Changes in Fibroblast Mechanostat Set Point and Mechano sensitivity: An Adaptive Response to Mechanical Stress in Floppy Eyelid Syndrome
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PURPOSE. Floppy eyelid syndrome (FES) is an acquired hyperelasticity disorder affecting the upper eyelid. The tarsal plate becomes hyperelastic with a loss of intrinsic rigidity. As a result, the eyelid is subjected to cyclic mechanical stress. This condition was used as a model to investigate changes in dynamic fibroblast contractility in the context of chronic cyclic mechanical stress.

METHODS. Contractile efficiency was investigated in a free-floating, three-dimensional collagen matrix model. Intrinsic cellular force measurements and responses to changes in gel tension were explored using a tensioning culture force monitor (t-CFM). Gene expression differences between cell lines exhibiting differences in contractile phenotype were explored with a genome level microarray platform and RT-PCR.

RESULTS. FES tarsal plate fibroblasts (TFs) showed an increased contractile efficiency compared with the control, and t-CFM measurements confirmed a higher intrinsic cellular force at plateau levels. Cyclic stretch/relaxation experiments determined that TFs in FES maintained a functional tensional homeostasis response but with an altered sensitivity, operating around a higher mechanostat set point. Gene expression array and RT-PCR analysis identified V-CAM1 and PPP1R3C as being upregulated in FES TFs.

CONCLUSIONS. These changes may represent an adaptive response that allows tensional homeostasis to be maintained at the high levels of tissue stress experienced in FES. Gene expression studies point to a role for V-CAM1 and PPP1R3C in mediating changes in the dynamic range of mechanosensitivity.
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Floppy eyelid syndrome (FES) is an acquired hyperelasticity disorder affecting the upper eyelid. The upper eyelid is a composite structure consisting of skin, orbicularis oculi muscle fibers, tarsal plate, and conjunctiva in an anterior-to-posterior sequence (see Fig. 1). The tarsal plate consists of dense collagenous fibrous tissue running along the width of the upper eyelid. It is the stiffest component that acts to maintain the integrity of the upper eyelid and prevent distortion.13 In FES, the tarsal plate ECM undergoes dramatic biomechanical changes, becoming pliant and hyperelastic, allowing the upper lid to become everted with ease and exposing the ocular surface, causing papillary conjunctivitis and exposure keratopathy.12 Although this condition most often results in severe discomfort, serious complications including corneal vascularization and scarring,13,14 ulcerative microbial keratitis,15,16 and corneal perforations17,18 have been widely reported. The etiology of the disease is unknown, although a decrease in mature elastic fiber abundance in the tarsal plate16,19 and an associated upregulation of elastase MMP activity have been identified.15 Treatment has been limited to surgically restoring tension to the tarsal plate by resecting and tightening the upper eyelid.20 There is a significant association between the laterality of the condition and sleeping side,21 as exposure of the ocular surface occurs at night by way of the upper lid’s being distorted by interaction with the pillow.12 The result is that the upper lid is exposed to recurrent pathologic cyclic loading and eversion at night, thus providing a model for how chronic cyclic tissue stress could affect cell mechanostat levels. As FES involves both changes in the biomechanical properties of the ECM and also pathologic cyclic tissue loading, we hypothesized that cells in the affected tissue may present a dysregulated tensional homeostasis response. The purposes of this study were to investigate how the intrinsic cellular force generated by fibroblasts is altered in the context of FES, as well as the nature of any change in tensional homeostasis response and associated changes in gene expression.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Tissue Harvesting and Cell Culture**

Full-thickness upper lid tissue was harvested from patients who were undergoing upper lid tightening for the treatment of FES. Full regional ethics committee approval was granted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Three different upper lid tissues providing the greatest contribution to the mechanical integrity of the upper eyelid were studied: the orbicularis oculi muscle, the tarsal plate, and conjunctiva (see Fig. 1 for a schematic representation of the anatomic layers of the upper eyelid). Five full-thickness samples were obtained. A corresponding number of healthy control tissues were collected from tumor resection (after tumor excision), blepharoplasty, and ptosis surgery. No patients received radiotherapy. Primary cell cultures were derived from these different tissue types. Tarsal tissues were cut into small pieces and subjected to collagenase digestion (5% collagenase diluted 1:10 in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline; DPBS, cat. no. 14040; Invitrogen-Gibco, Paisley, UK) for 10 minutes before plating. The conjunctiva and orbicularis tissues were cut into small pieces with a scalpel and subjected to collagenase digestion (5% collagenase, 1:10 dilution in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 4500 mg/mL glucose, L-glutamine, and pyruvate (cat. no. 41966; Invitrogen-Gibco), with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS cat. no. F9665; Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK), 100 U/mL of penicillin, 100 U/mL of streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine (cat. no. 25030; Invitrogen-Gibco). The cells were maintained in complete medium in tissue culture incubators with 5% CO2 and at 95% humidity at 37°C. The cells that had reached confluence were passaged and split in a ratio of 1:4.

**Collagen-Contraction Assay**

Free-floating, fibroblast-seeded, three-dimensional collagen matrices were prepared according to a previously described method.22 In brief, a collagen gel solution was prepared with 830 μL of rat tail type I collagen in acetic acid (2.1 mg/mL in 0.6% acetic acid, cat. no. 60-30-810; First Link, Birmingham, UK) to which 160 μL of concentrated medium (made up from 3.5 mL 10× DMEM [Sigma-Aldrich]; 150 μL l-glutamine, cat. no. 25030 [Invitrogen-Gibco]; and 900 μL sodium bicarbonate 7.5% S8761 [Sigma-Aldrich]) were added. The collagen solution was then rapidly adjusted to pH 7.0 with NAOH, to induce collagen polymerization. A variety of different cell concentrations (at passages 3–6) were used to determine which concentration would allow the sensitivity of the test to differentiate best between diseased and control cell lines. A cell suspension containing the desired number of cells (1 × 104) was centrifuged at 1400 rpm for 4 minutes. Supernatant was aspirated and the cell pellet resuspended in serum. The cell suspension was then added to the collagen solution and gently mixed. The cell-seeded collagen suspensions were then cast into the shape of 150-μL buttons of 14 mm diameter, using the central well of a 35-mm glass-bottomed culture dish (MatTek Corp., Ashland, MA) as a mold. The buttons were then placed in a tissue culture incubator. After 30 minutes of polymerization at 37°C, the buttons were manually detached from the central well by scoring the circumference of the well with a needle. The nascent gels were then floated in medium and placed in the incubator. Whole matrix contraction was measured by using digital photography immediately after the release of the polymerized matrix (t0) and then every 24 hours for 7 days (t7). Images were imported into ImageJ 1.40g software (developed by Wayne Rasband, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD; available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/index.html). Gel surface area was normalized to the area calculated at t0 by the following formula:

\[
A(t_r) = A(t_0) - (100r_0^2/r_m^2)\]

where A is the percentage of initial gel surface area, and \(r_m\) is the radius. Matrix-contraction assays were conducted in all cell lines in triplicate. A set of acellular gels was cast for the control experiments. Gel viability was assessed in gels containing conjunctival and TFs by using trypan blue staining at days 1 and 6.
**Force Measurements and External Tension Modification**

We used our custom-built tensioning-force culture monitor (t-CFM)\(^2\) to measure the minute forces exerted by fibroblasts contracting within an in vitro collagen matrix with a force transducer that accurately converts mechanical deflection of a sensor into a digital signal after calibration with weights. Force measurements were made in dynes (1 dyne = 10\(^{-5}\) Newtons). The force value at equilibrium, or intrinsic cellular force,\(^2\) is a reflection of the mechanostat level for individual cells.\(^2\)

For the t-CFM experiments, larger cell-seeded collagen gels were cast (composited of 2145 \(\mu\)L of type I collagen stock, and 414 \(\mu\)L of concentrated medium made of 1750 \(\mu\)L of concentrated DMEM, 450 \(\mu\)L of sodium bicarbonate 7.5%, and 175 \(\mu\)L of \(t\)-glutamate). Cells (1 \(\times\) \(10^6\) at passages 3–4) were resuspended in 290 \(\mu\)L of DMEM and then added to the collagen solution. The collagen solution was quickly cast into a custom-built mold measuring 25 \(\times\) 15 mm. Flotation bars made from a synthetic solid porous polymeric material with hydrophilic properties that can be cut into strips or blocks (Vyon; Porvair, Hampshire, UK) were set at the extreme ends of the gel mold. The porous architecture of the bars allowed them to become integrated into the gel complex. Metal fixation hooks were fashioned from wire to allow the flotation bars to fix to the t-CFM fixation points.

After the gel had set, it was cut from the mold and lifted into a 35-mm culture dish. Leibowitz’s L-15 medium (cat. no. 21083; Invitrogen-Gibco) with 10% FBS was added until the gel was submerged. One to 2 mL of heavy mineral oil was then added to cover the aqueous culture medium to prevent its evaporation. Force measurements were recorded every 10 seconds for 12 hours. The t-CFM was also used to investigate the contractile responses of the resident cells in the collagen matrix to external loading or relaxation. A custom motor and control unit (Micromech Systems Ltd., Braintree, UK) in conjunction with a precision-ground lead screw is incorporated in the design, allowing movement of the stage to an accuracy of 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-8}\) m\(^2\).

Fibroblast populated gels were allowed to contract undisturbed for 8 hours to allow enough time for the cells to generate appropriate adhesions with the surrounding collagen matrix.\(^2\) The cells were then subjected to cyclic loading and unloading. Fibroblast-populated gels were first subjected to a loading phase of approximately 10 dynes above baseline for 30 minutes and then relaxed to approximately 10 dynes below baseline. This cycle was repeated five times. The cyclic loading frequency was based on previous work by Brown et al.\(^2\) and were first subjected to a loading phase of approximately 10 dynes and below the plateau level was applied. Each relaxation and contraction experiment consisted of five contraction phases and five relaxation phases. For each experiment, the means of the five gradient responses for contraction and the five gradient responses for relaxation of the gels (the measurement of the gradient response is illustrated in Fig. 4B), were recorded. In addition, the average force value at ten-sional homeostasis (baseline plateau phase, illustrated in Figs. 3, 4) was taken as additional data, because baseline levels of tension delivered a rate of change of intrinsic cellular force of 0 (as no relaxation or contraction effect is observed with the cell at tensional homeostasis). The mean rate of change of contraction was then plotted against the mean force applied by the t-CFM, which generated that response. Triplicate experiments were performed for three t-CFM T cell lines and three control TF cell lines, providing a total of 27 data points for FES and control cell lines.

Finally, control experiments were performed with collagen gels cast without any embedded cells to exclude any changes in tension arising from the viscoelastic properties of the gel itself. As a control for FES cell lines, the acellular gel was pretensioned to match the mean baseline of the three FES TF cell lines used. For control TF cell lines, the gel was pretensioned at the mean baseline for the three control cell lines.

**Immunofluorescence**

Simultaneous filamentous actin (F-actin) and \(\alpha\)-smooth muscle actin (\(\alpha\)-SMA) immunofluorescent labeling was performed in accordance to the method described by Bailly et al.\(^2\) Cells at passages 3 to 4 were plated on coverslips and the next day were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde for 5 minutes. The cells were then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 (T92845; Sigma-Aldrich) in cyto buffer\(^2\) for 20 minutes, followed by a rinse in 0.1 M glycine in cyto buffer for 10 minutes. Nonspecific staining was blocked, and F-actin was simultaneously labeled by adding TBS/1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 1% FBS together with 1:300 dilution of FITC-labeled fluorescent phallolidin (Alexa Fluor 488 phallodin, A-12379; Invitrogen-Molecular Probes; Eugene, OR) in a humidified chamber for 30 minutes. The phallolidin and block were aspirated, and primary antibody to \(\alpha\)-SMA was added (A5228; Sigma-Aldrich) at a dilution of 1:100 and incubated in a humidified chamber for 1 hour. The samples were then rinsed in TBS five times for 5 minutes and incubated with a secondary antibody (TRITC anti-mouse; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) in a dilution of 1:80 for another hour. Finally, the specimens were washed five times for 5 minutes with TBS and mounted on slides.

A similar method was used for the staining of cells that had been embedded in collagen gels, but using higher concentrations of FITC-phallolidin (1:60), anti \(\alpha\)-SMA (1:75), and TRITC anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:40). The incubation period for the primary antibody was extended to overnight in 4°C, to allow for adequate penetration of the gel, and the rinse cycles were lengthened to 20 minutes per wash. The gels were mounted in the central well of a culture (MatTek) dish.

Confocal laser microscopy was performed on these samples (Axiovert S100 TV; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Welwyn Garden City, UK), or Radiance 2000; Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, UK), with appropriate light wavelengths and a long-working-distance \(\times\) 60 air objective (63/\(\times\) 0.75 plan Neofluar with correction collar; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.) for gels mounted in culture dishes (MatTek) and a standard \(\times\) 60 oil-immersion objective (65X/1.4 Plan Apochromat; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.) for coverslips mounted on slides. The proportion of \(\alpha\)-SMA-expressing cells was determined by cell counting in five random fields for each of five control and five test gels, with a total of 105 and 94 cells counted for each set, respectively.

**mRNA Expression Profiling**

RNA extraction from cultured fibroblasts of both FES tarsal tissue and control tarsal tissue was performed at passage 3. RNA extraction was performed from five TF FES cell lines and five TF control cell lines. Confluent cells from a T25 flask were trypsinized and spun down. RNA isolation was performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocols (RNaseasy kit; Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Quantity and purity of RNA Total RNA was quantified using the spectrophotometer (NanoDrop; Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE). Excess RNA was then stored at \(-80^\circ\)C.

mRNA from three TF FES and three TF control cell lines was analyzed with a cDNA microarray platform (GeneChip Human Gene 1.0ST; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). All microarray analysis was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol by UCL Genomics at the Institute of Child Health microarray laboratory, Institute of Child Health (London, UK). Briefly, RNA was again assessed for quality, integrity, quantity, and purity, using both the spectrophotometer (NanoDrop) and a bioanalyzer (model 2100; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). Total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA and labeled (GeneChip; WT Sense Target Labeling kit and control reagents; Affymetrix). Labeled cDNA was hybridized to the array chip according to standard Affymetrix protocols. The chip was then washed in a wash station (Affymetrix) by using the standard wash protocols, and then scanned (GeneChip 3000 7G Scanner; Affymetrix) and data collected as .dat files before conversion to .cel files for analysis.
Gene array .cel files were analyzed (Genespring GX version 10.01 2100; Agilent). Briefly, gene level expression values were generated with the robust multiarray average (RMA). An expression level filter was then applied to exclude the genes expressed at levels below detection limits in both groups (20% intensity in more that four of six samples). A total of 13,500 genes passed this filtering process, and a nonparametric Welch’s t-test was then used to test for differences in individual gene expression.

Candidate genes with significant differences (P < 0.05) in expression were further investigated with semiquantitative RT-PCR. RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA (First-Strand cDNA synthesis kit, cat. no. 4379012001; Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and incubated using a PCR cycler (Mastercycler Gradient; Eppendorf, AG, Hamburg, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Either 1 µL (GAPDH) or 1.5 µL (other genes) of cDNA was further used in the PCR step with master mix (Megamix Blue; Helena Biosciences, Gateshead, UK), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequences of forward and reverse primers are provided in Table 1. cDNA was then polymerized in the same PCR cycler (Mastercycler; Eppendorf, AG), with an initial denaturation step of 94°C for 2 minutes, the PCR cycle was then programmed to denature the DNA strands at 94°C for 30 seconds, followed by an annealing temperature of either 55°C (GAPDH) or 60°C for other primers. DNA was then polymerized at 72°C for 1 minute. The number of cycles varied between 29 and 33 cycles (Table 1). The final polymerization step at 72°C was programmed for 7 minutes. At the end of this cycle, the reaction mix was cooled to 4°C.

Agarose gels were made by heating 1% agarose in TAE buffer for 2 minutes. Fourteen µL red nucleic acid stain (Gel Red; Biotium, Hayward, CA) was then added to the gel, which was cast into a gel mold with the desired comb. The gel was allowed to set for at least 30 minutes. The sample (12 µL) was loaded into the gel after centrifugation and mixing with the pipette. The gel was then run in an electrophoresis tank (Bio-Rad) for 60 minutes at 140 V. Bands were visualized using the G-box gel imaging system (Syngene, Bangalore, India). The intensity was adjusted to the point where visualization of each band was possible with minimal saturation. Typically, each gel was exposed for between 0.04 and 0.4 seconds, depending on the gene expression. After capture, each image was saved in .tif format and imported into ImageJ 1.40g software. Band optical density was then quantified by using the in-built gel-analysis software. Gene expression was quantified by normalizing each gene to GAPDH expression. RT-PCR analysis of the GAPDH gene was repeated several times to ensure accuracy. A two-way Mann-Whitney U test (95% CI) was used to determine statistical significance. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

### RESULTS

#### Altered Matrix Contraction Efficiency in FES Tarsal Fibroblasts

No difference in matrix contraction efficiency between FES and the control was demonstrated for conjunctival or orbicularis fibroblasts (Figs. 2A, 2B). The cells broadly contracted the matrix by around 50% within the first day, although there was more variability in the matrix contraction of orbicularis fibroblasts by the first day, varying from 40% to 55%. The contraction continued at a slower rate, thereafter reaching a plateau at days 4 to 5.

Control TFs surprisingly showed a significantly lower level of matrix contraction, both in terms of early contraction rate and final plateau phase (Fig 2C). Control TF-seeded matrices contracted by 10% to 30% by day 1 compared with 40% to 65% for FES TFs. The plateau achieved by these different cell lines also differed significantly (P = 0.0002, paired t-test) with control TF-seeded matrices achieving a contraction of only 50% to 65% compared with a contraction of around 65% to 90% for FES TFs. No difference in cell viability counts between the control and FES tarsal cell lines at day 1 (99.2%, SD 0.51% and 98.6%, SD 0.53%, viability respectively; P = 0.2) and day 6 (96.8%, SD 0.53% and 96.3%, SD 0.39%, viability respectively; P = 0.20) were identified.

#### Altered Contractile Force in FES Tarsal Fibroblasts

Force-generation graphs were produced for each of the five FES and five control tarsal cell lines. Figure 3 illustrates the resulting individual force generation curves, demonstrating significant differences between the control and FES cell lines. First, the plateau levels reached by the different TF groups are significantly different (P < 0.0001, paired t-test). The FES TFs produce a much higher plateau of force generation of between 33 and 53 dynes/million cells. The control TFs produce a significantly lower plateau of force generation of between 5 and 35 dynes/million cells (mean, 44 dynes/million cells), with most of the cell lines clustering at a plateau region of 5 to 12 dynes/million cells (mean, 16 dynes/million cells). Second, the time taken to achieve the plateau phase was longer for the FES TFs, which reached a plateau at between 4 and 8 hours (average, 7 hours), whereas the control TFs achieved a plateau at between 2 and 5 hours (average, 5 hours; Fig. 3). Finally, the early contraction rate (slope of the curve at growing phase) was significantly higher for the FES cells than for the control (Fig. 3B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Size (bp)</th>
<th>Annealing Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Cycles (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VCAM1 F</td>
<td>AGTCAGGAATTTCTGGGAGGATGC</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAM1 R</td>
<td>GGAGCTTTGTGGATGGAGTTGACAG</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSICAN F</td>
<td>TCAAGATCTGAGTTTCCGCC</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSICAN R</td>
<td>TTTTTCATAGTTTGTCTCAAG</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEFMP1 F</td>
<td>TGGGATCGAGATTTCCAG</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEFMP1 R</td>
<td>TGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTCTAGG</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP1R5C F</td>
<td>ATTCCTATTCATCGTGCATCAA</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP1R5C R</td>
<td>TTTAACATGTGTGATGTGCCA</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, forward; R, reverse.

Table 1. Description of Primers Used for RT-PCR
To determine whether FES TFs present altered mechanosensitivity, the cells in the gels were submitted to multiple loading and relaxation cycles, and the response of the cells was monitored with the tensioning culture force monitor (t-CFM; Fig. 4A). As predicted from the expected tensional homeostasis levels, loading steps (gel stretch) on gels populated with control fibroblasts resulted in an immediate reduction in the endogenous force generated by the cells. Conversely, relaxation below the normal force value at plateau resulted in an immediate increase in endogenous force as the cells strive to maintain their preferred level of tension (force at plateau level).

Although the FES cells presented much higher levels of force at tensional homeostasis, they still responded to loading

**Tensional Homeostasis and the Mechanostat Set Point in FES Tarsal Fibroblasts**

To determine whether FES TFs present altered mechanosensitivity, the cells in the gels were submitted to multiple loading and relaxation cycles, and the response of the cells was monitored with the tensioning culture force monitor (t-CFM; Fig. 4A). As predicted from the expected tensional homeostasis levels, loading steps (gel stretch) on gels populated with control fibroblasts resulted in an immediate reduction in the endogenous force generated by the cells. Conversely, relaxation below the normal force value at plateau resulted in an immediate increase in endogenous force as the cells strive to maintain their preferred level of tension (force at plateau level).

Although the FES cells presented much higher levels of force at tensional homeostasis, they still responded to loading
and relaxation cycles in a manner similar to the control. To examine this behavior in more detail, we calculated how the rate of change of force varies with the magnitude of force across the gel (Fig. 4B). The best-fit lines broadly define the relationship between external force and the response of the matrix cells, as expressed by the rate of change of force. Overall, our analysis showed that the greater the force change from the baseline level, the greater the rate of change of response from the cells, as expected from a standard tensional homeostasis mechanism. Figure 4B also illustrates that the response trend of FES cell lines is very similar to that of control cell lines, but shifted to the right and centered around a new 0 point moving from 16.2 to 49.2 dynes. This phenomenon is consistent with a change in the mechanostat set point of TFs in FES. In addition, the analysis allows for the estimation of the sensitivity of the cells to mechanical stress. Sensitivity \( S \) can be expressed as the incremental response \( r \) per unit intensity of the stimulus \( I \)—that is, \( S = dr/dI \). In other words, sensitivity can be interpreted as the gradient of the best fit lines of representing the FES and control TF responses in the contractility graph illustrated in Figure 4B. The gradients of the best fit lines for FES and control cell lines, representing the sensitivity of the response, were -0.0052 for FES cell lines and -0.0075 for control cell lines, demonstrating a slightly diminished sensitivity for FES cells. Overall, this demonstrates that there is both a reduction in sensitivity of FES TFs when compared with controls and a significant change in the 0 point from 16.2 to 49.2 dynes/million cells.

The responses of control experiments in which unseeded gel matrices are shown, showing that the gels alone also demonstrate similar responses, but at a much lower magnitude and with similar gradient for gels with low levels of force (unseeded control gel for the control) and gels with higher levels of force (unseeded control gel for FES cells). These responses are likely to be the result of a hysteresis phenomenon arising from the complex mechanical behavior of these gels. These control experiments show that the cellular responses, rather than the gel properties, dominate the response phase of the fibroblast-populated gel.
Candidate Genes Identified in Gene Expression Microarray Analysis

To investigate what molecular mechanisms could underlie the increased contractile behavior and change in mechanostat level in FES TFs, we first looked for potential changes in the organization of the cells’ cytoskeleton, as a high contractile behavior has often been linked in the literature with changes in the filamentous actin organization and more particularly an increase in α-smooth muscle actin (αSMA) expression and incorporation in stress fibers, characteristic of the myofibroblast phenotype. However, no obvious differences in F-actin cytoskeletal organization in FES tarsal cells compared with the control were identified, and no difference in αSMA expression or incorporation into stress fibers in cells in 2-D monolayers or within the collagen gels was observed (data not shown). In addition, the number of αSMA-positive cells in FES and control samples was not found to be different (5.9% αSMA+, SD 1.3 in FES gels and 5.8% αSMA+, SD 1.8 in control gels, \( P = 0.7 \) Student’s \( t \)-test).

Three control and three corresponding FES TF cell lines were selected for gene expression microarrays analysis. Only 20 genes with a significant difference in expression between FES and control TFs (Table 2) were identified. Of these genes, nine were regulatory genes: five small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs); HIST1H2BK, a histone cluster gene; and several cell cycle regulation genes, such as Cyclin D1 and Cyclin D2 and growth arrest specific-6 (GAS-6).

Of the remaining 10 genes, the 6 most appropriate candidate genes for differences in the contractility were selected. These were vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1), implicated in the response of endothelial cells subjected to cyclic stress and also in the regulation of sarcoma (Src) protein which is involved in integrin receptor transduction; PPP1R3C, the protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) subunit protein targeting to...
glycogen (PTG) which acts as an inhibitor of protein phosphatase 1 and has a binding site to the cytoplasmic tail of the integrin receptor; collagen and calcium-binding EGF domains 1 (CCBE1), a little-understood protein that may be involved in cell motility30 and has homology with elements of the fibrillin (FIB)-1 protein; versican, a prominent tarsal plate ECM glycosaminoglycan; EGF-containing fibulin-like extracellular matrix protein-1 (EFEMP-1), also known as fibulin-3, implicated in the pathogenesis of age related macular degeneration31 and also known to be associated with abnormalities in the elastic fiber layer of Bruch’s membrane32; and neurotrypsin (PRSS-12), a serine protease involved in tissue remodeling.33 These genes were selected for further semiquantitative analysis by RT-PCR. Normalized intensity readings for bands in each cell line were compared as control and FES groups (Fig. 5A) and the resulting P-values are summarized in Table 3. Only VCAM-1 and PPP1R3C expression were found to be significantly different between the test and control groups (Fig 5B).

**DISCUSSION**

Cells in the body are constantly exposed to various mechanical stresses as a result of a moving environment and rely on internal mechanisms to maintain tensional homeostasis.2 Tensional homeostasis has recently been shown to regulate multiple cell features such as cell shape and motility as well as proliferation and differentiation.34–36 When the balance between the external (matrix) tension and internal (cytoskeletal) tension is perturbed, cells fail to maintain homeostasis, and pathologic outcomes such as scarring, fibrosis, vascular disease, or cartilage damage occur.34 Several studies suggest that although the level of tensional homeostasis is normally a constant of a given cell type,7 cells can alter their mechanosensitivity through an adaptive response to chronic changes in their environment.7,8,10 As a disease where there is a clear alteration in the mechanical properties of the affected tissue, with a well-described associated exposure of the tissue to chronic mechanical stress, FES represents a good paradigm to begin unraveling the mechanisms regulating tensional homeostasis in the body.

We have shown in the current study that TFs in FES present a strikingly different phenotype than the corresponding control cells in their mechanosensing and contractile properties, with a higher mechanostat level and contractile ability and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Gene Description</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Change Ratio (Absolute)</th>
<th>Regulation in FES TF Cell Lines</th>
<th>GenBank* ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XRRA1</td>
<td>X-ray radiation resistance associated 1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>BC037294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCND1</td>
<td>Cyclin D1</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>BC023620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIL12N3C75B3.6</td>
<td>KIAA1644 protein</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>BC104183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP1R3C</td>
<td>Protein phosphatase 1, regulatory (inhibitor) subunit 3C</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>BX537399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAS6</td>
<td>Growth arrest-specific 6</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>AK126533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWORD3A</td>
<td>Small nuclear RNA, C/D box 3A</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWORD3A</td>
<td>Small nuclear RNA, C/D box 3A</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWORD3A</td>
<td>Small nuclear RNA, C/D box 3A</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWORD3A</td>
<td>Small nuclear RNA, C/D box 3A</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAM1</td>
<td>Vascular cell adhesion molecule 1</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>BC017276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCBE1</td>
<td>Collagen and calcium binding EGF domains 1</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>AB075863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC16A4</td>
<td>Solute carrier family 16, member 4 (monocarboxylic acid transporter 5)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>U59185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIST1HBBK</td>
<td>Histone cluster 1, H2bK</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>BC108737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRSS12</td>
<td>Prostates, serine, 12 (neuropysin, motopsin)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>BC007761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCAN</td>
<td>Versican</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>U16306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOX</td>
<td>Thymocyte selection-associated high mobility group box</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Up</td>
<td>AB018551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP4</td>
<td>Dipeptidyl-peptidase 4 (CD26, adenosine deaminase complexing protein 2)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>BC065265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFEMP1</td>
<td>EGF-containing fibulin-like extracellular matrix protein 1</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>BC098561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCND2</td>
<td>Cyclin D2</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Down</td>
<td>D13639</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank; provided in the public domain by the National Center for Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD.
lower sensitivity to mechanical stretch. The observation that there is no difference in contractility between FES and control tissues for orbicularis or conjunctival fibroblasts, directly adjacent to the tarsal plate, is very likely to be due to the effect of stress shielding of the upper eyelid by the tarsal plate. Stress shielding refers to a phenomenon in load-bearing multimaterial composites, such that the stiffer component of the composite endures the greater part of the load. The tarsal plate is considerably stiffer than the other eyelid components, and it is therefore to be expected that TFs are exposed to the greater load than either orbicularis or conjunctival fibroblasts. Of interest, the fact that differences in the cells’ contractile phenotype could be demonstrated even when FES TFs had been in culture at 3 to 4 passage cycles confirms that, as previously suggested,2,22 the level of force at homeostasis is an intrinsic property of the cells.

The demonstrated increase in intrinsic cellular force generation of FES TFs compared with the control appears paradoxical, as tarsal plate tissue in FES becomes both hyperelastic and exposed to prolonged periods of high mechanical loading at night. The tensional homeostasis response predicts that cells reduce their contractility in high-stress environments to maintain a homeostatic tension across the cell cytoskeleton. We hypothesized that this paradoxical response may be due to a change in the mechanostat set point of these cells. We found that FES TFs were able to retain their homeostatic responses, albeit around a different baseline. The homeostatic responses (either of contraction or relaxation) seen in both control and FES TFs were broadly similar for a given magnitude of deflection from the baseline tension.

Altered Mechanostat Set Point in Tarsal Fibroblasts

Tensional homeostasis is well understood as a physiological property of cells but is poorly characterized and investigated in the context of pathologic processes. Only one other study has been identified in which cell contractile homeostatic responses to changes in background tension were investigated. Cultured tendon fibroblasts in Dupuytren’s contracture demonstrate increased baseline contractility responses, as in our study, but also a loss of homeostatic response to mechanical stress compared to that of the control.59 It is thought that the loss of homeostatic response may be part of the intrinsic fibroblast disease that underlies Dupuytren’s contracture. In contrast, the maintained homeostatic response seen in FES TFs would support the conclusion that the increased contractility is an adaptive physiological response rather than a result of fibroblast disease.

The concept of change in mechanostat set point has also been established. Using MMP 13 inhibition as a surrogate endpoint for evaluating cellular response to mechanical loading, it has been demonstrated that tendon cells in vitro can alter their mechanostat set point after 48 hours stress deprivation.3 The change in mechanostat set point that we observed in this study was observed in cultured FES TFs and was maintained after several passage cycles. This persisting altered response indicates that once the 0-point of these cells has been reprogrammed, their new set point will persist, even in vitro. The mechanisms underlying this change are poorly understood. It has been speculated that disruption of the pericellular ECM may modify normal mechanotransduction mechanisms,9 and indeed we have additional evidence suggesting that the extra-cellular matrix is altered both structurally and biochemically in FES (Ezra et al. manuscript in preparation).

The possible mechanisms underlying the changes in contractile behavior in FES were further explored. No co-localization of F-actin and α-SMA was observed, excluding the possibility that myofibroblast differentiation may be responsible. We hypothesized that such significant changes in contractility were likely to manifest a correspondingly significant change in the molecular mechanisms and regulation of either the sensory mechanotransduction arm or effector contractility arm of the cell contractile response. These molecular mechanisms were investigated using a genome level expression microarray platform.

The most striking feature of the expression microarray analysis was the small number of genes identified with significant differences in expression. After selection from the microarray experiment of the most likely candidate genes to be involved, only two were found to be significant: PPP1R3C and VCAM-1. PPP1R3C, or protein phosphatase 1 inhibitory molecule (subunit 3) is an inhibitory subunit of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1). PP1 is a major serine/threonine phosphatase that regulates a very diverse range of cellular functions. The PP1 effector unit is the catalytic unit, or PP1c and this is known to form complexes with approximately 50 regulatory subunits.40 One of these regulatory subunits is PPP1R3C, also known as R5 or protein targeting to glycogen (PTG).41 It is ubiquitously distributed, but is more abundant in the liver and in skeletal muscle, and it is thought to regulate PP1c and glycogen synthase-mediated glycogen metabolism.42,43 PPP1R3C remains poorly characterized and while a role in glucose metabolism has been described, other regulatory functions have not been excluded. Of interest, PPP1R3C knockdown in 3T3L1 cells does not alter PP1 expression but increases the level of PP1 that is bound to other regulatory subunits.44 Assuming the reverse is true, the increase in PPP1R3C expression seen in FES TFs could decrease the accessibility of PP1 to other subunits, leading to a possible increase in phosphorylation levels of the
corresponding target proteins. As several other regulatory sub-units of PP1 are targeting the cytoskeleton, and particularly myosin, this could explain the increased levels of cell contractility that we observed.

The highly expressed gene in FES TFS is VCAM-1. VCAM-1 is a cell adhesion molecule, and its classic role lies in the recruitment of inflammatory cells through leukocyte-endothelium adhesion in inflammation. Fibroblasts have been shown to express VCAM-1 but this is usually in the context of inflammatory cell recruitment and retention. However, VCAM-1 can also undergo proteolytic cleavage and exist as a soluble molecule, which has recently been shown to change cell morphology by activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and Src. Both ERK and Src are important regulators of integrin mechanotransduction in fibroblasts and can affect the transduction mechanism at multiple levels.

Changes in both VCAM-1 expression and PP1 modulation are consistent with current etiologic theories of stress-induced hyperelasticity and ischemia-reperfusion injury. VCAM-1 expression has been shown to be altered in cells subjected to pathologic mechanical stress and also in the context of tissue ischemia. Protein phosphatase 1 regulation is similarly recognized in the response to both ischemia reperfusion injury and cyclic mechanical stress.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, TFS in FES demonstrate a maintained tensional homeostasis response operating at an altered mechanostat set point and lower sensitivity to mechanical stress. We propose that this change in the 0 point is an adaptation that allows tensional homeostasis to be maintained at the high levels of tissue stress experienced in FES. Gene expression studies point to a role for VCAM-1 and PPP1R3C in mediating changes in the dynamic range of mechanosensitivity of TFS.
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