Experimental Study of the Survival of Metastatic Cancer Cells in Corneal Organ Culture
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PURPOSE. Transmission of donor malignancy to the recipient could be one of the most disastrous complications of corneal grafting. Because of the scarcity of donor tissue and the lack of sufficient scientific evidence, the harvest of donor tissues from deaths due to systemic malignancy is permitted. This study was conducted to investigate the possible transmission of donor metastatic disease via corneal tissue preserved in organ culture (OC) conditions.

METHODS. The viability of four frequent human cancer cell lines (lung, breast, skin, and colon) was studied in OC. Various inoculums of cancer cells labeled with the membrane marker PKH67 were seeded on donor corneas and preserved in OC, followed by cell-tracking studies, histopathology, and immunohistochemistry. HLA matching of the dissected Descemet’s membrane (DM) of preserved corneas was conducted, to demonstrate cell adherence. Primary cell culture was performed to confirm the viability of adherent tumor cells.

RESULTS. Viability tests showed a poor but persistent survival of cancer cells after 2 weeks in OC. Cell tracking, histopathology, and immunohistochemistry demonstrated cancer cell adherence to donor endothelium. HLA typing of the DM of preserved corneas revealed the presence of cancer cell alleles. Primary culture of the DM showed cell proliferation that was identical with the original cancer cell line, according to HLA studies.

CONCLUSIONS. The findings demonstrate that under laboratory conditions, metastatic cancer cells adhere to donor corneal tissue, survive, and retain proliferative capacity during storage in OC. Cell lines differ in their viability potential, as well as the pattern of adherence to donor endothelium. Further in vivo experimentation in laboratory animals is need to determine the safety of such harvests. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2006;47: 1339–1347) DOI:10.1167/iovs.05-1007

Cancer constitutes the second most important cause of death in industrialized nations, with more than 550,000 deaths annually in the United States.1 It is therefore, one of the most common diagnoses in patients who donate their corneas and who may constitute between 30% and 40% of donor tissue in eye banks.2,3 Transmission of donor malignancy to the recipient could be one of the most serious complications of corneal grafting. Various long-term studies performed to assess the effects of corneal transplantation with donor tissues derived from patients dying of malignancy reveal no evidence of transmission of donor disease to the recipient. There was also no increased incidence of malignancy or earlier mortality in the corneal recipients compared with a reference population.2,3 In contrast, three cases of transmission of donor cancer after corneal transplantation have been described. The first report in 1946 documented the transmission of a retinoblastoma after a fresh corneal graft and was validated by histopathology.4 The second case, reported in 2002, was of a donor-transmitted intestinal carcinoma that appeared as iris adenocarcinoma in the recipient 19 months after the graft. Proof of transmission in this case was demonstrated by histopathology and PCR for HLA II genes.5 The third case, reported in 2003, was that of probable transmission of a small cell carcinoma of the lung as suggested by identical histopathology and DNA typing of the tumor sample.6 These three cases demonstrate that metastatic cancer cells probably adhered to donor corneal tissue and were capable of overcoming the host and recipient immune mechanisms, which led to the subsequent transmission of donor disease to the recipient.

The presence of intraocular metastasis in patients who died of cancer was detected histopathologically in 12.6% of cases in an eye bank population.9 Aqueous cytology and immunophenotyping has shown the presence of tumor cells in the anterior chamber in patients with systemic malignancy metastasizing to the eye. Patel et al.10 reported positive aqueous cytology in a case of primary lung carcinoma metastasizing to the eye. The importance of cytologic examination of the aqueous humor or iris biopsy specimen was emphasized in a report of three cases of metastatic carcinoma of the iris and ciliary body simulating iridocyclitis.11 In the largest study, which involved 19 patients presenting with intraocular malignancy masquerading as uveitis, Rothova et al.12 demonstrated positive aqueous sampling in five (63%) of eight patients (two of three by cytology and three of five by immunophenotyping). Acute hypopyon uveitis with positive aqueous cytology has been reported frequently in hematogenous malignancies.13,14 Because of the scarcity of donor tissue and lack of sufficient scientific evidence to the contrary, eye bank associations in the United States and Europe permit harvest of donor tissues from donors who died of systemic solid malignancies, with certain exceptions such as active leukemia, disseminated lymphomas, and malignant intraocular tumors.15,16 This practice is rather empiric since no experimental evidence concerning the possible transmission of malignancy exists in the literature. Corneal organ culture (OC) being the preferred mode of preservation in Europe and used in at least two of the cases reported, we sought to investigate experimentally the adherence of malignant cells to donor corneal endothelium and their survival during corneal storage—the two mechanisms required for an eventual transmission to the recipient.
METHODS

Cell Lines

Four metastatic cancer cell lines responsible for most common human cancers (i.e., epidermoid lung carcinoma [Calu1], breast adenocarcinoma [Cal51], colon adenocarcinoma [HT29], and cutaneous melanoma [SKMe28]) were studied (lung, skin, and colon cell lines from American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD; breast cell line from DSMZ GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany). The cell lines were maintained in sterile T75 tissue culture flasks (BD Biosciences Labware, Le Pont De Claira, France) in respective recommended media (RPMI-1640 for SKMe28, McCoy's 5A for Calu1 and HT29, Dulbecco's modified minimum essential medium [DMEM] for Cal51, all from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Quentin-Fallavier, France), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 400 U/mL penicillin, 200 U/mL streptomycin, and 2 mM l-glutamine at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂ and passaged on confluence. HLA class 1 allele (A and B) typing was performed for each cell line by the PCR-sequence-specific oligonucleotide (SSO) technique (described later) for future identification purposes.

Viability Tests In Vitro

From a subconfluent culture maintained in optimal conditions, 10⁶ cells of each cell line were plated in sterile 25-cm² tissue culture flasks (BD Biosciences Labware) in recommended media. Parallel cultures were maintained, one in the recommended cell culture condition, the other in the OC condition (i.e., in the culture medium CorneaMax; Eurobio, Les Ulys, France) containing 2% fetal calf serum with incubation at 31°C in sealed T25 culture flasks for a period of 2 weeks and counted (both supernatant and adherent fractions) at various time points (day 3, 6, 8, 10, 13, and 15) for 15 days. Briefly, for each cell line, the supernatant fraction was removed, and shed cells were counted separately in a hemocytometer (Thoma, Bad Blankenburg, Germany). The remaining adherent cells were incubated with 0.05% trypsin (Eurobio) for 2 minutes and counted by the same method.

For the Alamar blue assay, cells were harvested from ongoing cultures and 10⁶ to 3.10⁷ cells/well were plated on 96-well microplates for 2 minutes and counted by the same method. Two separate in a hemocytometer (Thoma, Bad Blankenburg, Germany). The remaining adherent cells were incubated with 0.05% trypsin (Eurobio) for 2 minutes and counted by the same method.

For the Alamar blue assay, cells were harvested from ongoing cultures and 10⁶ to 3.10⁷ cells/well were plated on 96-well microplates (Corning B.V. Life Sciences, Schiphol-Rijk, The Netherlands) and a minimum of 48 hours of incubation time in the corresponding media was allowed for cell attachment before any additions to the wells. Alamar blue reagent (10% vol/vol; Soretox, Cergy Saint-Christophe, France) was added to quadruplicate wells, to result in a final volume of 200 μL. Blank wells containing the medium with reagent but no cells served as the control. Plates were incubated in respective conditions for 3 hours and fluorescence analyzed (excitation 530 nm, emission 590 nm) after a 15-minute equilibration to room temperature with a microplate fluorescence reader (Fluoroskan Ascent, Labsystems, Cheshire, UK). Tests were performed as endpoint assays at various time points over 2 weeks (days 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, and 14) and the data expressed as fluorescence emission intensity units as a function of time of incubation.

Evaluation of Adherence to Human Donor Cornea: Cell Tracking Ex Vivo

Membrane Labeling. Fluorescent labeling of cancer cells was performed with the fluorescent probe PKH67 (Sigma-Aldrich). Two million cells were incubated with 4 μL of the dye in 1 mL diluted C. After 3 minutes in the dark, 2 mL fetal calf serum was added, to neutralize free dye in the solution and, after multiple rinses, to remove excess dye, a small sample was checked for viability with 0.5% trypan blue and stain adequacy, with a 500-nm FITC filter. Labeled cells were used for further cell-seeding procedures with the appropriate controls.

Cell Seeding on Endothelium. Human corneas used in this study were eye bank donor corneas (St. Etienne Eye Bank) considered unsuitable for therapeutic keratoplasty (endothelial cell count between 1800–2000 cells/mm² or medical contraindication of the donor regardless of endothelial quality) and organ cultured (CorneaMax; Eurobio) for at least 7 days. They were seeded with the fluorescent-labeled cell suspension at various concentrations (10⁴, 5 x 10⁴, 10⁵, and 10⁶ cells per cornea) and incubated at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO₂ for 6 hours. Next, the corneas were rinsed gently with physiologic saline (Balanced Salt Solution [BSS]; Alcon, Rueil Malmaison, France), exactly as is usual during a routine corneal retrieval and suspended in 100 mL of the medium (CorneaMax; Eurobio) using a 6-0 black silk suture and stored at 31°C. Storage medium was renewed after 48 hours (in accordance with routine practice) and corneas were stored for 2 weeks. Corneas seeded with unlabeled cells were similarly stored for immunohistochemical procedures, which also served as the control.

Counterstaining. At the end of 2 weeks, corneas were removed from OC, observed under bright-field and fluorescence microscope (FITC filter) and sampled for further tests. Staining of the endothelial cell borders was performed with application of 0.2% Alizarin red (Sigma-Aldrich), as previously described. Cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 10 μg/mL (Cambrex Bioscience, Emerainville, France) by keeping in the dark for 10 minutes at room temperature. The whole corneas were flattened in antifade medium (DakoCyto- mation, Trappes, France) with four radial nicks at the periphery and observed under a fluorescence microscope with the appropriate filters (450 nm for Hoechst and 530 nm for PKH67). Autofluorescence was eliminated by appropriate filter adjustments and comparison with the control.

Histopathology and Immunohistochemistry. Nonla- beled cell-seeded corneas were fixed for 24 hours in 10% formalin at the end of a 2-week preservation in OC. For histopathological studies, 5-μm-thick paraffin-embedded sections were dewaxed and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. Immunostaining was performed on dewaxed and dehydrated tissue sections with an automated immunostainer (TechMate 500 Plus; DakoCytomation) by the avidin-biotin peroxidase complex technique and heat-induced epitope retrieval (TRS; DakoCyto- mation). The following primary antibodies were used: Melan-A (1: 25), epithelial membrane antigen (EMA) (1:200), cytokeratin (CK) 903 (1:75), AE1/AE3 (1:50), CK7 (1:50), CK20 (1:50), CK18 (1:100), TTF-1 (1:50), and p53 (1:100; all from DakoCytomation). Slides were pro- cessed according to the manufacturer's protocols for immune complex detection using a detection system (EnVision; DakoCyto- mation) with diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen (K 5007) or aminoethylcarbazole (AEC), (K3464; DakoCytomation). They were counterstained with Har- ris hematoxylin, dehydrated in alcohol, and mounted. Positive controls included tissue sections known to be positive for the antibody used. Negative controls included sections of cornea processed in parallel with omission of the primary antibody. Slides were examined by light microscopy (DMR microscope; Leica MicroSystems, Rueil-Malmaison, France).

Hyal A Type of the Dissected Endothelium. The endothe- lium of seeded OC-preserved corneas was removed from the underly- ing stroma by careful dissection of Descemet's membrane (DM) serv- ing as a carrier. The DM was subjected to HLA typing for class I alleles (A and B) and stored for 2 weeks. Corneas were processed according to routine practice and corneas were stored for 2 weeks. Corneas seeded with unlabeled cells were similarly stored for immunohistochemical procedures, which also served as the control.
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obtained by comparison of hybridization data with the expected outcomes for known alleles. The interpretation of the HLA type was based on a characteristic pattern of positive probes for each cell line in a software program (PMP; Dynal Biotech).

Primary Cell Culture. The DM of seeded and OC-preserved corneas were carefully dissected in small strips with sterile precautions and incubated in sterile six-well tissue culture plates (BD Biosciences Labware) in respective recommended media supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, antibiotics, and glutamine at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere. Medium was renewed every 3 days. Cellular proliferation was noted, either in the form of clusters or migrating sheets of cells from the edge of the DM in approximately 1 to 2 weeks time, bearing morphologic resemblance to respective cancer cell lines. After primary cultures reached confluence, cells were subcultured and maintained in medium containing 10% fetal calf serum for another week to ensure formation of a confluent monolayer. Confluent cultures were then trypsinized, genomic DNA extracted, and HLA matching performed for cell line identification by the PCR-SSO technique, as described previously.

RESULTS
Viability Tests
For all cell lines, proliferation was reduced in the OC media compared with that in respective recommended media (Fig. 1). Proliferation of the Cal51 cell line was the least affected in OC, whereas that of the Calu1 line was the most affected. Progressive desquamation with increasing duration of storage in all cell lines except Calu1. Despite reduced proliferation in OC, persistent cell population was noted in all cell lines at the end of 2 weeks.

![Figure 1](https://iovs.arvojournals.org/pdfaccess.ashx?url=/data/journals/iovs/933597/) Comparative proliferation with time (days) of breast adenocarcinoma Cal51 (A), colon adenocarcinoma (B), cutaneous melanoma SKMel28 (C), and pulmonary epidermoid carcinoma Calu1 (D), in recommended media versus that in OC conditions (CorneaMax [CMax]; Eurobio). Proliferation in all cell lines was considerably reduced in OC. Cell desquamation (supernatant [Sup] fraction) increased progressively with increasing duration of storage in all cell lines except Calu1. Despite reduced proliferation in OC, persistent cell population was noted in all cell lines at the end of 2 weeks.

![Figure 2](https://iovs.arvojournals.org/pdfaccess.ashx?url=/data/journals/iovs/933597/) Comparative viability with time (days) of breast adenocarcinoma Cal51 (A), colon adenocarcinoma (B), cutaneous melanoma SKMel28 (C), and pulmonary epidermoid carcinoma Calu1 (D), in recommended media versus that in OC (CorneaMax [CMax]; Eurobio). The viability was considerably less in OC-conditioned medium as shown by reduction in of Alamar blue staining that produced lower fluorescence values on cytofluorimetry. Despite reduced viability in OC, complete extinction was not observed in any cell line at the end of 2 weeks.
or an endothelial “integration” of the cancer cell. Histopathological examination of a section (H-E stain) of a cornea seeded with 10^6 cells showed the presence of abnormal cells on the endothelial surface including the extreme periphery. On fluorescence microscopy, cancer cells were clearly delineated by their bright spotty membrane staining due to the presence of the green fluorescent marker. Cell clumps were scattered throughout the endothelial surface, with dense aggregates on the central cornea (settled due to gravity) before rinsing. During gentle rinsing with physiologic saline, loosely attached or cells in suspension were washed off. The remaining cell aggregates were smaller and fewer and were predominantly arranged along the endothelial folds (Fig. 3A).

At the end of 2-week storage in OC, on light microscopy after counterstaining, similar abnormal cells were observed on the endothelial surface in all cell lines. For the SKMel28 cell line, adherence in the form of a monolayer was noted predominantly near the corneal periphery and the trabecula. Adhesion was minimal in the central or midperipheral cornea and was mainly in the form of cells aggregated loosely in clusters (Fig. 3B).

Adherence in the form of tightly packed clusters with whorled appearance and faint spotty fluorescence was noted in the Cal51 cell line, scattered throughout the endothelium, both centrally and peripherally (Fig. 3C). Of particular interest was the observation of certain morphologically normal endothelial cells adjacent to the clusters with positive fluorescence, indicating either the phagocytosis of cancer cell debris by the endothelial cell or some kind of “integration” of the cancer cell into the endothelium.

The Calu1-seeded corneas had a characteristically different appearance (Fig. 4A). The endothelial margins were intact,
well delineated by the Alizarin red, and shiny refractile intra-

cytoplasmic inclusions were observed, irrespective of their

proximity to cancer cells. The adherent cancer cells were well
delineated by the presence of chromatin abnormalities in the

form of giant, hyperchromatic nuclei, with nonuniform chro-

matin distribution revealed by nuclear staining with Hoechst

33342 in combination with the green fluorescent marker. Post-
miotic changes (bi-lobed nuclei, arrows) were present, indicating cell

multiplication. Two distinct types of cell adhesion were noted: cancer cells superimposed on endo-

thelium without any underlying endothelial interconnections (A3) and more commonly, abnormal cells in the form of a pseudohexagon embed-

d within the endothelium with borders well delineated by Alizarin red (A1, A2, A4). (B) Light micrographs (bright-field and FITC, respectively) of a cornea seeded with 10^6 HT29 cells labeled with PKH67 on day 15 of OC and counterstained with Hoechst 33342 and Alizarin red showing presence of large clusters on the endothelium (B1). Similar clusters are also noted for an inocu-

lum of 10^5 cells, sometimes barely distinguishable from the surrounding endothelium on bright-field microscopy (B2). Histopathological section (H-E stain) confirms presence of ab-

normal cells with large hyperchromatic nuclei on the trabecular region (B3). These cells show weakly posi-
tive immunohistochemical staining of the vacuolated cytoplasm (arrow) with anti-CEA antibody (B4) but show prominent nuclear staining with the anti-p53 antibody (B5). Original magnification: (A1–A4, B3–B5) ×40; (B1, B2).
51, and HT29 cell lines at higher cell inoculums (5 × 10^4–10^6; Figs 3, 4). No cancer cells were visible in cross sections in the Calu1-seeded corneas, probably due to technical reasons, though evidence of adherence was seen on cell tracking. Immunostaining with the anti-Melan A antibody confirmed the presence of positively stained SKMel28 cells on the peripheral cornea and trabecula (Fig. 3B). Positive immunolocalization of p53 (nuclear) and carcinoembryonic antigen (cytoplasmic; Fig. 4B). No immunohistochemical staining was detected in the Calu1-seeded corneas, though they should have been positive for AE1/AE3, EMA, and TTF-1 and negative for CK 7.

**HLA Expression Analysis**

Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 show the results of the HLA typing tests for the different cell lines. The first row (Reference alleles) indicates the typing (HLA A and B) obtained with the DNA derived from pure cancer cell lines, which served as reference for further HLA matching. The second row (Descemet’s membrane seeded with 1000 cells) indicates the typing obtained with the DNA derived from the dissected DM of corneas seeded with 1000 cancer cells of each cell line and stored in OC. Because the inoculate volume was very feeble compared with that of the DM of donor cornea, typing corresponded principally to the HLA type of the donor cornea. Of interest, presence of probes common to the cancer cell line and donor cornea was observed (shown in bold), which indicates the possibility that cancer cells also contributed to the typing pattern (concordance varying between 61% and 83% for HLA A and between 42% and 100% for HLA B, depending on the cell line). This is further supported by the fact that probe 31 (Table 1), which is positive uniquely for allele A*11 (SKMel28 cell line), was detected in the DM sample, indicating that the source was most likely the cancer cells. The third row indicates the typing obtained with DNA derived from primary culture of the DM. A complete matching with the reference alleles was observed indicating a cell population identical with the pure cell line.

**DISCUSSION**

Transmission of malignancy by corneal transplantation is very rare, and the case reports are extremely limited or even anecdotical. This study addresses two aspects of the issue: Can tumor cells survive in OC conditions, and do cells adhere to corneas. The conditions required for transmission of viable cancer cells through the corneal graft to the recipient are multiple. First, the development of an intraocular metastasis from the primary site is imperative. The incidence of ocular metastases is approximately 300,000 cases a year, most often from breast (60%)
or lung primaries (19%).19,20 The overall incidence of ocular metastases among deaths due to solid cancers was 4% in a study on 716 eyes obtained from patients who had malignant neoplasms at the time of death.21 In another comparative study performed on the frequency of intraocular metastases in patients who had died of cancer, the authors found microscopic metastases in 12.6% of cases and gross metastasis in 1%.9 Ocular involvement in metastatic disease primarily occurs in the uvea, mainly in the choroid, with spreading to the ciliary body and iris being relatively rare.22,23 However, iris and anterior chamber involvement has been described in metastatic solid cancers, such as malignant melanoma,24,25 renal cell carcinoma,26,27 and gastric carcinoma,28 as well as in circulating hematologic malignancies such as leukemia.13,29,30 Occurrence of benign disorders such as secondary amyloidosis in the cornea after transplantation has been reported.31 Sarcomatous nodules mimicking iris tumors have been reported in a patient where the graft tissue was derived from a donor with metastatic renal cell carcinoma.32 It is to be noted that donors with hematologic malignancies (leukemia and lymphoma), primary anterior segment tumors and retinoblastoma are excluded for graft purposes by eye bank associations worldwide.

The next important requirement is the adherence of malignant cells on the endothelium within the 7- to 9-mm trephination zone. Corneal avascularity makes the transmission of blood-borne viable tumor cells in metastatic disease very unlikely. In our study, cellular adherence was observed preferentially close to the trabecula, a zone devoid of endothelial cells, for most cell lines. This suggests that cancer cells are more likely to adhere to the trabecular collagen matrix and less likely to adhere to the intact endothelium. This finding could partly explain the extreme rarity of cancer transmission, since only the central 7 to 9 mm of donor cornea is trephined for surgical use. We also observed that cancer cells were more likely to adhere to areas of exposed DM. The DM adjacent to the endothelium is composed of collagen IV and VIII and fibronecin, which are known to favor cell adhesion.33 The scientific corneas used in the study had already been stored for more than a week before implantation of cancer cells and therefore inevitably had endothelial cell loss, especially in the folds induced by hypotony and stromal swelling during OC.34

The next vital point required for transmission is adequate cell viability during corneal storage. Two of the long-term retrospective studies of 73 and 47 recipients of grafts from...
The presumed integration of cancer cells bearing chromatin anomalies like giant or dividing nuclei into the corneal endothelial cell with preservation of the hexagonal cell margins for the lung carcinoma cells is extremely interesting. Human corneal endothelium is known to express adhesion molecules such as intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 and nerve cell adhesion molecule (NCAM), but whether this could explain this phenomenon is not entirely clear.12,43 We also do not know if such integration was possible because of adherence in a zone of denuded endothelium or due to their individual invasive potential linked to function of collagenases and matrix metalloproteinases.44,45

Whether metastatic cells adhere to corneal endothelium in vivo is not yet known. The three reported cases of transmission could indicate that they probably did. However, the PCR tests performed to establish transmission in two recent cases had obvious pitfalls. In a case reported by McGee et al.,8 regarding development of a presumed metastasis 19 months after the corneal graft, evidence was based on the presence of a single matching allele (3.0) between the donor and the tumor whereas concomitant absence of another allele 1.2 (expressed by the donor but lacking in the tumor) was not accounted for. In the case reported by Florén et al.,8 although the tumor contained foreign HLA DR alleles, PCR studies could not be performed on the donor because of the lack of available tissue samples. Thus, absolute proof of transmission remains to be established.

Although our results may appear to lead to conflict with the clinical experience, we have to interpret them judiciously in view of several factors not yet addressed. First, all tests were ex vivo, hence excluding a very vital parameter, the host immune mechanism. Next, the cell concentrations used in our experiments were arbitrary because no clinical data exist on the likely aqueous cell load in metastatic disease. Preserved corneas with possible endothelial cell depletion could have artificially facilitated cell adhesion. The anterior chamber constitutes a dynamic environment due to the presence of aqueous currents, temperature variations, and continuous aqueous circulation, in contrast to the static conditions of incubation at 37°C during cell-seeding procedures that facilitate adherence. To investigate the possibility of adherence to and survival of cancer cells on human corneal endothelium in vivo, animal experimentation is needed to simulate the conditions inside the anterior chamber. Cell tracking procedures in the form of corneal grafts seeded with PKH-labeled or eGFP-transfected tumor cells in animal models could indicate the fate of these cells in the face of host immune mechanisms. Extending the study to other cancer cell lines as well as further reduction of the inoculate volume would be necessary, to study the individual survival and proliferation pattern in OC conditions in a more clinically significant context. The absence of reports of corneal endothelium-mediated metastasis in humans to date is an argument in favor of such studies.

In conclusion, although in laboratory conditions cancer cells adhere to donor corneal tissue stored in OC and subsequently survive and retain proliferative capacity, the course of events in vivo remains yet to be determined. In the absence of any absolutely confirmed case of transmission, our results should not bring into question the current clinical practice of harvest of donor tissue from deaths due to solid organ malignancies. However, in view of our results, we recommend thorough examination of the iris and anterior chamber structures during tissue harvest as well as careful examination of the endothelial surface before surgery. The use of good-quality donor corneas with intact endothelium would further lower the risk of tumor cell adhesion. Further research should be designed to investigate possible cell adherence in vivo and subsequent survival in the recipient—the two major obstacles that must be overcome for the transmission of cancer.
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