A Novel Homozygous Nonsense Mutation in \textit{CABP4} Causes Congenital Cone–Rod Synaptic Disorder
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\textbf{PURPOSE.} The purpose of this study was to identify the causative gene defect in two siblings with an uncharacterized cone–rod dysfunction and to describe the clinical characteristics.

\textbf{METHODS.} Genome-wide homozygosity mapping, with a 250K SNP-array followed by a search for candidate genes, was performed. The patients underwent ophthalmic examination, including elaborate electroretinography.

\textbf{RESULTS.} In a Dutch sib pair, a shared 9-Mb homozygous region was found on 11q13.1-q13.5 that encompasses the \textit{CABP4} gene, previously implicated in autosomal recessive incomplete congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB2) in two small families. A novel homozygous p.Arg216X mutation in \textit{CABP4} was detected in the sib pair. Quantitative RT-PCR on RNA isolated from patient lymphoblast cells showed no nonsense-mediated degradation of mutant \textit{CABP4} mRNA. Clinically, patients presented with reduced visual acuity, photophobia, and abnormal color vision, but they did not experience night blindness. Electroretinograms showed electonegative mixed rod-cone responses and severely reduced cone responses, as in CSNB2. Isolated rod responses, however, were (sub)normal.

\textbf{CONCLUSIONS.} A novel homozygous nonsense mutation in \textit{CABP4} in two siblings resulted in a phenotype with severely reduced cone function and only negligibly reduced rod function on electroretinography and psychophysical testing. Since these patients and two of three previously described patients do not experience night blindness, the name CSNB2 is confusing for patients as well as clinicians. Therefore, the authors propose to name the phenotype congenital cone–rod synaptic disorder. \textit{(Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50:2344–2350)}

\textit{CABP4} (Calcium-binding protein 4) has recently been described in association with autosomal recessive incomplete congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB2).\textsuperscript{1} CSNB is a non-progressive retinal disorder characterized by impaired night vision, myopia or hyperopia, nystagmus, and reduced visual acuity, with a wide intra- and interfamilial variability. All modes of Mendelian inheritance have been described for this disorder. The genes associated with CSNB encode different components of the phototransduction cascade or proteins involved in signaling from photoreceptor to the adjacent bipolar cells.\textsuperscript{1–11} Most patients with CSNB (X-linked and autosomal recessive) have a characteristic electonegative electroretinogram (ERG) (Schubert-Bornschein type)—that is, a near-normal a-wave and a substantially reduced b-wave—on testing under scotopic conditions.\textsuperscript{12} The X-linked type of CSNB was further subdivided by Miyake et al.\textsuperscript{12} into complete CSNB (CSNB1) and incomplete CSNB (CSNB2), based on differences in electrophysiology. In CSNB1 (OMIM 310500; Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Omim/ provided in the public domain by the National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD), no residual rod function is detected. In CSNB2 (OMIM 300071) the rod contribution to the scotopic b-wave is reduced but recordable. At a 30-Hz flicker stimulation, amplitudes are overall decreased, but they increase in time with a characteristic double-peak appearance (wave separation phenomenon).\textsuperscript{13} Miyake et al.\textsuperscript{12} suggested distinct pathogenic mechanisms for the different forms of CSNB, which was confirmed by the identification of the molecular causes of CSNB. For CSNB1 mutations in \textit{NYX} (MIM 300278)\textsuperscript{7} were identified in patients with the X-linked form and mutations in \textit{GRM6} (MIM 604096)\textsuperscript{7} in patients with the autosomal recessive form. Patients with mutations in \textit{GRM6} and \textit{NYX} can be distinguished clinically by a characteristic pattern at a 15-Hz flicker stimulation.\textsuperscript{14} X-linked CSNB2 is caused by mutations in \textit{CACNA1F} (MIM 300110),\textsuperscript{6} which encodes the L-type voltage-dependent calcium channel 1.4 (Ca\textsubscript{1.4}).\textsuperscript{15} In two small families with a CSNB2-like phenotype and an autosomal recessive mode of inheritance, Zeitz et al.\textsuperscript{1} recently identified mutations in the \textit{CABP4} gene (MIM 608965), which encodes the calcium binding protein \textit{CaBP4}, \textit{CaBP4} colocalizes and interacts with Ca\textsubscript{1.4} in both cone and
rod photoreceptor synaptic terminals, thereby regulating the calcium influx in the photoreceptor. Patients carrying mutations in \textit{CACNA1F} and \textit{CABP4} show a comparable phenotype. A true genotype-phenotype correlation for \textit{CABP4} has not been established yet, since only three patients have been described.\(^1\)

In this article, we present two siblings, carrying a novel homozygous nonsense mutation in \textit{CABP4}, with an ERG suggestive of CSNB2, but with nearly normal rod function and no night blindness. We show similarities between this phenotype and other disorders influencing photoreceptor synaptic calcium channels and propose to add this disorder to a novel spectrum of calcium channelopathies.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Patients**

Two affected siblings were clinically and genetically examined. Blood samples were obtained from patients and their parents. Informed consents signed by the parents were obtained according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the protocol was approved by the ethics committees of The Rotterdam Eye Hospital and the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre. Clinical assessment included best corrected visual acuity, refractive error, slit lamp examination, funduscopy, kinetic Goldmann perimetry (targets V-4e and I-4e), color vision tests (Ishihara Test for Color Blindness, American Optical Hardy-Rand-Rittler Test (AO-HRR), Farnsworth Panel D15 Test) and dark adaptometry (Goldmann-Weekers dark adaptometer). Electroretinograms (ERGs) were recorded according to a previously described protocol.\(^{12}\) For the standard ISCEV ERG measurements,\(^{60}\) Xenon tube flashes (duration <10 µs) were delivered in a Ganzfeld (Color Dome; Diagnosys, Littleton, MA). The 15-Hz protocol was recorded intermixed with the standard ISCEV ERG at the appropriate intensities, using LED flashes of 4 ms duration.

**Homozygosity Mapping**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA-treated blood samples by using standard procedures.\(^{57}\) DNA samples for SNP analysis were genotyped for 262,000 SNPs (GeneChip Mapping 250K Nsp array; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Array experiments were performed according to protocols provided by the manufacturer. The 250K SNP genotypes were analyzed with the software package CNAG,\(^{18}\) and chromosomal segments were accepted as homozygous if the loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) score was ≥15, which corresponds with an area of >200 SNPs.

**Sequence Analysis**

All six coding exons, a noncoding exon and the intron/exon boundaries of the \textit{CABP4} gene (NM_145200), were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Genomic PCR was performed in 50 µL volumes containing 100 ng genomic DNA, 0.2 mM of each primer (Table 1), 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), 1 mM dNTPs, PCR buffer provided by the manufacturer, and 5 U Taq polymerase (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands). PCR reactions were performed as follows: 92°C (3 minutes); cycles with a denaturation at 95°C (30 seconds), an annealing temperature of 68°C (3 cycles), 66°C (3 cycles), 64°C (3 cycles), 62°C (3 cycles; 30 seconds) and an elongation at 72°C (45 seconds); and a final extension at 72°C (5 minutes). PCR products were purified (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and analyzed in sense and anti-sense directions with dye termination chemistry (BigDye Terminator, ver. 3 on a 3730 or 2100 DNA analyzer; Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA). The control panel included 300 alleles from ethnically matched unrelated unaffected individuals and were screened for the c.646C>T mutation, detected in these patients had been screened before for known \textit{ABCA4} mutations and other disorders influencing photoreceptor synaptic calcium channels and propose to add this disorder to a novel spectrum of calcium channelopathies.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Patients**

Two affected siblings were clinically and genetically examined. Blood samples were obtained from patients and their parents. Informed consents signed by the parents were obtained according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the protocol was approved by the ethics committees of The Rotterdam Eye Hospital and the Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre. Clinical assessment included best corrected visual acuity, refractive error, slit lamp examination, funduscopy, kinetic Goldmann perimetry (targets V-4e and I-4e), color vision tests (Ishihara Test for Color Blindness, American Optical Hardy-Rand-Rittler Test (AO-HRR), Farnsworth Panel D15 Test) and dark adaptometry (Goldmann-Weekers dark adaptometer). Electroretinograms (ERGs) were recorded according to a previously described protocol.\(^{12}\) For the standard ISCEV ERG measurements,\(^{60}\) Xenon tube flashes (duration <10 µs) were delivered in a Ganzfeld (Color Dome; Diagnosys, Littleton, MA). The 15-Hz protocol was recorded intermixed with the standard ISCEV ERG at the appropriate intensities, using LED flashes of 4 ms duration.

**Homozygosity Mapping**

Total genomic DNA was extracted from EDTA-treated blood samples by using standard procedures.\(^{57}\) DNA samples for SNP analysis were genotyped for 262,000 SNPs (GeneChip Mapping 250K Nsp array; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). Array experiments were performed according to protocols provided by the manufacturer. The 250K SNP genotypes were analyzed with the software package CNAG,\(^{18}\) and chromosomal segments were accepted as homozygous if the loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) score was ≥15, which corresponds with an area of >200 SNPs.

**Sequence Analysis**

All six coding exons, a noncoding exon and the intron/exon boundaries of the \textit{CABP4} gene (NM_145200), were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Genomic PCR was performed in 50 µL volumes containing 100 ng genomic DNA, 0.2 mM of each primer (Table 1), 2 mM MgCl\(_2\), 1 mM dNTPs, PCR buffer provided by the manufacturer, and 5 U Taq polymerase (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands). PCR reactions were performed as follows: 92°C (3 minutes); cycles with a denaturation at 95°C (30 seconds), an annealing temperature of 68°C (3 cycles), 66°C (3 cycles), 64°C (3 cycles), 62°C (51 cycles; 30 seconds) and an elongation at 72°C (45 seconds); and a final extension at 72°C (5 minutes). PCR products were purified (Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and analyzed in sense and anti-sense directions with dye termination chemistry (BigDye Terminator, ver. 3 on a 3730 or 2100 DNA analyzer; Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA). The control panel included 300 alleles from ethnically matched unrelated unaffected individuals and were screened for the c.646C>T mutation, detected in this study, using the Amplification-Refractory Mutation System (ARMS)\(^{20}\) (Table 1).

Furthermore, 71 patients with cone–rod dystrophy and 14 with cone dystrophy were screened for mutations in \textit{CABP4}. DNA of 79 of these patients had been screened before for known \textit{ABCA4} mutations and other disorders influencing photoreceptor synaptic calcium channels and propose to add this disorder to a novel spectrum of calcium channelopathies.

**Cell Culture**

Human B-lymphocytes were immortalized by transformation with the Epstein-Barr virus according to established procedures.\(^{22}\) Epstein-Barr Virus transformed lymphoblastoid cell lines (EBV-LCLs) of the patients and controls were grown to a density of 0.7 million cells per milliliter RPMI 1640 medium (Invitrogen-Gibco, Breda, The Netherlands) con-
taining 10% (vol/vol) fetal calf serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen-Gibco), and 1% cell culture medium (GlutaMAX; Invitrogen-Gibco). Thirty-five million cells were harvested by centrifugation at 200g for 5 minutes at room temperature and resuspended in 500 μL 8 mM NaHPO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, 137 mM NaCl, and 2.7 mM KCl (pH 7.2; PBS). Cell pellets were subsequently stored at −80°C until RNA isolation.

Quantitative PCR Analysis

Total RNA was isolated from EBV-LCLs according to the manufacturer’s protocol (RNeasy minikit; Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized from 2.0 μg of total RNA using random primed hexamers (GE Healthcare, Hoevelaken, The Netherlands) and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Intron Technologies, IRTech, La Jolla, CA) in 5 μL reaction mix containing 10% (vol/vol) fetal calf serum (FCS) and 1× cell culture medium (GlutaMAX; Invitrogen-Gibco). Thirty-five million cells were harvested by centrifugation at 200g for 5 minutes at room temperature and resuspended in 500 μL 8 mM NaHPO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, 137 mM NaCl, and 2.7 mM KCl (pH 7.2; PBS). Cell pellets were subsequently stored at −80°C until RNA isolation.

The effect of the p.Q66X mutation in FTSJ1 was performed with the WHAT IF Web Interface.28 The effect of the p.Q66X mutation in FTSJ1 was not possible as the calmodulin template contains no structural information for these residues. Homology modeling of the N-terminal residues of CaBP4 was not possible as the calmodulin template contains no structural information for these residues. Homology modeling was performed with the WHAT IF Web Interface.28 The effect of the genomic mutation on the three-dimensional structure of the protein was analyzed with YASARA NOVA.29

RESULTS

Clinical Features

A brother and sister, 12 (patient II-1) and 10 (patient II-2) years of age, respectively, presented with a history of decreased visual acuity and nystagmus since early childhood. The clinical characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 2. Both reported photophobia, but did not experience night blindness. Visual acuities had not changed over the past 6 years. Slit lamp examinations were unremarkable and fundoscopy showed no abnormalities, except for a mild granular aspect of the peripheral retinal pigment epithelium in patient II-1. Dark-adaptation curves were biphasic with a slightly elevated final threshold. The standard ISCEV ERG measurements16 of both patients are shown in Figure 1. The amplitude of the rod isolated (scotopic) responses was normal in patient II-1 (124 μV) and were 2 SD below the mean in patient II-2 (46 μV; normal >45 μV).30 In both children, the mixed rod–cone responses had absent cone a-waves, and an electroretinographic configuration with absent b-waves. Cone responses were severely reduced and 30-Hz photopic flicker responses showed the double-peak waveform characteristic of CSNB2. Rod ERG responses to a 15-Hz flicker stimulation are shown in Figure 2. The measurements showed intact, slow, sensitive rod pathway responses, but no minimum ERG response or 180° phase shift, indicating absent or severely abnormal fast insensitive rod pathway responses.

Genetic Analysis

Genome-wide homozygosity mapping revealed two homozygous regions; a 9-Mb area on 11q13.1-q13.5 and a 4-Mb area on 6p22.1. The largest area comprised CaBP4, a gene previously associated with congenital stationary night blindness.1,15 Sequence analysis of CaBP4 revealed a homozygous c.646C>T substitution in exon 4 in both patients, replacing an arginine residue at position 216 by a stop codon (p.Arg216X). In both parents this change was identified heterozygously (Fig. 3). The mutation was not found in 300 alleles of ethnically matched control individuals. Jalkanen et al.32 showed that a splice-site mutation in the CACNA1F gene, the causative gene for X-linked CSNB2, resulted in cone–rod dystrophy. Cabp4−/− mice show a progressive retinal phenotype. Therefore, Zeit et al.1 hypothesized that mutations in CaBP4 could also lead to cone–rod dystrophy. Sequence analysis of all coding exons of CaBP4 was performed on 85 patients affected with cone or cone–rod dystrophy. No mutations were found in these patients, however, indicating that mutations in CaBP4 are not a frequent cause of cone or cone–rod dystrophy.

Expression Analysis

Since the premature termination codon is localized more than 55 nucleotides upstream of the last exon–exon boundary (Fig. 4), in theory the c.646C>T mutation should result in a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsense-mediated decay,55 leading to a nonsignificant 1.58-fold increase (P = 0.58, Student’s t-test;
unequal sample size, equal variance). Repetition of the experiment with RNA from independently grown lymphoblast cell lines confirmed these results and showed that NMD of \( \text{CABP4} \) mRNA of patients carrying a homozygous p.Arg216X mutation does not occur. Comparison of normalized levels of \( \text{FTSJ1} \) in the cDNA of a patient with a p.Q66X mutation in \( \text{FTJS1} \) to four control samples showed a 5.7-fold decrease in \( \text{FTSJ1} \) transcript in the patient (\( P = 0.021 \)), showing that this experiment efficiently demonstrated NMD.

**Molecular Modeling and Structural Analysis**

Since the premature stop codon does not seem to result in nonsense-mediated degradation of the mutant CABP4 mRNA, it is likely that a truncated CaBP4 protein of 216 amino acids is present. We predicted the characteristics of this truncated protein by constructing a molecular model, using the crystal structure of calmodulin (Fig. 5). The modeled domain of CaBP4 contains important negatively charged residues that can bind \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \). The location of these residues results in a typical helix-loop-helix structure, also known as an EF hand. The calmodulin template contains four of such EF hands, but because of the absence of an important negatively charged residue in the second EF hand the wild-type CaBP4 has only three functional calcium-binding EF hands.\(^1^5\) The nonsense mutation described in this study deletes the functional EF hands 3 and 4 (Fig. 5B).

For calmodulin, it is known that all four EF hands must be present to fulfill its function.\(^3^6\) Because of the functional and structural overlap between calmodulin and CaBP4,\(^1^5\) we conclude that the mutant CaBP4, lacking two functional EF hands, is not able to fulfill its physiological function.
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The phenotypical similarities in patients carrying protein-truncating mutations do not correspond with the different findings in mRNA expression levels found in Zeitz et al.1 and in our study. Quantitative PCR analysis of the previously described mutations revealed a 30% to 40% residual amount of CABP4 transcript in both a homozygous and a compound heterozygous patient,1 despite the fact that the protein-truncating mutation resides in the last exon and therefore is theo- retically not susceptible to nonsense mediated mRNA decay.33–35 In view of the significant amounts of CABP4 mRNA in both patients, these mutations could not be conclusively classified as null mutations. The mutation described in our study results in the truncation of the 59 (22%) most C-terminal amino acids. Based on the location of the p.Arg216X mutation in exon 4, the transcribed mRNA should theoretically be degraded through nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.33–35 Surprisingly, no difference in expression levels of CABP4 mRNA was found in cDNA of our patients compared with cDNA of control individuals, indicating that NMD does not occur and suggesting the presence of CABP4 transcripts. To explain why different amounts of CABP4 mRNA can result in comparable retinal phenotypes, we hypothesize that the differentially truncated CaBP4 proteins both are degraded, or present in a nonfunctional form. Geno- type-phenotype correlations based on EBV-LCL mRNA quanti- fication, however, should be interpreted with caution, because CABP4 mRNA stability may be different in peripheral blood

**DISCUSSION**

Using a genome-wide homozygosity mapping approach, we detected a novel homozygous nonsense mutation (p.Arg216X) in CABP4 in two siblings with a remarkable cone-dominated dysfunction. Patients presented with considerably reduced vi- sual acuity at a young age, nystagmus, photophobia, and se- vere dyslexia. Mutations in CABP4 have been found in patients with autosomal recessive incomplete congenital sta- onary night blindness (CSNB2). In two siblings with diag- nosis CSNB2, but without night blindness, Zeitz et al.1 discov- ered a homozygous C-terminal frameshift mutation (p.Glu267fsX91) in the last protein-coding exon, effectively replacing the last 9 amino acids with 91 aberrant amino acids. In a third, isolated patients with CSNB2 compound heterozy- gous (p.Glu267fsX91/p.Arg124Cys) mutations were found.

CABP4−/− mice carrying a homozygous null allele show a CSNB2-like phenotype. These mice showed a disturbed transmission of signals from rods and cones to bipolar cells with a more severely disturbed rod than cone function.15 In contrast to findings in the Cabp4−/− mice, our patients with p.Arg216X mutations displayed a prominent cone dysfunction. The pres- ence of this effect was deduced from clinical presentations as well as from ERG responses, which showed an absent cone a-wave in the mixed response and severely reduced amplitude in cone and 30-Hz flicker responses. The rods seemed to function nearly normal: scotopic ERG responses were normal to subnormal, dark-adapted thresholds were only minimally elevated, and patients did not experience night blindness. Another indication that cones were more affected than rods was seen in the 15-Hz flicker stimulation. The fast insensitive rod pathway uses the rod-cone gap junctions and the cone terminal synapse to transmit its signal to the bipolar cells, whereas the slow sensitive rod pathway transmits its signal directly to the rod bipolar cells.37 Therefore, the combination of a normal, slow, sensitive rod pathway response to 15-Hz flicker stimulation with an abnormal, fast, insensitive rod path- way response suggests an abnormal function of the cones and/or cone terminal synapses. While Morgans et al.19 suggest that residual rod function in patients carrying mutations in CACNAIF is maintained because rods signal through the rod-cone gap junction, our electrophysiological data suggest that rod function in patients carrying CABP4 gene mutations is to some extent reduced because of this pathway. In the two patients with the frameshift mutation described by Zeitz et al.,1 no 15-Hz scotopic measurements, dark adap- tometry, and color vision tests were performed, and no differ- entiation was made between the cone and rod a-wave at the mixed rod–cone ERG response. Therefore, we do not know to what extent the phenotypes of these patients are comparable to ours. The third patient in Zeitz et al.1 did complain of night blindness and had a mildly elevated threshold on dark adap- tometry (1 log unit). Comparison of all five patients described so far suggests that homozygous protein-truncating mutations (nonsense or frameshift) leads to a more severe phenotype, with a reduced visual acuity at a young age (20/100 around the age of 10 years), whereas the patient with a compound het- erozygous frameshift/missense mutation showed a relatively preserved visual acuity (20/30 at age 15).1

The phenotypical similarities in patients carrying protein-truncating mutations do not correspond with the different findings in mRNA expression levels found in Zeitz et al.1 and in our study. Quantitative PCR analysis of the previously described mutations revealed a 30% to 40% residual amount of CABP4 transcript in both a homozygous and a compound heterozygous patient,1 despite the fact that the protein-truncating mutation resides in the last exon and therefore is theo- retically not susceptible to nonsense mediated mRNA decay.33–35 In view of the significant amounts of CABP4 mRNA in both patients, these mutations could not be conclusively classified as null mutations. The mutation described in our study results in the truncation of the 59 (22%) most C-terminal amino acids. Based on the location of the p.Arg216X mutation in exon 4, the transcribed mRNA should theoretically be degraded through nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.33–35 Surprisingly, no difference in expression levels of CABP4 mRNA was found in cDNA of our patients compared with cDNA of control individuals, indicating that NMD does not occur and suggesting the presence of CABP4 transcripts. To explain why different amounts of CABP4 mRNA can result in comparable retinal phenotypes, we hypothesize that the differentially truncated CaBP4 proteins both are degraded, or present in a nonfunctional form. Geno- type-phenotype correlations based on EBV-LCL mRNA quanti- fication, however, should be interpreted with caution, because CABP4 mRNA stability may be different in peripheral blood

**FIGURE 3.** Pedigree of a Dutch family with two siblings affected with congenital synaptic cone–rod disorder, caused by a homozygous p.Arg216X mutation. Black symbols: affected individuals.

**FIGURE 4.** The CABP4 gene. Boxes: exons; lines: introns; gray boxes: parts of the gene that encode the EF hands, the calcium-binding elements in CaBP4; arrows: the location of primers used for quantitative PCR analysis. In bold is the mutation described in this article and in italic are the previously identified mutations in CABP4.1 **EF hand 2** is not functional.
cells versus retinal cells, and because CABP4 mRNA levels in EBV-LCLs are quite low. CABP4 is expressed in the photoreceptor synaptic terminals, both in rods and cones, where it colocalizes and interacts with the α1-subunit of the L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels Ca1.39–41 and Ca1.4.15 Although Ca1.4 channels are localized in the synaptic terminal of both cone and rod photoreceptors, Ca1.3 channels are only localized in the synaptic terminal of the cones.38 On binding with these L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels, CABP4 increases Ca2+ influx into the synapse, which increases the amount of neurotransmitter release.15 The effect of CABP4 on Ca1.3 and Ca1.4 channels, resulting in the maintenance of calcium influx through the channel, is achieved in different ways. In Ca1.3 channels CABP4 inhibits a mechanism called calcium-dependent inactivation, a negative feedback mechanism activated by calmodulin that rapidly inactivates calcium channels when intracellular calcium concentrations are elevated.40,42 Ca1.4 channels are not subject to this mechanism, but it has been suggested that CABP4 increases calcium influx through Ca1.4 channels by shifting the channels to a hyperpolarized voltage.15 Although CABP4 interacts differently with the Ca1.3 and Ca1.4 channels and distribution of Ca1.3 and Ca1.4 channels differs among the synaptic terminals of rods and cones, it remains speculative why dysfunctional human CABP4 has a stronger effect on cone function than on rod function. In our young patients with short follow-up no definite conclusions about progression can be drawn. However, a progressive course of the disease was suggested by the fact that all three patients described by Zeitz et al.1 had slowly progressive visual loss. Furthermore, more pronounced changes in the outer plexiform layer were seen in 6- to 8-month-old Cabp4−/− mice than in 2-month-old mice. The presence of both stationary and progressive phenotypes caused by mutations in one gene has been described for mutations in CAGNA1F, causing both X-linked CSNB2 and progressive cone–rod dystrophy.32 The absence of CABP4 mutations in 85 patients from The Netherlands affected with cone or cone–rod dystrophy suggests that CABP4 mutations are not a major cause for these progressive retinal dystrophies. Of interest, a slowly progressive form of autosomal recessive cone dystrophy has been described, with a rather similar, but milder phenotype than patients carrying mutations in CABP4. This disorder is caused by nonsense mutations in the CACNA2D4 gene, which encodes the α6δ-subunit of L-type voltage-dependent calcium channels. These patients presented with increasing photophobia and mildly decreasing visual acuity starting around age 30, and no night blindness. Their ERG showed mildly reduced isolated rod responses, electronegative mixed rod–cone responses, markedly diminished cone responses and the wave separation phenomenon at 30-Hz flicker stimulation.43 Thus, genes involved in the process of calcium influx in the synaptic terminal seem to lead to a spectrum of phenotypes affecting predominantly cones (CACNA2D4 and CABP4)1–43 or both rods and cones (CAGNA1F)8,11 in either a stationary or slowly progressive course.

In summary, we describe a novel homozygous nonsense mutation in CABP4 in two siblings with a phenotype in which cones are predominantly affected. Based on the electronegative mixed rod–cone responses on ERG, the disorder has previously been classified as a form of CSNB2. However, four of five patients described with mutations in CABP4 do not experience night blindness, and a stationary course of the disease is not completely evident. Furthermore, the clinical characteristics are more in agreement with a form of cone dystrophy, like the phenotype associated with mutations in CACNA2D4.45 Moreover, the dysfunctional protein is localized within the photoreceptor synaptic terminal. Therefore, we propose to rename this phenotype congenital cone–rod synaptic disorder.
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