Clinical Application of Autofluorescence Densitometry with a Scanning Laser Ophthalmoscope
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PURPOSE. Fundus autofluorescence (FAF) affects the overlying absorptive retinal pigments within the eye and can potentially be used to assess their density. This study reports a clinical application of FAF in measuring photopigments by scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (SLO).

METHODS. The study group comprised 20 healthy subjects, 4 patients with branch retinal artery occlusion (BRAO), 3 with macular hole, 3 with branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO), and 4 with resolved central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC). Serial FAF images were taken during exposure to light. The intensity of the FAF was measured at the site of the macular hole or the photocoagulation laser burn in the eyes with BRVO. The autofluorescence optical density difference (IODD) was measured from the FAF images and mapped to elucidate the topographic pattern.

RESULTS. The autofluorescence intensity showed little change at the sites of the macular holes or photocoagulation burns during exposure to light. The IODD was smallest at the center of the fovea and gradually increased with the eccentricity within 270 × 270 pixels around the fovea in healthy subjects. The amplitude of the IODD did not change in the area affected with BRAO in comparison to the unaffected area. By contrast, the IODD decreased in the area of resolved serous retinal detachment in the eyes with CSC.

CONCLUSIONS. In eyes with retinal disease, measuring the autofluorescence intensity using SLO is a feasible method of assessing the changes in the photopigments. Further studies comparing this approach with conventional methods for examining photopigments are needed. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50:2994–3002) DOI:10.1167/iovs.08-2774

Fundus autofluorescence (FAF) imaging is playing increasingly important roles in diagnosing age-related macular degeneration and macular dystrophies.1–4 FAF is generated by retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) lipofuscin,5 which is a mixture of fluorophores that represent the digested residues of the retinal outer segments.6 Previous studies have reported that FAF is influenced by the overlying retina.3,7,8 The intensity of FAF may be altered by the bleaching of photopigments. Reflection densitometry is the only objective method for investigating visual photopigments in a living eye.9–16 The possibility of applying FAF to densitometry has been suggested in a previous report.17 The recently developed scanning laser ophthalmoscope HRA2 (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) makes it possible to observe changes in the FAF during exposure to light (Fig. 1). FAF with the HRA2 can be applied to the imaging densitometry of autofluorescence. Imaging densitometry has the advantage of assessing the topographical changes of human photopigments compared with single-spot densitometry.15,18 Unlike in reflectometry with scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (SLO), in densitometry using FAF, the optical crosstalk caused by the surface of the retina and the choroid is thought to be relatively minor. However, the distribution of lipofuscin and macular pigments, pathologic changes of the RPE, and the thickness of the sensory retina may all contribute to the FAF intensity during exposure to light. The relationships between these factors and autofluorescence intensity must be known to determine the efficacy of autofluorescence densitometry. We studied the characteristics of autofluorescence optical density differences caused by photopigments using the HRA2 system.

METHODS

Population

FAF images were obtained from 20 subjects (4 women and 16 men; mean age, 56 years; age range, 26–73) who were free of retinal diseases or significant cataract and corneal opacity. These individuals did not have any general diseases with the exception of hypertension. All the subjects were Japanese. No pseudophakic or aphakic eyes were included in this group. For each subject, two sessions on the same eye were conducted, more than 1 month apart. Images were acquired from only one eye per session. The FAF of the eyes with fundus diseases was also examined to illustrate the characteristics of autofluorescence optical density differences in various retinal lesions. These diseases included stage 4 macular hole (n = 3), long-standing branch retinal artery occlusion (BRAO; n = 4), and central serous chorioretinopathy (CSC; n = 4). To assess photocoagulated burns, we examined three patients with old branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO; n = 3). The eligible patients with BRAO and BRVO from 6 to 24 months after onset had little retinal edema and retinal hemorrhage. In the patients with CSC 6 months or more after onset, serous retinal detachment (SRD) was completely resolved. We assessed the photoreceptor inner/outer segment junction (IS/OS) with optical coherence topography (OCT) at the time of the examination. The IS/OS was well preserved in the eyes with BRAO. In the eyes with BRVO and CSC, the OCT IS/OS was disturbed in the fovea of the affected area of SRD. The tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki were observed. The Institutional Review Board of the University of Fukushima Medical School, Japan, granted approval for this project. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and patients.

Retinal Imaging

The FAF images were recorded with an HRA2 confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope. The wavelength of excitation was 488 nm (0.2 mW at the cornea). The detection filter transmitted light at wavelengths >500 nm. The size of the image field was 30° × 30° (768 × 768 pixels). We took care to ensure that all the images covered the optic disc and the macula. The subjects were dark-adapted for 30 minutes. After achieving the required eye alignment and focusing in the infrared mode, serial FAF images were taken at a rate of 4.7 Hz for eyes with dilated pupils during a period of >40 seconds from the beginning of the acquisition. During image acquisition, the fundus was exposed to laser illumination. All the subjects and patients were instructed to gaze intensely at the external
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fixation light with the fellow eye (that is, the eye that was not being examined). The layer structure of the retina was examined by spectral domain (SD)-OCT using the 3D-OCT-TM system (Topcon, Tokyo, Japan) and Cirrus-TM HD-OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany).

**Image Analysis**

Before analysis, the serial FAF images were aligned to fix the viewpoint by using the software installed in the HRA2 system. The movie files were output as AVI files for the measurements. The FAF intensity in the macular holes and areas affected by laser coagulation therapy was measured after setting the square region of interest within these areas.

To measure the distribution of the FAF intensity, 9 × 9 grids of 30 × 30 pixels were allocated to the image of the fovea. The center of the grid was aligned at the darkest point in the fovea on the last of the serial images (Fig. 2A). The intensity of each point was measured as an eight-bit grayscale value on the frame of the AVI file (Glay-bal; Liberally Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Eye movement and blinking caused nonuniform illumination and regional variations of the autofluorescence intensity; these data were excluded from the analysis. To correct the slow intensity change during the image acquisition, the difference of the intensity of the vessels at the disc rim during the session was subtracted from the reading value of each grid.

![Figure 1: Changes of autofluorescence images caused by exposure to light. (A) Autofluorescence image at the beginning of observation using HRA2. (B) Autofluorescence image after a 40-second observation. The intensity of autofluorescence was increased by the bleaching of photopigments.](image1)

![Figure 2: Measurement in normal subjects. (A) Display image of the measurement of autofluorescence intensity. The mean intensity in each cell of the 9 × 9 grid was measured and fitted to the exponential formula. (B, C) False-color maps of isointensity contours of log[F(end)] (B) and fODD (C). The maps were created by interpolating across each grid and assigning different colors automatically. Log[F(end)] was the actual value measured at the end of the measurement. fODD was calculated by fitting the logarithm of autofluorescence to equation 2.](image2)
**Data Analysis**

To estimate the ODD, the grayscale value during exposure to light was fitted to the following formula. During bleaching, the fraction of photopigments can change in an exponential fashion.9,11 The intensity of FAF at time \( t \) was described as

\[
F(t) = F(\infty) \times 10^{\log_fODD \times \exp(-kt)} \tag{1}
\]

where \( F(t) \) is the measured autofluorescence at time \( t \), \( F(\infty) \) is the autofluorescence at an infinite time when the \( F(t) \) approaches a constant level, \( fODD \) is the optical density difference of the pigment between the dark-adapted density and the density of the pigment after an infinitely long duration, and \( k \) is the time constant relating the chromophore properties and the intensity of light at the site of measurement. After taking the log of both sides,

\[
\log[F(t)] = \log[F(\infty)] - fODD \times \exp(-kt) \tag{2}
\]

we fitted the logarithmic value of the FAF intensity to equation 2 on a least-squares basis with the Levenberg-Marquardt method, which gives the three unknown parameters (\( \log[F(\infty)] \), \( fODD \), and \( k \)), with commercial computer software (Origin 8; OriginLab Corp., Northampton, MA). In this method, \( \log[F(\infty)] \) is calculated by extrapolation. The \( fODD \) is given as the value when the \( \log(F) \) reaches a constant level. The results were displayed using a contour map classified into 11 phases. When the intensity of autofluorescence decreased or the change of the autofluorescence intensity was too small to fit equation 2 at the site of measurement, the \( fODD \) of the site was treated as 0.

To compare the distribution of FAF, the logarithmic value of the grayscale at the end of the measurement (\( \log[F(\text{end})] \)) was mapped in the same manner. The value of \( \log[F(\text{end})] \) was the actual value near \( \log[F(\infty)] \), but was not the same as \( \log[F(\infty)] \).

**Statistical Methods**

The differences of \( \log[F(\infty)] \), \( fODD \), and \( k \) at the temporal fourth grid in two sessions were analyzed by the paired \( t \)-test. To evaluate the symmetry of the intensity of FAF (\( \log[F(\text{end})] \) and the \( fODD \), we compared the mean and SD at the symmetrical site of the grid on vertical and horizontal lines through the center of the fovea. The difference at the symmetrical site was tested by the paired \( t \)-test.

**FIGURE 3.** Vertical and horizontal distributions of \( \log[F(\text{end})] \) and \( fODD \) throughout the session. (A) Horizontal distribution of \( \log[F(\text{end})] \). (B) Vertical distribution of \( \log[F(\text{end})] \). (C) Horizontal distribution of \( fODD \). (D) Vertical distribution of \( fODD \). (a-d) The mean of (A-D), respectively. Vertical lines show the SD. At the fourth grid from the center of the fovea, the intensity of FAF was seen temporally, followed by nasally, superiorly, and inferiorly. The mean \( fODD \) was significantly higher superiorly than inferiorly. \(*P < 0.05\).
TABLE 1. Intensity of Autofluorescence in the Fourth Grid Temporal to the Fovea in a Total of 40 Sessions in 20 Normal Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>log((F(\infty)))</th>
<th>fODD</th>
<th>(k )</th>
<th>log((F(\infty)))</th>
<th>fODD</th>
<th>(k )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5551</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
<td>0.0580</td>
<td>1.5540</td>
<td>0.0572</td>
<td>0.0499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5563</td>
<td>0.0350</td>
<td>0.0585</td>
<td>1.5798</td>
<td>0.0473</td>
<td>0.0569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5820</td>
<td>0.0544</td>
<td>0.0617</td>
<td>1.5871</td>
<td>0.0602</td>
<td>0.0682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6627</td>
<td>0.0652</td>
<td>0.1613</td>
<td>1.6712</td>
<td>0.0580</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8006</td>
<td>0.0649</td>
<td>0.0669</td>
<td>1.7858</td>
<td>0.0940</td>
<td>0.0624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.6555</td>
<td>0.0948</td>
<td>0.0763</td>
<td>1.6220</td>
<td>0.0686</td>
<td>0.0884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.6025</td>
<td>0.1039</td>
<td>0.0791</td>
<td>1.6073</td>
<td>0.0890</td>
<td>0.0668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5950</td>
<td>0.0643</td>
<td>0.0621</td>
<td>1.5984</td>
<td>0.0809</td>
<td>0.0556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6104</td>
<td>0.1023</td>
<td>0.0608</td>
<td>1.6610</td>
<td>0.0988</td>
<td>0.0571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.6972</td>
<td>0.0953</td>
<td>0.1656</td>
<td>1.6805</td>
<td>0.1131</td>
<td>0.0517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.7072</td>
<td>0.1027</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
<td>1.7035</td>
<td>0.1245</td>
<td>0.0652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.7258</td>
<td>0.1028</td>
<td>0.0668</td>
<td>1.7235</td>
<td>0.1273</td>
<td>0.0717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.7168</td>
<td>0.1260</td>
<td>0.0675</td>
<td>1.7295</td>
<td>0.0992</td>
<td>0.0565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.4581</td>
<td>0.1362</td>
<td>0.0561</td>
<td>1.5046</td>
<td>0.0580</td>
<td>0.0520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7120</td>
<td>0.1517</td>
<td>0.0696</td>
<td>1.6948</td>
<td>0.1532</td>
<td>0.0757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.9072</td>
<td>0.1520</td>
<td>0.0675</td>
<td>1.8828</td>
<td>0.1581</td>
<td>0.0708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.5716</td>
<td>0.1418</td>
<td>0.0755</td>
<td>1.6011</td>
<td>0.1483</td>
<td>0.0880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.6228</td>
<td>0.0874</td>
<td>0.0522</td>
<td>1.6113</td>
<td>0.0762</td>
<td>0.0418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.5549</td>
<td>0.1145</td>
<td>0.1051</td>
<td>1.5591</td>
<td>0.0937</td>
<td>0.1245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.6731</td>
<td>0.1156</td>
<td>0.0612</td>
<td>1.6805</td>
<td>0.1131</td>
<td>0.0517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave</td>
<td>1.6488</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
<td>0.0770</td>
<td>1.6518</td>
<td>0.0956</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vertical and horizontal distribution is shown in Figure 3. Log(\(F(\text{end})\)) is the logarithmic value of the grayscale at the end of the measurement. fODD is the optical density difference in FAF. \(k\) is the time constant. There was no significant difference between the two sessions according to the paired \(t\)-test. \(t = 0.464\) (log(\(F(\infty)\))), 0.868 (fODD), and 0.2610 (\(k\)).

RESULTS

The autofluorescence intensity increased during exposure to laser light in the normal eyes (Fig. 1). The background of FAF at the beginning of acquisition was dark (Fig. 1A). The retinal vessels were clearly delineated at the end of acquisition, depending on the increase in the intensity of the background.

Healthy Subjects

Summaries of the vertical and horizontal distributions of the peak autofluorescence intensity (log(\(F(\text{end})\))) and the fODD are shown in Figure 3. The grayscale value at the site of measurement was corrected by the grayscale value of the disc in the same frame, which was considered to be almost constant during exposure to light. The intensity of autofluorescence (log(\(F(\text{end})\))) was lowest at the center of the fovea and increased gradually toward the periphery within a 270-pixel square. At the fourth grid from the center of the fovea, the intensity of FAF was seen temporally, followed by nasally, superiorly, and inferiorly. The difference in logarithmic intensity between the center of the fovea and the temporal fifth grid was 0.244 ± 0.063.

The fODD was generated by fitting to a simple exponential formula, equation 2. The fODD was smallest at the center of the contiguous eight grids. The mean fODDs were too small to fit the curve in 12 (30%) of the 40 sessions in the 20 normal eyes. In comparison with the symmetrical positions of the grids, the mean fODDs did not differ between the horizontal grids. The mean fODDs were significantly higher superiorly than inferiorly. The \(k\) value showed various distributions, rather than a specific distribution. In false-color maps of the iso-intensity contours of autofluorescence in the bleached state, the distribution of the log(\(F(\text{end})\)) value showed a concentric pattern (Fig. 1B). The fODD increased gradually with the eccentricity within a 270-pixel square, but the pattern was not the same as the autofluorescence distribution (Fig. 2C). The fitting results of log(\(F(\infty)\)), \(k\), and fODD were calculated at the point of the fourth grid temporal to the fovea in two sessions (Table 1). There was no significant difference between the two sessions according to the paired \(t\)-test; \(t = 0.464\) (log(\(F(\infty)\))), 0.868 (fODD), and 0.2610 (\(k\)). Overall, for the 40 sessions, the mean ± SD values of log(\(F(\infty)\)), fODD, and \(k\) were 1.65 ± 0.093, 0.096 ± 0.035, and 0.073 ± 0.029, respectively.

Eyes with Diseases

Patients with macular hole showed high autofluorescence intensity compared with normal subjects at the beginning of the measurement period, which did not increase significantly during exposure to light (Fig. 4). The mean values of the slope of the linear regression curve for the three patients were −0.00022, −0.00009, and −0.00030, respectively (Table 2).

The normal data represents the autofluorescence intensity change at the center of the fovea in the eye shown in Figure 2 and were fitted to the linear regression curve to compare the data. The fitting to the exponential formula failed in three patients with macular hole.

*Fit to Eq. 2, resultant fitting of logarithmic autofluorescence to equation 2.
The three patients with BRVO underwent laser coagulation of the affected area with a yellow laser (Multicolor Laser; Nidek, Gamagori, Japan). The fODD was decreased in the affected area with BRVO. In a 63-year-old man, the IS/OS line was distinguishable in this area, although the intensity of the intermediate line between the IS/OS line and the RPE line decreased (Figs. 5H, 5I). The change in FAF was too slight to fit to equation 2, where SD-OCT revealed a change in autofluorescence intensity during exposure to light.

Bleaching of the photoreceptor was not detected in intensity after 13 of the 15 laser burns was too small to fit equation 2 (86.7%). The intensity increased in the area outside of the photocoagulation burn near the vascular arcade. At the sites of the burns, the intermediate line decreased in the area corresponding to the affected region of SRD, although the map of log[f(End)] showed hyperautofluorescence in this area. At 10 months after onset, the intensity of autofluorescence decreased at the edge of the burns. The center of the burns showed weak autofluorescence. (D) The large square around the fovea shows the range of the measured optical density difference in (G). (Dashed line: the position scanned by SD-OCT in (E). The 16 small squares near the vascular arcade indicate the positions scanned by SD-OCT and measured for fODD in (H) and (I). (E) SD-OCT image. The intermediate line is clearly delineated in the healthy area (white arrowbeads). The intensity of the intermediate line decreased in the affected area (open arrowbeads). (F) Color map of log[f(End)]. (G) Color map of fODD. The fODD decreased in the area affected by BRVO. (H) Graph showing the fODD in the 16 linearly aligned areas across the laser burn. The SD-OCT image shows the retinal tomography corresponding to the 16 areas where the fODD was measured. White arrowbeads: laser burns. fODD decreased in the affected regions (areas 8–13). The laser burns (areas 2, 3, and 14) showed a further decrease of the fODD compared with the surrounding area. (I) The intensity of autofluorescence changed during exposure to light in areas 13 to 15. The intensity was converted to the logarithmic value. The intensity in the laser burn region (area 14) was not increased by exposure to light.

The retina was atrophic in the affected area of eyes with long-standing BRAO at more than 3 months after onset. In a 33-year-old man, the layer structure of the inner retina disappeared on the SD-OCT images. However, the IS/OS line was well preserved. The map of the fODD demonstrated concentric patterns in the four eyes with BRAO (Fig. 6).

The fODD was measured in the four eyes with resolved CSC more than 6 months after onset. The map of the fODD was depressed in the area corresponding to the affected region of SRD, although the map of log[f(End)] showed hyperautofluorescence in this area. SD-OCT revealed that the IS/OS line was attenuated within the affected region (Fig. 7). The response of multifocal ERG also decreased in the inferior fovea where the fODD decreased.

The characteristics of the fODD are summarized in Table 3.
**DISCUSSION**

FAF is a useful tool for diagnosing hereditary diseases and investigating macular disorders. Because autofluorescence generated by the RPE cells travels through the sensory retina, the intensity of the FAF changes according to the status of the overlying retina. Although a change in FAF due to retinal degeneration was reported previously, this measurement has not been applied clinically. In the present study, we used autofluorescence from the RPE to evaluate photopigments, using the HRA2 (Heidelberg Engineering).

**Technique**

The HRA2 provides high-contrast images of FAF. However, at the beginning of the examination, it was difficult for the examinees to gaze at the same point, and the intensity of FAF was not always sufficient to be measured. Furthermore, a 40-second exposure to the HRA2 may not be sufficient for full bleaching, especially for the cone photopigments. For these reasons, we calculated the fODD, using extrapolation by fitting a simple exponential curve. This technique was used in previous reports to estimate the optical density change during bleaching. We were able to obtain the \( \log(\text{ODD}) \), fODD, and \( k \) values from the formula. By extrapolation, we could estimate the \( \log(\text{ODD}) \) when the \( \log(\text{ODD}) \) reached a constant level. However, a constant level of \( \log(F) \) does not denote a fully bleached condition, because the photopigment can reach equilibrium through steady state illumination. The equilibrium depends on the intensity of illumination and the chromophores. The intensity of the bleaching light was different at each site that was measured, because the 488-nm bleaching light was attenuated by macular pigments. When we hypothesized that the intensity of autofluorescence was constant in all areas of the fundus, the log density difference was estimated to be approximately 0.18 to 0.30, which was the difference of \( \log(\text{ODD}) \) between the fovea and the temporal fifth grid. Although this difference is mainly thought to affect the intensity of excitation light, the contribution to autofluorescence intensity cannot be estimated because the actual distribution of fluorophore density and the coefficient for autofluorescence emission are unknown. To eliminate this problem, we could use a longer wavelength for excitation. For these reasons, it is difficult to determine the abnormality of the photopigment from the actual value of fODD; the map of fODD was thus created to detect the abnormality of the photopigment. When interpreting the map of fODD, one should take into consideration the influence of the macular pigment. Previous reports assessing optical density differences used the difference of the logarithmic value of the pixel. As it is difficult to align the pictures on a pixel-to-pixel basis using this method, we measured multiple regions of interest and reconstructed a false-color map for the evaluation of the fODD.

**Normal Data**

The mean ± SD of 90 pixels temporal to the fovea were 0.096 ± 0.035. The fODD value was approximately 50% of that reported in previous studies using autofluorescence densitometry or reflectometry. This difference may depend on the eccentricity of the measurement or the wavelength. The distribution patterns of FAF in the bleached condition on the vertical and horizontal lines were similar to those reported by Delori et al. The fODD also showed a concentric pattern, and the fODD value in the superior retina was higher than that in the inferior retina. Because the mapping data for the fODD in 9 × 9 grids exhibited remarkable similarity to the patterns of the rod photoreceptor, it appeared mainly to represent the distribution of the optical density difference of the rods. The fODD was higher in the superior retina than in the inferior retina in the present study. A reduction of the anatomic rod density in the inferior retina with age is consistent with our results. Because the excitation laser at 488 nm contributed to the results of the fODD, the absorption of the macular pigment must be considered when interpreting these data. The fODD at the center of the grids did not reach 0 in 12 sessions in six eyes. The lipofuscin excited by 488-nm light generates autofluorescence between 500 and 750 nm. As the barrier filter of the HRA2 passes light of >500 nm, the fODD should be affected not only by rod pigments but also by cone pigments. The fODD of cones and rods is influenced mainly by the excitation light.
rather than the emitted autofluorescence, the latter of which is not guided by the photoreceptors for cones and is absorbed less by rods.17

Eyes with Diseases

When the fODD is measured, the FAF intensity from the RPE should not be changed by exposure to light, even in the damaged RPE. As the RPE cells contain a substance derived from retinol,30 the autofluorescence intensity may change during strong exposure to light. The laser power (0.2 mW) at 488 nm for the HRA2 system was higher than that reported for conventional imaging densitometry (0.07 mW).13 We measured the autofluorescence intensity in macular holes where the RPE was directly exposed to the light, and found that the intensity of autofluorescence increased from the center of the fovea to the lower temporal area. (B-2) Overlay image of map of maximum intensity of autofluorescence (log[Fend])).

The intensity of autofluorescence decreased in the area showing hyperautofluorescence. (C) Vertical slice of SD-OCT image through the fovea. The intensity of the signal of the IS/OS line was attenuated in the area of hyperautofluorescence (white arrows). (D) Multifocal electroretinogram recorded at the same visit. The amplitude decreased from the temporal to inferior retina, where the response of fODD decreased.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>Response of fODD</th>
<th>Inner Retina</th>
<th>Outer Retina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macular hole</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photocoagulation burns</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Damaged</td>
<td>Damaged or absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAO</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Damaged</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Almost normal</td>
<td>Damaged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Summary of Autofluorescence Change and fODD in Various Eye Diseases

Figure 7. A 58-year-old man 10 months after the onset of CSC. (A) Color fundus photograph at the first visit showing SRD (arrows). (B-1) FAF image after resolution of SRD at the examination. Dashed line: the area of the measurement. (B-2) Overlay image of map of maximum intensity of autofluorescence (log[Fend])).
intensity of FAF decreased slightly during exposure to light (the average of the slope was ~0.00039), which may have been caused by photobleaching of the fluorophores. When the RPE is covered with the sensory retina, the contribution of this effect to the results may decrease. However, the fODD could be underestimated, because of the attenuation of autofluorescence of the RPE during exposure to light.

Moderately coagulated laser burns have autofluorescence.31 The RPE shows both proliferation and hypertrophy histopathologically.32 To examine the autofluorescence of the damaged RPE, we measured the autofluorescence intensity in the areas of the laser burns. At the center of the moderately coagulated laser burns in the eyes with long-standing BRVO, SD-OCT revealed disruption of the IS/OS line and atrophy of the inner retina. Because the fODD was small or absent in the laser burns, the autofluorescence from the pathologic RPE did not change during the measurement. The fODD could thus be assessed for a damaged RPE. This result suggests that the integrity of the IS/OS line in SD-OCT shows a good correspondence to the fODD response.

Focal or multifocal ERG shows a reduced response in the area affected by BRAO.33 However, SD-OCT shows a well-preserved IS/OS line in the region of artery occlusion.34 The impact on the outer segment of the retina of BRAO remains controversial. To our knowledge, the response of the outer segment to light stimuli in eyes with BRAO has not been established. The fODD map of eyes with BRAO showed a concentric pattern similar to that in the eyes of the normal subjects. This result indicated that the function of the photopigment was preserved in eyes with longstanding BRAO, although the electrophysiological function of the photoreceptor cells was impaired. The dysfunction of the Müller cells may affect the difference between the fODD and the electrophysiological response.

CSC is thought to be an acute disorder of the choroid that causes SRD and damage to the retina. The amplitude of the electrophysiological response is attenuated in areas of resolved SRD.55,56 The sensitivity according to the micropenetrator is lower within the previously affected area of SRD.57 Disturbance of the IS/OS line in the affected area was also demonstrated recently by SD-OCT.58 A low fODD amplitude is consistent with these results and a previous report of conventional densitometry.59 In the present study, the fODD decreased in the area showing hyperautofluorescence and IS/OS attenuation in the eye with CSC. The area showed a decrease of the fODD amplitude that corresponded to the area of decreased amplitude in the multifocal electroretinogram (Fig. 7D). A decrease of photopigment is considered to be one reason for electrophysiological impairment in resolved CSC.60 The fODD is an acceptable measure with which to evaluate the photopigment abnormalities caused by disorders of the outer retina.

The photopigment could be assessed using the fODD in diseases involving the inner and outer retina (Table 3). SD-OCT can delineate anatomic disorders of the retina, including those in the outer segment of photoreceptor cells.61-64 However, the function of the outer segment cannot be assessed by OCT. As we were able to assess focal abnormalities of the outer segment by autofluorescence, it could be useful in elucidating the mechanism of retinal diseases in combination with SD-OCT.

The main weaknesses of densitometry by autofluorescence are the difficulty of quantifying the amplitude of the optical density differences of photopigments due to interindividual variations in the autofluorescence and the limitations of the measurements in cases of weak FAF. These problems may be improved by using a longer wavelength laser for emission. The fODD should be affected by the optical density differences of both cone pigments and rod pigments, because of the filter set of the HRA2 system. We could not distinguish whether changes of the cone pigments or the rod pigments contributed to the fODD. Moreover, absorption by the macular pigments may have affected the fODD. However, we believe that the fODD is useful for evaluating the photopigments clinically, because it can indicate abnormalities through a comparison of the distributions on the map. In particular, the fODD is useful for investigating the relationship between autofluorescence abnormalities and photopigments.

In conclusion, we showed that densitometry with a commercially available SLO system was suitable for assessing focal abnormalities of photopigments in eyes with retinal diseases. This method may also be useful for the assessment of macular diseases clinically.
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