A Novel Rabbit Model for Studying RPE Transplantation
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PURPOSE. The goal of this project was to develop a model of retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) transplantation that permits extensive and reliable analysis of the transplants.

METHODS. Cultures of newborn rabbit RPE were evaluated by morphology, electrophysiology, and the expression of zonula occludens-1, cytokeratin, and the melanocyte marker S-100. Cells labeled with 5,6-carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFDA-SE) were transplanted into the subretinal space of rabbits with a 30-gauge needle without making a conjunctival flap or sclerotomy. The transplants were examined by fundus photography, confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy (cSLO), optical coherence tomography (OCT), and angiography. At 2 months, the retina was examined histochemically.

RESULTS. A 1-minute incubation at 37°C with 20 μM CFDA-SE did not affect morphology or the expression of marker proteins. In coculture, the labeled cells integrated into monolayers that developed a normal transepithelial electrical resistance of 400 to 450 Ω · cm². Dye was not transferred from labeled to nonlabeled RPE cells. Transplanted RPE was detectable for at least 2 months. Angiography demonstrated an intact blood-retinal barrier. The normal morphology of the retina and lack of debris in the subretinal space suggested that the transplanted RPE was functional.

CONCLUSIONS. Primary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE were highly differentiated, even when labeled with CFDA-SE. Labeled cells were observed long-term in vitro and in vivo. This model can be used to examine how culture and transplantation protocols affect the reformation of a functional RPE monolayer. The similar size of rabbit and human eyes will facilitate the translation of these protocols to the bedside. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008;49:4115–4125) DOI:10.1167/iovs.08-1976

Age-related macular degeneration is the leading cause of legal blindness in the elderly populations of the Western world.1 Despite elegant descriptions of the alterations in Bruch’s membrane and associated degeneration of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), there is no successful treatment for the retinal disorders caused by RPE degeneration. In recent decades, procedures to replace aged or diseased RPE with a healthy RPE allograft or xenograft have been extensively investigated in well-known models.2–12 Encouraging results suggest that some blinding disorders may be amenable to treatment by RPE transplantation.12–14 Nonetheless, RPE engineering and transplantation remain a difficult strategy. The ideal experimental model would be economical for thorough experimentation; homologous, to avoid immunorejection as a complication; and similar to humans, to facilitate translation from the laboratory to the bedside. Rabbit eyes are closer in size and structure to human than rodent eyes, which means techniques developed in the rabbit can be more readily adapted to human surgery. Further, a rabbit model would allow extensive experimentation and analysis of the essential retinal and choroidal interactions that would re-establish the blood-retinal barrier needed for a successful transplantation. These data would inform the experimental design for more expensive, primate models, and ultimately, clinical trials.

Two important criteria for any experimental model are the quality of the RPE used for transplantation and the marker used to identify and localize the grafted RPE cells in the host. Different donor cells and labeling techniques have been used for RPE transplantation with variable results.2–12,15–19 Some donor RPE cells are limited by loss of differentiated properties and also by rejection.20–24 Many reported markers were toxic, leaked from cells or labeled cells with low efficiency.2,15–19,25–27 To overcome these limitations, we developed an effective method to isolate and grow newborn rabbit RPE cells and adapted a reliable label, 5,6-carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFDA-SE).

CFDA-SE is a lipophilic molecule that is minimally fluorescent until it enters cells by passive diffusion, and esterases cleave the acetyl groups to form carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE). With the acetyl groups removed, CFSE becomes positively charged, which greatly reduces transmembrane diffusion and binds the amino groups of proteins. With time, CFSE remains bound to stable macromolecular complexes of the nucleus.26 CFSE gives a green fluorescence under the proper illumination. CFDA-SE does not affect cell viability or function and has been successfully used to track neuronal cells and to monitor lymphocytes proliferation and migration.28–30 It has also been used to label hepatocytes, leukocytes, and erythrocytes.31–32 In these applications, CFSE was stable and nondiffusible both in vitro and in vivo.30,33–35

To our knowledge, the use of CFDA-SE for labeling RPE cells has not been reported. The present study describes the conditions for isolating and labeling newborn rabbit RPE cells with CFDA-SE and an improved method for transplanting the RPE. In coculture, we found the label was not transferred to neighboring cells, and in vivo, labeled RPE cells were found in the subretinal space 2 months after transplantation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of Purified Newborn Rabbit RPE Cells

The animals were obtained from the Animal Center of Harbin Medical University. All animals were used after receiving institutional approval and were handled in a humane manner. The procedure compiled
strictly with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

Newborn pigmented rabbits were anesthetized 5 to 8 days after birth by intravenous injection of pentobarbital sodium (50 mg/kg). Their eyes were enucleated and incubated in a solution of calcium-magnesium-free Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; HyClone, Logan, UT) supplemented with gentamicin (400 μg/mL) for 15 minutes at 4°C. The eyeballs were immersed in 2.4 uL/mL Disperse-II solution (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) for 1 hour at 37°C. Under the dissecting microscope, a circular incision was made through the choroid, RPE, and neural retina, following the circumference of the scleral rim, and the anterior segment with the lens and vitreous was removed. The posterior segment was incubated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium and nutrient mixture F12 (1:1 mixture; DMEM-F12 medium; HyClone) without fetal bovine serum for 20 minutes at 37°C. A distinct cleavage plane is identifiable between the taut monolayer of the RPE and the adjacent choroid so that an isolated sheet of RPE can be dissected. The RPE patches were separated gently from Bruch’s membrane and choroid with fine forceps. The isolated cells were transferred to a conical centrifuge tube containing growth medium. This medium consisted of DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Invitrogen-Gibco, Grand Island NY), 2 mM l-glutamine, penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 μg/mL).19 Cell suspensions were obtained by triturating the RPE patches into single cells or small clusters by repeated pipetting. The suspensions were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 1500 U/min. The cells were resuspended with the growth medium. The concentration of cells in suspension was determined with a hemocytometer. A 12-well polycarbonate filter (0.4-μm pore size, Transwell; Corning-Costar, Corning, NY) was coated with 5 μg laminin (Roche) per filter, according to the supplier’s instructions and used the same day. The primary isolated newborn rabbit RPE cells were plated on the filter at a density of 4 \times 10^4 cells/cm². The medium in the apical chamber and the basolateral chamber were both DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 20% FBS. The cultures on microporous filter-supports were maintained at 37°C because easier to maintain than 37°C and avoided the phase transition that occurs in tight junctions at ambient temperatures.35 Measurements were made in a modified DMEM-F12 medium that was supplemented with 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.2). TER was recorded at several time points from day 5 until the TER reached a plateau after approximately 4 to 5 weeks. The experiments were performed three times, with three filters used per experiment. Net TER measurements were calculated by subtracting the value of a blank filter coated with laminin (12 Ω) from the value recorded from each culture. The measurements are reported as ohms per square centimeter.

**Preparation of CFDA-SE**

Because CFDA-SE is relatively insoluble in aqueous solutions,35 the dispersing agent dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Amresco, Solon, OH) was used to facilitate cell loading. Then, a 10 mM CFDA-SE stock solution was prepared by dissolving 2.5 mg CFDA-SE (Invitrogen-Molecular Probes Inc., Eugene, OR) into 500 μL of this solution and was stored at −20°C. The CFDA-SE solution was used immediately on thawing because of its instability.

**Determination of Optimal Labeling Conditions for CFDA-SE**

CFDA-SE stock solutions (10 mM) were diluted to concentrations of 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 μM with PBS. Secondary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE were harvested and washed three times with PBS. After cell viability was measured by trypan blue, 1.0 mL of 5 × 10^4 cells/mL PBS was added to 16 10-mL glass centrifuge tubes. Immediately, each tube received 1.0 mL of a CFDA-SE dilution to make the final concentrations of 2.5, 5, 10, 20, and 40 μM. There were three tubes for each concentration, and one tube, without CFDA-SE, served as the control. Tubes from each concentration were incubated at 37°C with shaking for 1, 5, or 10 minutes. The RPE cells were washed three times with PBS after incubation. The viability of RPE cells in each of the 16 tubes was tested by trypan blue staining, and the fluorescence was observed by fluorescence microscopy. Then, the cells were plated on laminin-coated tissue culture plates (Corning-Costar, Corning, NY) with DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 20% FBS. To determine the plating efficiency of labeled RPE cells, the number of cells attached to the plate 24 hours after plating was divided by the number of the RPE cells added to the plate. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Based on cell viability, plating efficiency, and fluorescence intensity, an optimal labeling condition was selected for the following in vitro experiments.

**Leakage and Reuptake Test**

Secondary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE were labeled and cocultured with human RPE cells, as described in other tests of CFDA-SE labeling.35 Human eyeballs were obtained from the Heilongjiang Eye Bank after the corneas were harvested for keratoplasty, and the RPE was isolated as described elsewhere.40 The rabbit RPE was labeled, washed, and incubated in PBS for 4 hours. Then 1 × 10^4 cells/cm² of human and rabbit RPE were mixed and plated on laminin-coated slides. After 48 hours, human and rabbit RPE were distinguished by fixing the cultures and incubating them with purified rat anti-human TNF receptor type-II (CD120b) monoclonal antibody (HuTNFR-M1; BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), which does not bind rabbit RPE. The human cells were then revealed by using goat-anti-rat IgG-Texas red (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) to impart a red immunofluorescence signal. The CFDA-SE-labeled rabbit RPE cells appeared green. CFDA-SE fluorescence observed in any human RPE cells would suggest CFDA-SE leakage and transfer from rabbit to human RPE cells. The test was repeated three times.

**Flow Cytometric Analysis**

Cells harvested from secondary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE were labeled with CFDA-SE. Four hours after labeling, 1 × 10^4 cells in 1.0 mL
PBS were analyzed by fluorescence-activated flow cytometry (Elite; Beckman Coulter, Hialeah, FL). The same density of unlabeled RPE cells was analyzed as the control. The remaining control and labeled cells were plated at a density of 2 × 10⁴ cells/cm² onto 25-cm² tissue culture flasks (Corning-Costar Corp.). The cells were maintained in log phase growth by passing them in duplicate every 3 to 4 days. At 2, 3, and 4 weeks, a flask was selected to measure the mean and geometric fluorescence intensity and fraction of labeled cells by fluorescence-activated flow cytometry. For analysis, the cells were samples were gated on forward scatter (FS) versus side scatter (SS), to exclude debris and clumps. These experiments were repeated four times.

### In Vitro Coculture Model

Freshly isolated newborn rabbit RPE cells were labeled with CFDA-SE. After washing and incubation for 4 hours in PBS, the labeled cells were mixed with an equal number of unlabeled newborn rabbit RPE to imitate the transplantation of RPE into the subretinal space. The mixed cells were plated on laminin-coated filters at a density of 4 × 10⁵ cells/cm² on 12-mm filters. For control filters, unlabeled RPE was plated at the same density. The laminin-coated filters were prepared according to the supplier’s instructions, with 5 µg laminin per filter, and used the same day. The medium in the apical and basolateral chambers were both DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 20% FBS. The cocultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. After 1 day in culture, the medium in the apical and basolateral chambers was replaced by DMEM-F12 medium supplemented with 5% FBS. The cells were fed every 5 days.

### In Vivo Rabbit RPE Cell Transplantation

For subretinal transplantation, each animal was anesthetized with pentobarbital sodium (25 mg/kg, intramuscularly) and xylazine (10 mg/kg, intramuscularly). The pupil was dilated and a lid speculum was used to keep the eyelids open. Without preparation of a conjunctival flap, the sclera was punctured 1.5 mm posterior to the limbus. A 30-gauge needle was directed toward the inferonasal quadrant, and a stream of PBS was injected into the vitreous cavity to maintain the transplantation of RPE into the subretinal space. The mixed cells were slowly injected under the neural retina, which produced a small bleb. Reflux of the transplanted cells into the vitreous was prevented by injecting a small amount of sterile air after the suspension was injected. The RPE suspension had been prepared from secondary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE cells that were harvested and labeled with CFDA-SE, washed, and incubated in PBS for 4 hours and concentrated by centrifugation. Because the injection site was self-sealing, there was no need to suture the sclera and conjunctiva after the procedure. In each rabbit, the left eye underwent RPE transplantation. As a control, the right eye had a mock transplantation with physiologic saline (BSS; Alcon, Fort Worth, TX) in place of the RPE suspension. Rats were examined 1, 3, and 5 days after surgery and then weekly for 2 months by confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (cSLO; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) and optical coherence tomography (OCT; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Oberkochen, Germany). The cSLO provided 820, 790, and 488 nm illumination. We examined the fluorescence of CFSE by cSLO with 488 nm illumination. Many (cSLO; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) and optical coherence tomography (OCT; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Oberkochen, Germany). The cSLO provided 820, 790, and 488 nm illumination. We examined the fluorescence of CFSE by cSLO with 488 nm illumination. The timer was started immediately when the dyes were injected, and sequential photographs (30 images per second) were recorded by computer for approximately 30 minutes. We monitored the fluorescence and ICG with 488- and 790-nm illumination. Angiography was performed at 2 weeks and monthly thereafter.

### RESULTS

#### General Properties of the Newborn Rabbit RPE Cells

After 1 to 2 weeks, primary cultures of rabbit RPE cells formed a confluent, pigmented monolayer with the characteristic cobblestone appearance typical of a simple epithelium (Fig. 1). The nuclei and cell borders were prominent, because of the density of melanin granules.

The purity of the cultures was established by examining the expression of epithelial cytokeratins and the melanocyte marker S100. Normally, RPE expresses cytokeratin-5, -6, -7, -8, -14, -15, -16, -17, -18, and -19. The antibody, MNF116, binds to these is expressed in the iris pigment epithelium (IPE) cells. Indirect immunocytochemistry revealed that one or more of these cytokeratins was expressed by virtually all the cells (Fig. 2A). S100 is normally expressed by melanocytes, but not fibroblasts. All cells in the culture were positive for S100, as determined by the antibody SH-B1 (Fig. 2B). There was no evidence of contamination by fibroblasts or IPE.

The formation of tight junctions is a highly differentiated property that is difficult to achieve in culture. The localization of ZO-1 and the TER were used to assess the formation of tight junctions. Primary cultures of RPE were established on polycarbonate filters. In quiescent monolayers, ZO-1 localized to continuous, circumferential bands along cell-cell contacts (Fig. 3). Although commonly used to establish the presence of tight junctions, this distribution of ZO-1 is a necessary, but insufficient, criterion. The presence of functional tight junctions was evident by the high TER. The TER of the primary cultures was recorded periodically for 5 weeks after plating. The TER began to rise on day 5 and reached a plateau of 400-450 Ω·cm² after 4 weeks in culture (unlabeled, control culture, Fig. 4). With cell passage, the ability of the RPE to establish a high TER diminished. Secondary cultures (passages 2–4) established a TER of only 150 Ω·cm². Further, microscopy revealed that spindle-shaped cells were intermixed with clumps.
clusters of polygonal cells (data not shown). Cultures of freshly isolated adult RPE contained a large percentage of spindle-shaped cells and only occasionally attained a TER as high as $50 \pm 10$ cm$^2$/s (data not shown). These data indicate that functional tight junctions had formed in primary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE, but that properties of highly differentiated RPE diminished with cell passage and were never attained by adult RPE.

**Optimal Conditions for Newborn Rabbit RPE Cell Labeling**

The optimal conditions for labeling RPE with CFDA-SE were determined using fluorescence microscopy before and after cell plating. To balance strong labeling with cell viability, we sought the lowest concentration of CFDA-SE and the shortest incubation time that labeled the cells well. The experiments were repeated four times, using secondary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE. Cell labeling occurred rapidly with an intense green fluorescence signal that was evenly distributed within the cytoplasm and the nucleus. Some differences were observed among the different CFDA-SE concentrations and different incubation periods. We graded and tabulated the fluorescence signal using a scale of 0 to 4 (0, no fluorescence; 1, just detectable; 2, distinct; 3, strong; and 4, very strong; Table 1). RPE was optimally labeled with 20 or 40 μM CFDA-SE, compared with 2.5, 5, or 10 μM. Although cell viability, by trypan blue, was unaffected by 20 or 40 μM CFDA-SE for all incubation times ($P < 0.05$), the efficiency of cell-plating decreased with increasing CFDA-SE concentration and incubation time (Fig. 5).

**FIGURE 2.** Secondary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE cells expressed cytokeratin and S-100. RPE cells (passage 4) were plated on slides at a density of 5 to $10 \times 10^3$ cells per 1 mL and cultured for 2 days. The cells were prepared for immunocytochemistry, to determine whether they express RPE-specific cytokeratins, by using the monoclonal antibody MNF116 (A1), or S-100, by using the monoclonal antibody SH-B1 (B1). In (A2) and (B2), the primary antibody was omitted. The cells were counterstained with hematoxylin (blue) and observed by bright field microscopy. In each case, the brown immunostain was observed in virtually all the cells. Bar, 25 μm.

**FIGURE 3.** ZO-1 distributed to circumferential bands where neighboring cells abutted in confluent cultures. Cells, freshly isolated from newborn rabbits, were cultured for 30 days on polycarbonate filters (Transwell; Corning Costar, Corning, NY) and stained for ZO-1 by indirect immunofluorescence. The cobblestone appearance was typical of an epithelial monolayer. Bar, 50 μm.

**FIGURE 4.** In vivo-like levels of TER were attained by primary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE cells. The TER was monitored at the indicated times in cultures of unlabeled RPE (filled symbols) or cocultures of CFSE-labeled and unlabeled rabbit RPE (open symbols). Each culture achieved a high TER, indicating the formation of tight junctions. Each data point is the average of three cultures. Data are plotted as the mean ± SD. Some error bars are smaller than the symbol.
The decrease was statistically significant for both increasing concentration \((P < 0.01)\) and increasing incubation time \((P < 0.01)\). As an optimal protocol, a 1-minute incubation at 37°C with a concentration of 20 \(\mu M\) of CFDA-SE was selected for further in vitro and in vivo experiments (Figs. 6A, 6B).

**Characterization of Labeled RPE In Vitro**

To determine whether the label from cells that did not survive replating could be phagocytized or whether label could be transferred from cell to cell by some other mechanism, we adapted the procedure of Li et al.\(^{35}\) RPE was isolated from human and newborn rabbit eyes. The rabbit RPE was labeled with CFDA-SE and cocultured with the human RPE. The human RPE was identified with an antibody that was specific for the human TNF receptor, hTNFR-M1. After 24 hours, the cultures were fixed and labeled for hTNFR-M1. All the cells in the culture exhibited either green or red fluorescence (Fig. 6C). None of the cells was double labeled, even when rabbit and human RPE cells were in direct contact. There was no evidence of CFSE leaking from labeled newborn rabbit RPE with subsequent uptake by adult human RPE.

In quiescent cultures, the CFSE label was stable but appeared to associate with the nucleus and perhaps other stable structures, as has been described for other cells\(^{28}\) (for example, see Fig. 8). To determine how long cell labeling can be detected in a proliferating culture, the cells were plated at low density and at the appropriate time, analyzed by fluorescence-activated flow cytometry. With each cell division, the label was diluted, as it was divided between daughter cells. To maintain the cultures in log phase growth, the cells were passaged before they reached confluence. At the indicated time points, a fraction of the cells was analyzed by flow cytometry (Fig. 7). Initially, 99.05% of the cells were labeled. The intensity of CFDA-SE fluorescence was high in each cell during the first week, and gradually decreased thereafter. By 4 weeks, after
beled cells developed a TER by day 7 and attained a TER of more than 400 Ω · cm² after 5 weeks (Fig. 4). By contrast, control cultures of pure unlabeled cells did not reach a TER by day 14 that increased to more than 400 Ω · cm² over the next 5 weeks. The coculture cells developed a resistance by day 14 that increased to more than 400 Ω · cm² after 5 weeks (Fig. 4). By contrast, control cultures of pure unlabeled cells developed a TER by day 7 and attained a TER >400 Ω · cm² by 4 weeks.

Fluorescence microscopy demonstrated that the labeled RPE cells survived, but the fraction of fluorescing cells decreased to approximately 29.69% after 5 weeks of coculture (Fig. 8). Although the fluorescence in cytoplasm of the labeled RPE cells became faint, the fluorescence in nuclear structures was bright. Some cells also exhibited bright spherical bodies in the cytoplasm. ZO-1 distributed to continuous junctions between each cell, indicating that labeled and unlabeled RPE cells formed an integrated monolayer, as confirmed by the high TER. The decrease in the percentage of labeled cells by roughly 40% and the lag in the formation of a confluent monolayer, as assessed by the high TER, suggest that the plating efficiency or rate of propagation for the labeled cells was lower than the control cells. Nonetheless, the labeled cells were able to incorporate into a highly functional monolayer, as evidenced by the high TER. The labeling protocol had no obvious effects on the morphology of the RPE, neither the expression of the MNF116 nor SH-B1 antigens, as determined by immunocytochemistry (data not shown).

**Retinal Examination after Transplantation**

The labeled newborn rabbit RPE cells were transplanted into the subretinal space of pigmented rabbit eyes. The transplantation was successful in 12 of 14 attempts. Color fundus photography showed the transplantation entrance and the round elevated region clearly (Fig. 9). One day after transplantation, the transplant site was detectable by cSLO (Fig. 10B1). By OCT imaging, the transplanted suspension appeared as the dark region under the neural retina (Fig. 10B2). The retinal elevation was evident for nearly a week. Thereafter, the fluorescence due to CFSE became bright and distinct (Fig. 10C1), probably because the detached retina reattached to the RPE (Fig. 10C2). At 1 month, the donor RPE cells appeared to be distributed evenly in the host subretinal space (Fig. 10D1). OCT at 1 month demonstrated that the donor RPE cells had already formed a layer on the host RPE layer (Fig. 10D2). After 2 months, the fluorescence due to CFSE diminished, but was still detectable. Nonetheless, the distribution of labeled cells appeared to be unchanged between months 1 and 2 (Fig. 10E).

The RPE and the choroidal and retinal vascular beds appeared to be intact, as assessed by FFA and ICGA. Figure 11 is an FFA image showing the transplant site 1 month after surgery. The large vessels near the optic disc were filled with fluorescein. There was no tortuosity of vessels or leakage of the dye. A fluorescence defect was detected around the transplantation entrance with hyperfluorescence in the center. The fluorescence defect may indicate scar formation at the transplantation entrance, whereas the hyperfluorescence in the center may indicate a high density of CFSE-labeled RPE cells. The even distribution of punctate hyperfluorescence within the arrowheads of the image indicates the distribution of the transplanted cells and was evident before the intravenous injection of fluorescein. There was no evidence of a leak in the RPE monolayer. The choroidal vasculature was also intact, as seen by ICGA (Fig. 12). Under this illumination, CFSE would not be evident. The center of the transplantation site was slightly darker than that in the other regions, which may indicate multilayering of the RPE.

Histopathologic examination of the graft site was performed after hematoxylin and eosin staining (Fig. 13). The retina appeared to be intact. No accumulated debris was evident in the subretinal space. A layer of pigmented cells was evident in the site of the RPE graft. When viewed by fluorescence microscopy, this layer corresponded to cells labeled by CFSE (Fig. 14). The layer of labeled cells appeared to be multilayer or composed of enlarged cells. The label was punctate, similar to the spherical bodies exhibited in some cultured cells. This layer was colinear with native RPE, which appeared black because of the quenching of background fluorescence.

**Figure 7.** The CFSE signal was detected for up to 4 weeks in rapidly dividing cells. Labeled cells were repeatedly subcultured to maintain the cells in log-phase growth. At the indicated time point, a portion of the cultures were analyzed with a fluorescence-activated cell sorter. The M1 pool identifies unlabeled cells. The M2 pool identifies labeled cells of various intensities. The ratio of fluorescence cells in M2 gradually decreased to almost 10.11%, and the average fluorescence intensity decreased to almost 0.02% during the course of the experiment.
DISCUSSION

RPE transplantation is a promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of retinal degenerations and dystrophies that affect RPE function. To build on previous advances, an animal model

FIGURE 8. The CFSE signal persisted in quiescent cultures of RPE. Labeled and unlabeled newborn rabbit RPE were mixed 50:50 and cultured for 5 weeks. The cells were fixed and ZO-1 was revealed by indirect immunofluorescence (red). The CFSE-labeled cells survived and integrated into a cobblestone monolayer with the unlabeled cells. The fluorescence due to CFSE appeared to associate with the nucleus and perhaps other stable structures. ZO-1 expression demonstrated that the labeled RPE cells formed junctions with neighboring cells, regardless of whether they were labeled. Bar, 50 μm.

FIGURE 9. A transplant site in rabbit retina seen by fundus photography through a handheld 90-D preset lens 1 day after surgery. The transplant site (arrow) and the extent of the retinal elevation (arrowheads) are evident.

FIGURE 10. The labeled RPE was observed and the overlying retina assessed by cSLO and OCT photography. The data were collected from the same rabbit. The apparent variability in the thickness of the retina was due to the difficulty in precisely repositioning the instrument from time point to time point. Retinas were examined before transplantation (A) or 1 day (B), 1 week (C), 1 month (D), and 2 months (E) after transplantation by cSLO (1) or OCT (2). (A1) No fluorescent signal was observed with cSLO. (A2) The normal RPE (white arrow) and neural retina (red arrow) can be distinguished in the OCT image. (B1) White arrow: injection site; the region of the transplant was vague in the cSLO image. (B2) The OCT image shows the elevation of the neural retina (red arrow) and the transplanted RPE (white arrow). The host RPE layer was unbroken (arrowhead). (C1) At 1 week, the labeled RPE cells became evident (white arrow), as the retina reattached. (C2) The retina elevation is no longer evident and the transplanted cells were detectable (white arrow). (D1) After 1 month, the fluorescence (white arrow) was easily detected by cSLO. (D2) From the OCT image, the RPE cells of the host (arrowhead) and the transplanted RPE cells (white arrow) were distinguishable. In the region of the transplant, the thickness of the RPE layer obscured the resolution of the RPE layer and the underlying choroid that was evident near the host RPE (arrowhead). (E1) At 2 months after surgery, the region of the transplantation was reduced in size and the intensity of the fluorescence (white arrow) decreased. (E2) The retina regained its normal morphology (A2) as the transplanted RPE was integrated into the RPE monolayer (white arrow). Distinct neural retina, RPE, and choroid layers were observed. Bar: (A1–E1), 500 μm; (A2–E2) 100 μm.
is needed that is reliable, easy to work with, and employs techniques that can be readily translated to the bedside. Rodent eyes are too small to model human surgery, and primates are too expensive for rigorous evaluation of the cell biology and physiology of the transplanted RPE. Without an appropriate experimental model, evaluation of human transplantation is limited by the inability to understand what factors make transplantation succeed and what factors limit success. The rabbit model and labeling protocol that we propose addresses these problems. Specifically, rabbit eyes are large enough to develop surgical techniques that can be translated to human eyes. The labeling protocol makes it easy to evaluate the viability and functionality of the transplanted cells.

It is well known that RPE often dedifferentiates in culture, but it is unclear whether cultured cells fully redifferentiate when returned to their native environment. Obviously, cell culture is necessary to expand the number of cells available for transplantation. To explore the effects of differentiation in culture, we sought protocols that result in highly differentiated cells. The most successful reports in the literature rely on RPE isolated from developing eyes and cultured in highly specialized media. Although these primary cultures are useful for experimentation, secondary cultures derived from them lose various properties of differentiated RPE and may therefore be suboptimal for transplantation. In the present study, primary cultures of the newborn rabbit RPE exhibited a cobblestone appearance and circumferential bands of ZO-1. The TER is a more stringent criterion for RPE differentiation, because it determines whether ZO-1 and other junctional proteins have been assembled into a functional tight junction. With the appearance of a high TER, the monolayer becomes an integrated unit that can form a blood-retinal barrier. Simple expression of tight junctional proteins does not guarantee that this transition has occurred. Indeed, it is common for cultured RPE to incorporate ZO-1 in a junctional complex that lacks tight junctions. As in young human RPE, we found that primary cultures of newborn rabbit RPE exhibited an in vivo-like TER. Serum-supplemented DMEM-F12 medium was remarkably sufficient for the RPE to differentiate. Like other animal models, the TER diminished with cell passage, and adult rabbit RPE never attained a high TER, even in primary culture (data not shown). By using cultures with different levels of differentiation, the rabbit model would test how differentiation in culture affects transplantation.

Various labeling and tracing techniques have been tried to evaluate the fate of transplanted RPE. Although DNA can be labeled with [3H]thymidine, this label cannot be observed directly in vivo. Further, the label was not permanent and was unfortunately toxic to nearby cells. Bromodeoxyuridine also labels the nucleus and is also diluted with cell division. However, it is toxic and requires immunohistochemical methods for visualization. Carbon particles and natural pigment granules are readily observed in vivo, but they proved to be unreliable markers. Stressed RPE cells can release these markers and surrounding RPE cells may engulf them. Green fluorescent protein (GFP), introduced by retroviral-mediated gene transfer, can be seen in vivo. However, the fraction of cells expressing detectable GFP was low and variable. The Y chromosome probe labeled only a fraction of the donor cells.

The present study demonstrates that RPE cells can be easily and efficiently labeled with CFDA-SE without compromising function. Consistent with studies of other cell types, CFDA-SE was not toxic at the concentrations used in this study. The labeled cells maintained their typical cobblestone shape and uniform nuclei with high viability. The labeled cells integrated into an RPE monolayer without compromising the formation of functional tight junctions, as evidenced by the high TER.

**FIGURE 11.** The retinal vascular bed was intact 1 month after RPE transplantation, as assessed by FFA. The region of hyperfluorescence (long arrow) with a fluorescence defect (short arrow) around it shows the transplantation entrance. Arrowheads: the extent of the transplant. The bright punctate signal within this region is due to the CFSE signal from the transplanted cells. The normal filling of the transplant. Bar, 500 μm.

**FIGURE 12.** The choroidal vascular bed was intact 1 month after RPE transplantation, as assessed by ICGA. There was no disturbance of the choroidal vessels, because there was no evidence of leakage of ICG. The slightly darker center of the transplantation site (white arrow) may indicate a multilayer of transplanted RPE. Bar, 500 μm.
The neural retina that overlay the transplant had a normal morphology. Red arrows: several layers of the RPE clearly shown under the light microscope. (A) The grafted cells survived with numerous pigment granules in the cytoplasm 2 months after transplantation. White arrows: the normal monolayer of the host RPE. The apparent retinal detachment was an artifact of processing. (B) A higher magnification of the transplantation site shows a bilayer of RPE. The outer nuclear layer was intact, and no debris accumulated in the subretinal space. Bar, 100 μm.

The label was detected in continuously propagating cell cultures for at least 4 weeks. By contrast, the fluorescent signal remained strong for more than 5 weeks in quiescent cultures, even when the RPE was cocultured with unlabeled cells. In coculture experiments, labeled and unlabeled cell suspensions were mixed 50:50 and plated at high density. After 4 weeks, 30% of the cells were labeled, and there was a 1-week lag (relative to control cultures) in the establishment of a TER. These results suggest that the labeling protocol or the label itself may reduce plating efficiency or lengthen the cell cycle. It appeared that once the cultures became confluent and the cells stopped proliferating, the proportion of labeled cells did not change for the remainder of the experiment. When transplanted into the rabbit subretinal space, the labeled cells could be followed for several months, consistent with previous observations that the retinal environment normally limits RPE proliferation. Similarly, Hasbold et al. found that labeled lymphocytes retain the label for at least 6 months.

In most of the cultured cells, the label appeared to be confined to the nucleus, consistent with reports in other cell types that the label covalently binds stable structures in the nucleus. In some cells, a bright punctate signal was found in the cytoplasm. The latter pattern of localization appeared to predominate in the transplanted RPE. The CFSE may have bound structures in the cytoplasm of RPE that do not turn over or turn over slowly, such as melanin granules or phagocytic bodies. Of note, the retina overlying the transplanted RPE displayed a normal morphology in histopathology, fundus examination, and angiography. There was no evidence of atrophy of the outer nuclear layer or accumulation of debris in the subretinal space. These observations suggest that the transplanted RPE was functional and capable of phagocytizing shed outer segments.

We developed a novel transplantation method to overcome several disadvantages of earlier techniques. The external (posterior transscleral) approach requires the disinsertion of a rectus muscle and rotation of the globe, which makes it impossible to monitor directly the injection of the transplanted cells. Further, the choroid, the Bruch’s membrane, and the RPE are damaged. Previous internal (anterior transvitreous) approaches damage the sclera and the vitreous and require two or three ports, which increases the risk of injury. The open-sky approach causes trauma to the retina, vitreous, and anterior chamber. It would be difficult to translate these techniques to use in patients.

The advantage of our technique is that it requires that only a single 30-gauge needle be introduced into the vitreous cavity. There was no need for a conjunctival flap and sclerotomy. Instead of a contact lens, we preferred using a 90-D preset lens with the surgical microscope to make the visual field wider. By avoiding vitrectomy, we were able to leave the vitreous in place and needed only one port for the needle instead of three to accommodate a light source and irrigation. Further, the retinal entrance was sealed by a sterile air bubble, which prevented reflux of the transplanted cells into the vitreous. A similar technique can readily be used in humans. The injection volume of only 25 μL was too small to significantly increase the intraocular pressure, and the scar at the injection site was small. The relative ease of the procedure led to a higher rate of success with fewer complications.

This model provides a means to test the efficacy of transplantation strategies. The strong, nonquenchable CFSE signal from the transplanted RPE was readily observed by cSLO. When combined with angiography, OCT, and fundus photography, the fate of the grafts could be followed in the living

**FIGURE 13.** The green fluorescence of CFSE in frozen sections was visible clearly under the fluorescence microscope. White arrows: fluorescence of CFDA-SE-labeled RPE cells in the subretinal space. The black retinal pigment epithelium of the host (red arrow) and the neural retina (yellow arrow) was clearly observable. Bar, 100 μm.
animal for months. By using immunohistochemistry to reveal the expression and distribution of key proteins, it was possible to determine whether functional interactions were re-established between the grafted cells and the choroid and neural retina. An important aspect is the relationship between the characteristics of the cultured cells and the efficacy of their transplantation. The efficacy of freshly isolated newborn rabbit RPE would be a benchmark for evaluating secondary cultures, RPE derived from adults, different methods of culturing RPE and RPE transplanted in sheets versus in cell suspension. These experiments may determine the extent to which dedifferentiation can occur in culture and still be reversed when the RPE is reintroduced into its normal environment. The analysis of dedifferentiation would have to include the major functions of the RPE: phagocytosis, retinoid metabolism, and the establishment of a blood-retinal barrier. The rabbit model promises to be a bridge between laboratory investigation of these issues and clinical therapy. One goal of such an approach would be to identify the markers that are important for evaluating the quality of RPE cultures before transplantation.
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