A Comparison of Fundus Autofluorescence and Retinal Structure in Patients with Stargardt Disease
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PURPOSE. To improve the understanding of Stargardt disease by comparing structural changes seen on spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) to those visible on fundus autofluorescence (FAF).

METHODS. FAF and SD-OCT were performed on 22 eyes of 11 patients with Stargardt disease. SD-OCT images were obtained at the fovea and at the eccentric preferred retinal locus (PRL). The diameters of absent (hypautofluorescence) and abnormal FAF areas were measured. The extent of the transverse defect of the junction between the inner and outer segments of the photoreceptors (IS-OS) was measured in the foveal area. The PRL was evaluated with fundus photography and micropertimetry.

RESULTS. Twenty-one of 22 eyes showed defective FAF. In 17 eyes, FAF was absent in the fovea and in four eyes, FAF was abnormal. All eyes showed disorganization and/or loss of the IS-OS junction in the foveal area on SD-OCT. The diameter of the absent FAF area was smaller than the measurement of the IS-OS junction loss; the latter was closer to the diameter of the abnormal FAF area. Seventeen eyes had an eccentric PRL associated with a retinal area with no defects on FAF.

CONCLUSIONS. In the majority of eyes, changes shown by SD-OCT correlated well with changes in FAF. However, in three patients, photoreceptor abnormalities were seen in the fovea on SD-OCT without an equivalent abnormality on FAF. This result suggests that in these patients, the structural integrity of the photoreceptors may be affected earlier than changes in the RPE at least as detected by FAF. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50:3953–3959) DOI:10.1167/iovs.08-2657

Stargardt disease (STGD) is a form of macular degeneration that leads to a progressive loss of central visual function. It is most commonly inherited as an autosomal recessive trait, but there are also families with dominantly inherited Stargardt-like disease. This genetically heterogeneous disease affects the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and photoreceptor layer. It typically has an onset in childhood or young adulthood, although some patients develop symptoms of visual acuity loss as late as the fourth or even the fifth decade of life.1–3 STGD is caused by mutations in the gene encoding the photoreceptor cell-specific ATP-binding cassette transporter (ABCA4). The sequence of the disease process is not completely understood; however, it has been proposed that a defective RIM protein encoded by the ABCA4 gene results in abnormal degradation of normal visual cycle by-products, which causes lipofuscin accumulation in the RPE.4 The accumulation of lipofuscin in RPE cells is toxic, and this induces RPE and secondary photoreceptor degeneration.5,6

Fundus autofluorescence (FAF) has been shown to be useful for evaluating the extent of STGD. This noninvasive imaging technique enables the visualization of A2E and other bis-retinoid components of lipofuscin in the RPE.7,8 Another useful noninvasive technique that provides morphologic information is spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT). This technique has greatly improved visualization of the architecture of the retina in vivo, allowing the clinician to evaluate changes in the different layers of the retina with much greater accuracy. Recently, there have been a few studies and case reports on the use of SD-OCT and ultrahigh-resolution OCT in the evaluation of the photoreceptor and RPE layers in the foveal region of patients with STGD.9–13 In patients with central atrophy, these studies have demonstrated a loss of photoreceptors in the foveal region and a reduction in central foveal thickness. In one study, Ergun et al.9 compared central transverse photoreceptor loss to the extent of atrophy on FAF and reported a significant correlation.

The purpose of this study was to analyze and compare changes visible on FAF with changes in the outer retina, as evaluated with SD-OCT. As patients with STGD often adopt an eccentric preferred retinal locus (PRL) for fixation,14 we evaluated both the fovea and PRL. The purpose was to improve our understanding of STGD by comparing structural changes to those visible on FAF.

METHODS

Subjects

Twenty-two eyes of 11 consecutive patients (7 females, 4 males; median age of 30 years; range 12–65 years) with STGD were studied. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before their participation. The protocol was approved by the Columbia University Medical Center Institutional Review Board for Human Research and the procedures adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients had a complete ophthalmic examination, including best corrected Snellen visual acuity (BCVA), biomicroscopy, applanation tonometry, and funduscopy.

Patients were excluded from the study if they had significant cataracts or other media opacities or if they had other ocular diseases that could affect the results.
All patients were screened in Rando Allikmets’ laboratory (Columbia University) for mutations in the ABCA4 gene with the ABCR400 microarray followed by direct sequencing to confirm identified variants as previously described.15–17 The ABCA4 genotyping microarray allows for simultaneous detection of all known ABCA4 variants in one reaction.

Procedures

FAF images were obtained with a confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscope (Retinal Angiograph 2 [HRA2]; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). After pupil dilation with topical tropicamide and phenylephrine, FAF images of a rectangular 30° × 30° field of view were obtained with an ametropic corrector. An optically pumped solid state laser (488 nm) was used for excitation, and emission over 500 nm was detected with a barrier filter. Standard procedure was followed for the acquisition of FAF images, including focus of the retinal image in the infrared reflection mode at 820 nm, sensitivity adjustment at 488 nm, and acquisition of 18 images, 9 for each eye encompassing the macular area with at least a portion of the optic disc. To improve the signal-to-noise ratio the nine images were aligned and a mean image with 768 × 768 pixels was calculated with the scanning laser ophthalmoscope’s software (HRA2; ver. 1.5.9.1; Heidelberg Engineering). Measurements of the diameter of changes visible on FAF were performed with software from the scanning laser ophthalmoscope. We measured the diameter using a horizontal measuring tool passing through the center of the fovea. Two regions were defined, the area of absent FAF and the area of abnormal FAF (Fig. 1A). The area of absent FAF was defined in the following way. The FAF images were analyzed (Photoshop; Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA). The optic disc was used as the reference point and the area of interest was localized in the region surrounding the central changes visible on the FAF examination.

Spectral domain-optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) images were obtained (Cirrus Spectral Domain OCT; Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA). The acquisition protocols included five-line raster scans, and macular cube 512 × 128. Three scans were performed on each eye, and the one with the best signal strength was selected for the final analysis. Horizontal scans were performed through the fovea and the patients’ PRL. An example of an SD-OCT horizontal scan through the fovea of a normal control eye is shown in Figure 1B. The outermost hyperreflective band seen on the SD-OCT image is believed to be Bruch’s membrane and the RPE.18,19 The next hyperreflective band, labeled the IS-OS junction in Figure 1B, is composed of the junction of the inner with the outer segments of the photoreceptors, the faint hyperreflective band just above is the outer limiting membrane (OLM), and the next hyporeflective band corresponds to the outer nuclear layer (ONL). The extent of the region over which the signal from the IS-OS junction was missing was determined with the measurement software from the SD-OCT machine (Fig. 1C; Cirrus; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.).

The PRL was evaluated with color fundus fixation photography and a microperimeter (MP-1 Microperimeter, Nidek Technologies Inc., Padova, Italy). A series of three 50° fundus photographs were obtained with a fundus camera (450 plus IR; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.). The PRL was then evaluated with the microperimeter after a period of adaptation (30 minutes) to dim room illumination.20 The patient was asked to fixate on a red cross (2° in diameter) and to maintain fixation on the center of this target for 30 seconds. The location of each subject’s PRL was referenced to the fovea and the distance and direction in millimeters was measured. The location of the foveal center was determined by using visible landmarks such as perifoveal capillaries or xanthophyll. In 12 eyes, it was difficult to localize the center of the fovea, and so it was approximated based on measurements relative to the optic disc in normal subjects by using a method similar to that described by Rohrschneider21 and Timberlake et al.22 The PRL measurements were converted into degrees.

To compare measurements obtained from FAF with those from SD-OCT, the images were scaled appropriately, and the correspon...
Statistical Analysis

Pearson’s correlation test ($r$) was used to analyze the relationship between the diameter of the IS-OS junction loss in the foveal area identified on SD-OCT and the diameter of the central area of absent FAF. The relationship between the diameter of IS-OS junction loss in the foveal area on SD-OCT and the diameter of the abnormal FAF area in the posterior pole was also analyzed. Because of the problem of correlation between the eyes of an individual and the potential waste of information if only one eye of each patient was included in the statistical analysis, we separately analyzed the data from the right and left eye.

RESULTS

The clinical and genetic findings of the patients are summarized in Table 1.

There were seven women and four men ranging in age from 12 to 65 years. Snellen best corrected visual acuity ranged from 20/20 to 20/400 (logMAR equivalent, 0 –1.3), with 16 of the 22 eyes having an acuity of 20/50 or less (logMAR $\geq 0.4$). Seventeen of the 22 eyes had an eccentric PRL, with the PRL located in the superior retina in 16 of the 17 eyes and in the temporal retina in 1 eye. The remaining five eyes had foveal fixation. The eccentricity of the PRL from the fovea ranged from 1.8° to 8.6° (see Table 1 for details). Mutations were detected in 10 of the 11 patients. A disease-causing mutation was identified in one allele of four patients and in both alleles of six patients.

Fundus Autofluorescence

Twenty-one of the 22 eyes showed changes on FAF. In 17 of these eyes, FAF was absent over the foveal region, corresponding to areas of RPE atrophy visible on fundus examination (Fig. 2A). Areas of focally increased FAF (focal FAF) limited to the perifovea and areas of focally decreased FAF limited to the fovea were observed in 10 eyes (Fig. 2B). The more widespread changes with focal FAF extending up to the vascular arcades seen in Figure 2C were observed in two eyes, and five eyes showed areas of focal FAF that extended past the arcades (Fig. 2D). Four eyes showed only mottling on the foveal center without any other visible areas of hypo- or hyperautofluorescence (Fig. 2E). One eye was classified as having normal FAF (Fig. 2F).
Measurements of the diameters of the area of absent and abnormal FAF were performed on 21 eyes. The mean diameter of the central area of absent FAF was $1413 \pm 1013 \, \mu m$ (median, 1627 \, \mu m; range, 0–3514). The mean diameter of the central area of abnormal FAF was $2867 \pm 1389 \, \mu m$ (median, 3456 \, \mu m; range, 0–4608).

The retinal region underlying the eccentric PRL was also examined. The FAF images of the 17 eyes with an eccentric PRL showed that the PRLs were associated with retinal areas that either appeared to have normal FAF or were on the border of retinal areas showing increased FAF.

**Spectral Domain Optical Coherence Tomography**

On the SD-OCT images, disorganization or loss of the IS-OS junction was visible in all 22 eyes. Measurements of the IS-OS junction loss were obtained in 18 eyes. Four eyes were excluded: In three, the extent of the IS-OS junction loss was larger than the image obtained from the SD-OCT and therefore could not be quantified, and in one eye only disorganization was visible. The mean transverse extent of IS-OS junction loss in the fovea was $2853 \pm 1294 \, \mu m$ (median, 3024 \, \mu m; range, 579–4251).

The SD-OCT images obtained in the retinal region of the eccentric PRL of 17 eyes were also analyzed. One eye was discarded from the analysis due to marked unstable fixation. In nine of the eyes, there were no visible changes in the photoreceptor layer and the IS-OS junction showed no localized defects. Seven eyes showed loss of the IS-OS junction that ranged in size from 1581 to 2479 \, \mu m. The extent of the IS-OS loss was smaller in the region of the PRL in all cases compared with the loss identified in the fovea. Figure 3 shows an example of a scan through the fovea (Fig. 3A) and a scan through the PRL (Fig. 3B). The hyporeflective band corresponding to the ONL appeared to be thinner in the region of the junction loss (Fig. 3B) whereas it appeared to be absent in the fovea (Fig. 3A).

**Comparison of SD-OCT and FAF Images**

Figure 4 shows examples of FAF and SD-OCT images obtained in one normal subject (Fig. 4A) and three patients (Figs. 4B–D). Figure 4B shows images obtained from a patient with a central area of RPE atrophy, visible on the FAF image as a region of absent (hypo-) FAF and on SD-OCT as a loss of the outer retinal layers. Only a thin hyperreflective band remains in the fovea. It has been suggested that this corresponds to Bruch’s membrane.\(^{18,19}\) Figure 4C shows a focal decrease in FAF in the center of the fovea, but a clear absence of the photoreceptors in this area on SD-OCT. A rather unusual optical gap can be seen in this region of the outer segments. In addition, the ONL close to the area of photoreceptor loss is thinner, a sign of photoreceptor damage. The underlying band of the RPE complex is relatively preserved compared to the photoreceptor layer. Figure 4D shows a normal FAF image, but disorganization of the outer retinal layers is visible on SD-OCT. It should be noted that even though this patient had visual acuity of 20/20, the amplitudes of the multifocal electroretinogram (mERG) responses were markedly decreased throughout the central 10°. The mERG measures local retinal activity and decreased response amplitudes are consistent with outer retinal deficits,\(^ {24}\) suggesting that we were probably observing the initial stage of the disease.

**Comparison of SD-OCT and FAF Measurements**

A quantitative comparison of the extent of the IS-OS junction loss in the foveal area to the diameter of absent FAF is shown in Figure 5A. Although these measurements show a good correlation ($r_{OD} = 0.88$, $r_{OS} = 0.87$; $P < 0.002$), the diameter of the area of central atrophy identified by the absence of FAF underestimates the extent of the transverse loss of the IS-OS junction. On the other hand, the diameter of the area of abnormal FAF is closer to the transverse extent of IS-OS loss, as shown by the proximity of the points to the line of slope 1.0 (Fig. 5B). In addition, the correlation between the measurements is slightly higher ($r_{OD} = 0.94$, $r_{OS} = 0.97$; $P < 0.0001$).

**DISCUSSION**

Our purpose was to compare changes visible on FAF with structural changes in the RPE and photoreceptor layers evaluated with SD-OCT. Twenty-one of the 22 eyes we studied showed changes on FAF in the foveal region, and all eyes showed disorganization or loss of the IS-OS junction on SD-OCT. When we compared the diameter of absent FAF to the extent of the loss of IS-OS junction in the macula, we found...
that it was smaller in all but three eyes. That is, the measurement of the diameter of absent FAF underestimated the extent of the IS-OS junction loss. However, when the transition zone of abnormal FAF surrounding the central area of absent FAF (i.e., the region between absent FAF and normal FAF) was included, we found that this measurement, the diameter of abnormal FAF, more closely approximated the IS-OS junction loss measurement.

How do we reconcile these findings with the current views about STGD and FAF? Concerning STGD, although the sequence of the disease process is not completely understood, it is commonly assumed that the primary event in STGD is a degeneration of the RPE with the photoreceptors being involved when the RPE fails. There is an accumulation of lipofuscin in the RPE that is believed to be toxic for the RPE cells and results in RPE cell loss. Defects of the photoreceptors
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**Figure 4.** FAF and SD-OCT images in three patients and a normal control. (A) Images obtained from a control subject. (B) The FAF showed an area of central atrophy and the SD-OCT image showed the loss of the IS-OS junction (patient 6). (C) The FAF showed only minimal changes and the SD-OCT image showed an area of localized loss of photoreceptors (patient 11). (D) The FAF examination appeared to be normal but disorganization of the outer retinal layers was visible on SD-OCT (patient 10).
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**Figure 5.** (A) A comparison between the extent of the IS-OS junction loss on SD-OCT and the diameter of the hypoautofluorescent/absent FAF area. (B) A comparison between the transverse IS-OS junction loss on SD-OCT and the diameter of the area of abnormal FAF.
are a result of the pathologic RPE. Concerning FAF, we assume that decreased or absent FAF in STGD represents RPE loss or atrophy, whereas increased FAF indicates that RPE is present, but is functioning abnormally. The interpretation of our findings, in light of these assumptions, depends on the nature of IS-OS junction loss.

If we assume that IS-OS junction loss means that the photoreceptors are not functioning, then our finding that the region of IS-OS loss was larger than the region of absent FAF (Fig. 5A) suggests that photoreceptor OS loss can precede RPE loss in STGD. Additional support for this view comes from our observation of four eyes that showed an absence of photoreceptor outer segments on SD-OCT, but showed little or no hypo-FAF. Figure 4C illustrates this finding. There was photoreceptor loss in the center of the fovea with an unusual optical gap in place of the outer segments. In addition, the ONL in the center of the fovea was markedly thinner than normal (Fig. 4A)—further evidence of photoreceptor loss. Differences in ONL thickness accompanying the disruption of the photoreceptor IS-OS segment junction have been described using ultra-high-resolution OCT, as has the absence of photoreceptors and disruption of the photoreceptor layer in the central foveal region that we observed on SD-OCT. The major retinal structures pictured with ultrahigh-resolution technology are reported to correspond with lower resolution devices such as the Stratus OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.)25; therefore, we suspect that our observations of the optical gap and thinning seen on SD-OCT represent early ONL damage. Last, we identified one eye of a patient, presumably at the initial stage of the disease, which had a normal FAF examination, but showed disorganization of the outer retinal layers, including the photoreceptor layer, on SD-OCT (Fig. 4D). On mfERG testing marked decreased response amplitudes were recorded in the central 10°. The mfERG measures local retinal activity and decreased response amplitudes are consistent with outer retinal deficits.24 These findings suggest that degeneration of the photoreceptors may occur earlier than changes in RPE in STGD at least as identified by FAF. This possibility would mean that photoreceptor function is directly affected by mutations in the ABCA4 gene and that RPE damage contributes to the degenerative process, but is not necessarily the only causative factor. Alternatively, suppose we assume that the receptors are functioning, although perhaps abnormally, in regions of the IS-OS junction loss. Under this assumption, we need not reject the commonly made assumption regarding STGD that RPE loss precedes OS loss. For example, the photoreceptors could be functioning, but the ability to image them could be reduced for some optical reason; the signal from the IS-OS junction could be reduced or missing. This reduction could occur if the photoreceptors were disarrayed or if the lengths of the OS varied in the region being imaged. Although we are using the generally accepted boundaries in images of the normal eye, we are aware that the interpretation of the IS-OS junction loss in the diseased eye requires additional assumptions (Chang et al.26). Our findings with SD-OCT of IS-OS junction loss, photoreceptor loss in the central foveal area, and ONL thinning are in agreement with previous studies in which high-resolution OCT was used in patients with STGD.

Our findings, although based on a small number of patients, also have implications for the clinician, because they demonstrate that FAF may appear to be normal or show only minor changes in the initial phase of the disease, even when structural changes are already present and visible on SD-OCT.

In addition to our examination of the foveal area, we also compared FAF and SD-OCT images in retinal areas underlying the eccentric PRL. We observed that eccentric PRLs were associated with regions that either did not show evidence of localized defects on FAF, or as shown in Figure 3B, were on the border of a region showing increased FAF. SD-OCT analysis revealed that photoreceptor disorganization or loss in this region was less than that observed in the patients’ fovea. This observation suggests that the patients adopt an eccentric PRL associated with an underlying retinal region that more closely resembles normal retina.

In conclusion, in the majority of eyes, changes in the photoreceptor layer seem to correlate well with the changes identified on FAF. However, three patients showed changes in the fovea on SD-OCT without an equivalent abnormality on FAF. This finding has implications for the clinical use of SD-OCT compared with FAF in the early diagnosis of the disease. In addition it suggests that in these three patients, the structural integrity of the photoreceptors may be disrupted earlier than the RPE, at least as detected by FAF.
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