white population.\(^{30-32}\) However, Cho and Lam\(^{33}\) suggested that the Chinese population has the highest mean CCT (574.5 μm). Given the difference in CCT between racial groups, our results may not be entirely applicable to those in other populations.

Our data showed a negative association of CCT with age, of marginal significance (correlation coefficient, –0.09; \(P = 0.048\)). Some studies examining the impact of age on CCT have reported no significant association, especially among white populations,\(^{30,32-34}\) whereas others have found a definite inverse association for nonwhites.\(^{29,35-37}\) There was no correlation of CCT with refractive error in this study (\(P = 0.47\)). Other studies examining this relationship have reported mixed results. In a prospective multicenter study of 896 eyes, Price et al.\(^{34}\) found no correlation of CCT with refraction or axial length. Other investigators found CCT to be thinner in myopes.\(^{34,38}\)

Although the genes determining CCT in the normal population have not been identified, there are many potential candidate genes including those that are associated with diseases having thick or thin CCT phenotypes.\(^{11-18}\) Using a combination of genome-wide scan and multipoint linkage analysis, a sibling pair study of the DZ twins from this sample population examined the potential for regression-based linkage approaches to help dissect this complex phenotype, as has been performed for other complex traits.\(^{39}\) Our preliminary data suggest that CCT is more heritable than optic disc cup area (0.86) (Poulsen JL et al. IOVS 2005;46:ARVO E-Abstract 1092), refraction (0.85),\(^{40}\) and IOP (0.7) (MacKinnon J et al. IOVS 2004;45:ARVO E-Abstract 4390). We have demonstrated that genetic effects play an important role in CCT, with an estimated heritability of 0.95. These results may lead to the discovery of further glaucoma-related genes.

**Table 3. Maximum-Likelihood Modeling of Mean CCT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>(\chi^2)</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>(P)</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADE</td>
<td>8.359</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>2.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>7.657</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>1.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>8.359</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE</td>
<td>135.883</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>127.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>343.977</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>333.977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A, additive genetic; D, dominant genetic; C, common environment; E, unique environment; \(\chi^2\), chi-square goodness of fit statistic; DF, change in degrees of freedom between submodel and full model; \(P\), probability that \(\Delta \chi^2\) is zero; AIC, Akaike Information Criterion.

**Figure 2.** Comparison of CCT between twins 1 and 2 for MZ and DZ twin pairs.
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