Allele-Specific Inhibition of Rhodopsin With an Antisense Oligonucleotide Slows Photoreceptor Cell Degeneration
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PURPOSE. To preserve photoreceptor cell structure and function in a rodent model of retinitis pigmentosa with P23H rhodopsin by selective inhibition of the mutant rhodopsin allele using a second generation antisense oligonucleotide (ASO).

METHODS. Wild-type mice and rats were treated with ASO by intravitreal (IVT) injection and rhodopsin mRNA and protein expression were measured. Transgenic rats expressing the murine P23H rhodopsin gene (P23H transgenic rat Line 1) were administered either a mouse-specific P23H ASO or a control ASO. The contralateral eye was injected with PBS and used as a comparator control. Electroretinography (ERG) measurements and analyses of the retinal outer nuclear layer were conducted and correlated with rhodopsin mRNA levels.

RESULTS. Rhodopsin mRNA and protein expression was reduced after a single ASO injection in wild-type mice with a rhodopsin-specific ASO. Transgenic rat eyes that express a murine P23H rhodopsin gene injected with a murine P23H ASO had a 181 ± 39% better maximum amplitude response (scotopic a-wave) as compared with contralateral PBS-injected eyes; the response in control ASO eyes was not significantly different from comparator contralateral eyes. Morphometric analysis of the outer nuclear layer showed a significantly thicker nuclear layer in eyes injected with murine P23H ASO (18%) versus contralateral PBS-injected eyes.

CONCLUSIONS. Allele-specific ASO-mediated knockdown of mutant P23H rhodopsin expression slowed the rate of photoreceptor degeneration and preserved the function of photoreceptor cells in eyes of the P23H rhodopsin transgenic rat. Our data indicate that ASO treatment is a potentially effective therapy for the treatment of retinitis pigmentosa.
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Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a hereditary degenerative disease that typically causes blindness by middle age.1–3 Over 100 rhodopsin mutations have been identified in patients with RP; the predominant autosomal dominant mutation in the United States is P23H.4,5 The P23H mutation is present in approximately 25% of autosomal dominant (adRP) and 5% to 15% of RP cases (available in the public domain at https://sph.uth.tmc.edu/Retnet).6,7 A number of strategies are under evaluation for the treatment of ocular diseases, but few are targeted at autosomal dominant diseases and involve allele-selective inhibition of protein expression. The therapeutic approaches to date have involved the addition of neurotrophic factors,8 gene suppression and replacement,9,10 modifications of survival and chaperone pathways,11 and correction of the mutation at the DNA level.12 Most strategies using the gene silencing approach are allele nonselective and are being used in combination with gene therapy. The inhibitors under investigation include small catalytic RNAs (ribozymes),13,14 short interfering RNAs (siRNAs, shRNA),10,12,15 and zinc finger–based transcriptional repressors,16 all requiring a delivery vehicle, such as adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors, for effectiveness.17,18,11 Ribozyme technology has the potential to discriminate between mutant and wild-type sequences, but no commercial ribozymes have been marketed despite considerable effort.12,19,20 In dominant negative diseases, such as P23H adRP, data suggest that significant phenotypic improvements can result from modest reductions in the mutant protein when wild-type protein expression is preserved.20,21 A therapeutic strategy involving reduction of the mutant rhodopsin RNA allele and maintaining wild-type (WT) rhodopsin (RHO), in adRP patients is supported by transgenic rodent studies in demonstrating functional improvements in photoreceptor cells after RNA silencing treatments.20,22 Lewin et al.22 demonstrated that small reductions (~15%) in mutant rhodopsin RNA levels in transgenic rats slowed the rate of photoreceptor degeneration. This study was the first demonstration of functional preservation of retinal degeneration through a gene silencing mechanism. In another study using the same ribozyme construct, LaVail et al.20 demonstrated similar results after introducing the treatment at a much later time in disease progression, which is more similar to what would be experienced in RP patients.

In this report, we tested an allele-specific approach in a rodent model of adRP with antisense technology. Second generation antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) have the potential to selectively silence an allele with a single base pair difference
from the normal allele and have several advantages when compared with other gene silencing agents. First, ASO can be delivered in a water-based formulation with no delivery system required. Second, therapeutic doses of ASOs can be administered by intravitreal (IVT) injections that result in little to no systemic exposure. Third, Ostergaard et al. demonstrated when targeting a single base mutation, position-dependent chemical modifications to the ASO can provide substantial selectivity between alleles, making mutation-specific, allele-dependent targeting a viable approach. They demonstrated discrimination for a single nucleotide change in the disease-causing huntingtin mRNA, in patient cells and in a humanized mouse model of Huntington disease. These findings suggest that efficient allele-selective down regulation of gene expression using ASOs can be applied to other dominant genetic disorders, such as P23H adRP. Furthermore, IVT administration of ASOs is a clinically validated approach with good tolerability and duration of action that provides acceptable patient safety and convenience.

To demonstrate the efficacy of an allele-selective antisense treatment we chose a transgenic rat model of RP, the P23H rat Line 1 (P23H-1). This transgenic rat carries the mutant mouse rhodopsin gene in addition to the endogenous wild-type rat rhodopsin gene leading to the onset of photoreceptor cell death as early as the second postnatal week. Machida et al. carefully evaluated this P23H-1 transgenic rat model of RP and showed a rapidly progressive rod dysfunction, with initial normal cone function. This finding is consistent with clinical findings reported in human P23H adRP patients. However, differences were noted in the rate of recovery from a light bleach and in transduction sensitivity from a-wave modeling.

In this report, we evaluated the effects of an ASO1 specifically reduced rhodopsin gene expression without altering normal cone function. This finding is consistent with clinical findings reported in human P23H adRP patients. However, differences were noted in the rate of recovery from a light bleach and in transduction sensitivity from a-wave modeling compared with human adRP patients. Despite these differences, the P23H transgenic rats are considered one of the best models of adRP to evaluate potential therapeutic treatments. In this report, we evaluated the effects of an allele-selective antisense compound on the retinal degeneration in the P23H-1 rat line. This compound is a mouse-specific P23H rhodopsin ASO and was administered by IVT injection. We showed that the P23H rhodopsin ASO specifically reduced mutant mouse rbcdopsin gene expression without altering expression of the normal rat rhodopsin gene. In rhodopsin ASO-treated eyes, we demonstrated better photoreceptor cell function and viability without overt toxicities or inflammatory side effects.

## Materials and Methods

### Oligonucleotides

Antisense oligonucleotides were designed and synthesized using an Applied Biosystems 380B automated DNA synthesizer (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences–Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) and purified as previously described. mRHO ASO1; targets exon 5 (5’-AGCTACTATGTGTTC CATTC-3’), mRHO ASO3; targets exon 5 (5’-CTGGTATCCCCCA TAGTTCCTG-3’), MALATI ASO (5’-GGGTCACTGCTGCAAAFTGAT-3’), and Control ASO (5’-CTTCCCTGGAGGTCTCTCC-3’) are chimeric 20-mers with phosphorothioate backbone containing 2’-O-methoxymethyl (MOE) modification at positions 1-5 and 15-20 (second generation chemistry; Isis Pharmaceuticals, Carlsbad, CA, USA). mRHO ASO2 targets exon 5 (5’-AGTAGGCAAGTTTCTC-3’) is a chimeric 16-mer phosphorothioate oligonucleotide containing constrained ethyl (cEt) modification at positions 1 to 3 and 14 to 16 (Table), which was synthesized and purified on an automated DNA synthesizer using phosphoramidite chemistry as previously described.

### Animals

In vivo studies in wild-type animals were performed with 6-week-old male C57BL/6 mice from Jackson Laboratories (Sacramento, CA, USA) or male Sprague-Dawley rats from Charles River Laboratories (San Diego, CA, USA), according to the indicated treatment schedules. The animals were housed in microisolator cages on a constant 12-hour light-dark cycle. Transgenic rats with a P23H rhodopsin mutation (produced by Chrysalis DNX Transgenic Sciences, Princeton, NJ, USA) on an albino Sprague-Dawley background, P23H Transgenic Line 1 (abbreviated P23H-1) were reared and maintained in a 12 hour light–dark environment. The experimental rats were produced by crossing transgenic homozygotes by Sprague-Dawley rats; the P23H-1 hemizygotes have nine copies of the transgene. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees (Isis Pharmaceuticals and University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA) and adhered to the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

### Intravitreal Injections

For intravitreal injections in wild-type animals, each eye was treated with a drop of 0.5% Tropicamide ophthalamic solution to open iris and a drop of Proparacaine hydrochloride (0.5% ophthalamic solution) to inhibit eye twitching. One microliter of PBS or ASO was injected into the vitreous using a 33-G needle under a dissecting microscope. Necropsy was performed by cardiac puncture from animals anesthetized by inhaled 1% to 3% isoflurane and whole eyes removed and processed for either RNA or histologic analysis.

### Histologic Analysis

#### Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining

One set of paraffin-embedded tissue sections were deparaffinized and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Surgipath; Leica Biosystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA).
**Immunohistochemical Analysis.** Tissue sections were collected, fixed in Davidson’s solution, and paraffin embedded. Sections were stained using a polyclonal rabbit anti-α-synuclein antibody (Isis Pharmaceuticals) for α-synuclein expression as previously described.35,36

**ViewRNA Assay (ISH).** MALAT1 expression was detected using the QuantiGene ViewRNA tissue assay (Cat. #QVT0011; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with optimal conditions as previously described.35,36 For the Rhodopsin expression mouse-specific rhodopsin probes were purchased from Affymetrix (Cat. #VX1-99999-01; accession #NM_145383). Briefly, rat tissues were fixed in Davidson’s solution and embedded into paraffin. After deparaffinization, the tissue slides were boiled for 10 minutes followed by treatment with protease at 40°C for 20 minutes. The rhodopsin RNA probe was used at a 1:40 dilution and was incubated with sample at 40°C for 180 minutes. After washing, the rhodopsin RNA/probe complex was hybridized with preamplifier, amplifier, and AP-oligonucleotides at 40°C for 8 hours. The tissue images were acquired using an Aperio scanner (Leica Biosystems).

**RNA and qRT-PCR Analysis**

Whole eyes or retinas were homogenized in a guanidine isothiocyanate solution (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 8% 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA). Total RNA was prepared according to the PureLink Total RNA Purification Kit (Life Technologies). The qRT-PCR analyses were done using a StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies). All primer probe sets were synthesized at Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) and are as follows:

**Mouse MALAT1:**
Forward: 5’-TGAGGTAGAGAAGGCGGTGACTG-3’
Reverse: 5’-TCAGCGGACAAGTGAAA-3’
and
Probe: 5’-Fam-GTGTTGAGAACGACCTGGACACT-Tamra-3’

**Mouse Rhodopsin:**
Forward: 5’-CAGGTCAATTGACTGACTTC-3’
Reverse: 5’-GGGGGATTTCACCTCCAA-3’
and
Probe: 5’-Fam-CATCCCCGAGACCCTCTACAGATGTTG-Tamra-3’

**Mouse Cone-Rod Homeobox (CRX):**
Forward: 5’-TCTGAGTGCCCAATGTTG-3’
Reverse: 5’-CCGCGCGTCTGTTCAAT-3’
and
Probe: 5’-Fam-TGCACCGGCTGTCCATCTCAAGTG-Tamra-3’

**Rat Rhodopsin:**
Forward: 5’-AAGGAATGGTGGAGGCTCCAGATG-3’
Reverse: 5’-CCCTGTTGACAACTGAGAAG-3’
and
Probe: 5’-Fam-AGAGTTGACAGCCTGAGAAG-Tamra-3’

**Rat Cone-Rod Homeobox (CRX):**
Forward: 5’-AGCCTGCTCTGACCCAGGC-3’
Reverse: 5’-GATTCAGATGACCCCAAAGGAT-3’
and
Probe: 5’-Fam-CATCCGCGAGCCTCCTAGATTTG-Tamra-3’.

Polymerase chain reaction results were normalized to total RNA measure by Quant-iT RiboGreen RNA Reagent (Life Technologies) for the MALAT1 data and to CRX for rhodopsin.

**Western Analysis**

Mouse retinas were homogenized in 1 ml lysis buffer (RIPA) containing a 1:200 dilution of protease cocktail III (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad DC protein assay (Hercules, CA, USA), and 1 μg protein per sample was pooled and loaded onto a 10% tris-glycine SDS gel (Life Technologies). Blots were incubated with a 1:3000 dilution of anti-rhodopsin antibody (1D4, Cat. #SC5743; Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) followed by a 1:8000 dilution of goat anti-mouse IRP conjugated secondary antibody (EMD Millipore Cat. #12-349), and then detected using ECL Plus Chemiluminescent reagents (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Blots were then stripped with reblot reagent (Cat. #2502; Chemicon, Billerica, MA, USA) and incubated with a 1:1000 dilution of anti-complement factor B antibody (Cat. #A08825; Sigma-Aldrich Corp.).

**Electroretinographic Analysis**

Rats were dark-adapted overnight and then anesthetized with intramuscular injections of xylazine (13 mg/kg) and ketamine (87 mg/kg) in dim red light.

Full-field scotopic ERGs were elicited with 10-msec flashes of white light, and responses from both eyes were recorded simultaneously by using a UTAS-E 3000 Visual Electrodiagnostic System (LKC Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) as described.22 The cones of the rats were anesthetized with a drop of 0.5% proparacaine hydrochloride, and the pupils were dilated with 1% atropine and 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride. Small contact lenses with gold wire loops46 were placed on both corneas with a drop of 2.5% methylcellulose to maintain corneal hydration. A silver wire reference electrode was placed subcutaneously between the eyes, and a ground electrode was placed subcutaneously in the hind leg. To measure scotopic ERG responses, stimuli were presented at intensities from ~4.6 to 2.4 log cd sec m⁻² (see Figs. 4, 7 for specific intensities) at interstimulus intervals ranging from 5 seconds at lowest intensities to 60 seconds at the highest intensity. Responses were amplified at a gain of 4000, filtered between 0.3 to 500 Hz and digitized at a rate of 2 kHz on two channels. Three responses were averaged at each intensity. To measure photopic responses, the rats were then exposed to a rod-desensitizing adapting light of 29 cd m⁻² for 10 minutes before responses were recorded to stimuli (Figs. 4, 7; bottom panel, diamond symbols) presented at a rate of 2 Hz; 20 successive flashes were averaged.37 For quantitative comparison of differences in a-wave and b-wave response amplitudes between the two eyes of individual rats, the values from each stimulus intensity were averaged for each eye of a given animal.

**Retinal Tissue Preparation and Morphometry**

P23H-1 rats were euthanized on postnatal day 45 by overdose of carbon dioxide inhalation and immediately enucleated and immersed into a mixture of combined aldehydes (2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde). Eyes were bisected, postfixed in osmium tetroxide, and embedded in epoxy resin, and 1-mm thick histologic sections were made along the vertical meridian.58 The thickness of the outer nuclear layer (ONL) was taken as a measure of photoreceptor number. For each retina, the mean ONL was obtained from 54 measurements taken around the eye; these values were used for statistical analysis, and groups of three measurements in each 440-μm microscopic field were averaged and the data were plotted as a retinal spidergram to show specific regional differences, as described.39,40

**Statistical Analyses**

Data are presented as means ± SD or ± SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey’s post hoc tests, 2-way ANOVA followed
RESULTS

ASO Distribution and Target Inhibition in the Mouse Eye Following IVT Administration

The chemical modifications of the rhodopsin ASOs used in these studies are the second generation, 2'-O-2-methoxymethyl-(MOE), modified phosphorothioate oligonucleotides, which were designed to utilize an RNaseH-dependent mechanism leading to reduction in target RNA levels.25 ASO tissue distribution in the eye has previously been reported after injection into the rabbit eye.27 Briefly, oligonucleotides are distributed to most of the major ocular tissues with high concentrations found in the outer plexiform layer, outer limiting membrane, inner plexiform layer, ganglion cells, ciliary body, RPE, and optic nerve. The second generation oligonucleotides are cleared very slowly from the retina, with a half-life of approximately 2 months.27 Given the chemical class-dependent and sequence-independent nature of ASO distribution, we expected that distribution would be similar in a rodent eye.41,42 However, to confirm and demonstrate that ASO distributes and exhibits pharmacologic activity in all layers of a rodent eye after IVT injection, several studies were performed. First, an immunohistochemistry assay using an antibody that selectively recognizes the phosphorothioate backbone of the ASO was used to measure the presence of ASO directly.43 The second generation ASO (50 μg) was intravitreally injected into a mouse eye and ASO distribution was evaluated 7 days post injection. No toxicities or inflammation were observed in the eyes after injection. The brown antibody staining pattern indicated distribution of ASO into all layers and was similar throughout different regions of the eye (Fig. 1A).

To demonstrate the activity of an ASO in various regions of the rodent eye, an in situ RNA hybridization assay (ISH) was used after ASO administration, as previously described.35 A second generation ASO targeting metastasis associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT1), a noncoding RNA that is ubiquitously expressed in the eye, was chosen for this analysis because of its robust signal in an ISH assay, which is a result of

by a Bonferroni multiple comparison, or a Student’s 2-tailed t-test were performed using GraphPad Prism Version 5 (San Diego, CA, USA), software. In this report, P less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1. Characterization of ASO distribution and activity in mouse eyes. (A) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) comparison of PBS and a second generation ASO-treated mouse eyes 7 days after a 50-μg IVT injection using an anti-ASO antibody; the brown staining in the ASO eye is positive for ASO, (B) ISH comparison of PBS and MALAT1 ASO (50 μg)-treated mouse eyes, and (C) MALAT1 mouse mRNA expression in whole eyes 7 days after PBS, 50- or 10-μg IVT injection of the MALAT1 ASO. RNA was normalized to ribogreen values (1-way ANOVA) All values were expressed as mean ± SD, **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
ASO Targeting Murine Rhodopsin Reduces Rhodopsin Levels in the Mouse Eye

Because the objective of the pharmacology studies in P23H-1 transgenic rats is to target the mutant mouse rhodopsin allele in the transgenic rat, the initial characterization of ASO treatment was performed in the mouse to demonstrate the in vivo efficacy of the ASOs targeting endogenous rhodopsin mRNA. First we evaluated the effects of inhibiting rhodopsin expression in the mouse, with a potent ASO targeting murine rhodopsin (mROH ASO1) identified by screening multiple ASOs in a murine melanocyte cell line (data not shown). In vivo activity of the selected ASO was evaluated in C57BL/6 mice using qRT-PCR and Western analysis. For example, mice were treated with 50, 25, or 10 μg mROH ASO1 or 50 μg control ASO by IVT injection and euthanized 7 days later. A dose-dependent reduction in rhodopsin mRNA was observed in eyes treated with mROH ASO1, whereas no effect was observed in eyes treated with control ASO (Fig. 2A). To evaluate whether the reduction in rhodopsin RNA resulted in a decrease in protein levels, treated eyes (n = 4) were pooled and evaluated by Western blot. Protein reductions were observed in samples treated with mROH ASO1 at both dose levels, although there did not appear to be a substantial difference in the level of reduction observed between the 50- and 25-μg doses (Fig. 2B).

Single ASO Treatment Provides a Long Duration of Action

A desired attribute of drugs given by the intravitreal route is that they be administered infrequently. In a previous study performed in rabbits, the ASO concentration in the vitreous was the highest at 3 days following a single IVT administration and declined thereafter with complete clearance from the vitreous approximately 15 days after administration, with a tissue half-life in the retina of approximately 44 days. To confirm the effects observed in the photoreceptor cells with mROH ASO1 and to accurately measure and demonstrate the duration of antisense efficacy in the rodent eye, a more active ASO was identified and used, mROH ASO2. We measured rhodopsin mRNA levels at various times following a single 50-μg injection. Rhodopsin RNA levels in the ASO-treated mouse eye were compared with levels in the contralateral eye that received a PBS injection. Approximately a 70% reduction in rhodopsin RNA levels was observed over a 60-day period after a single IVT injection (Fig. 2C), demonstrating a long duration of action in the rodent eye sufficient to assess effects on ONL degeneration over extended periods post dosing.

ASO Distribution in Normal and Diseased Rat Eyes

To confirm similar ASO distribution in a normal rat eye (Fig. 3A) and the P23H-1 transgenic rat eye (Fig. 3B) the same immunohistochemistry assay used in the mouse (Fig. 1A) was performed to measure the presence of ASO directly. The brown antibody staining pattern demonstrates ASO presence into all layers and was similar throughout different regions of the eye regardless of the species or disease state. Because the P23H allele in the P23H-1 line is a mouse rhodopsin gene, but the rats also express the endogenous rhodopsin, we needed to use an ASO selective for mouse versus rat rhodopsin gene. Because the two ASOs used in the mouse characterization and evaluation studies were not selective for the mouse versus rat rhodopsin sequence, a third ASO (mRHO ASO3) was identified. The mRHO ASO3 sequence used in this study has perfect complementarity to the mouse P23H rhodopsin gene, but has three mismatches to the rat endogenous rhodopsin gene in the

![Figure 2](https://iovs.arvojournals.org/pdfaccess.ashx?url=/data/journals/iovs/934564/...)

Figure 2. Reduction of wild-type C57BL/6 mouse rhodopsin expression and long duration of activity in rodent eyes after a single IVT injection of rhodopsin ASO. (A) Mouse rhodopsin mRNA expression (1-way ANOVA) and (B) protein expression in retina 7 days after IVT injection. (C) Mouse rhodopsin mRNA expression over time following a single 50-μg IVT injection (2-way ANOVA). All values were expressed as mean ± SD. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001. NS, no significance.

the high nuclear abundance of the RNA. The use of MALAT1 ASO allowed for analysis of activity in all ocular cell types after a single IVT injection and the nuclear localization of MALAT1 provided a strong signal for ISH analysis. This assay has been previously characterized and validated. A robust reduction in MALAT1 mRNA was observed in all layers of the eye, including the photoreceptor cells, after IVT injection of 50 μg of an ASO targeting MALAT1 (Fig. 1B). To quantitate ASO-mediated MALAT1 mRNA inhibition in the whole eye, mice were given IVT injections of MALAT1 ASO with doses of either 50 or 10 μg, and whole-eye RNA was evaluated by qRT-PCR 7 days later. MALAT1 RNA levels were reduced by 71% and 40% in eyes treated with 50 and 10 μg ASO, respectively, when compared with PBS-treated eyes (Fig. 1C). These results demonstrate that following IVT administration, ASOs that distribute broadly in the rodent eye result in dose-dependent reduction of target RNA levels in most cell layers.
RNA targeted region. Hence, we evaluated the mRHO ASO3 activity for rhodopsin mRNA expression in wild-type C57BL/6 mice and Sprague-Dawley rats. The ASO treatment in mouse eye showed an approximately 50% reduction in rhodopsin RNA expression as compared with PBS-injected eyes (Fig. 3C). In contrast, no meaningful reduction in rat rhodopsin RNA expression was observed in the rats 30 days after a single 50 lg IVT injection as measured by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3D). The control ASO, which was designed to have no significant complementarity to known RNA sequences in the mouse or rat genomes, had no effect on levels of mouse or rat rhodopsin RNA.

Rhodopsin ASO Slows Photoreceptor Degeneration and Preserves Function of Photoreceptor Cells in P23H Transgenic Rat Eyes

The P23H-1 transgenic rats used in these studies undergo degeneration and photoreceptor cell loss that is generally characteristic of human P23H adRP.30,32 The degeneration in this transgenic rat line is more aggressive than is observed in humans, but the model provides an excellent tool for the evaluation of potential novel therapies.30 These transgenic rats express mouse P23H mutant rhodopsin protein in addition to rat endogenous rhodopsin protein. Approximately 25% of photoreceptor cells are lost by day 15 in these animals, and there are few functional photoreceptor cells by 29 weeks of age.30

To evaluate the effects of P23H mouse rhodopsin ASO treatment on photoreceptor function in the P23H-1 transgenic rat model, ASOs were initially administered twice in order to achieve maximum target reduction, once on postnatal day 10 and again on day 21, by IVT injection. Our previous experience with double versus single IVT administration of ASOs showed a slight benefit (10%–15%) of RNA inhibition with a second injection in adult mice. Rats received PBS in their left eyes and either mRHO ASO3 or control ASO in the right eyes. On day 42 (32 days following the first injection) the rats’ photoreceptor cell response was measured by ERG. The rats given mRHO ASO3 had an improved overall scotopic a-wave response and demonstrated a significantly higher maximum amplitude.

**FIGURE 3.** Characterization of ASO distribution in rat eyes and mouse specificity of the mRHO ASO3. (A) Immunohistochemistry comparison of PBS and mRHO ASO3-treated Sprague-Dawley rat eyes and (B) P23H-1 transgenic rat eyes four days after a 50-μg IVT injection using an anti-ASO antibody; the brown staining in the mRHO ASO3 eye is positive for ASO. (C) Wild-type C57BL/6 mouse rhodopsin mRNA expression and (D) wild-type Sprague-Dawley rat rhodopsin mRNA expression in retina 50 days after a 50-μg IVT injection (1-way ANOVA). All RNA was normalized to cone-rod homeobox (CRX) RNA levels. All values were expressed as mean ± SD, ***P < 0.001.
FIGURE 4. Improved ERG response in P23H-1 transgenic rats after mRHO ASO3 treatment with IVT injections at P10 and P21, with ERG measurements made at P42. (A, B) Amplitude versus stimulus intensity curves for scotopic a-waves (circles; top panels), scotopic b-waves (squares; bottom panels), and photopic b-waves (diamonds; bottom panels). (A) The scotopic a-waves of eyes injected with mRHO ASO3 were significantly greater than PBS-injected contralateral eyes, whereas the scotopic and photopic b-waves were similar to the PBS-injected eyes (N = 21). (B) Control ASO compared with PBS-injected contralateral eyes. All three of the waveforms with the control ASO were similar to those of PBS-injected contralateral eyes (N = 7; t-test; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001). In the data points without apparent error bars, the error bars are obscured by the symbol. (C) Representative ERG waveforms from one mouse injected with PBS in one eye (left column) and ASO3 in the contralateral eye (right column) at different stimulus intensities. As in (A), the mRho ASO3-injected eye showed increasing (downward) a-wave amplitude (arrows) with increasing stimulus intensity than that of the contralateral PBS-injected eye. The waveforms of the mRho ASO3 scotopic and photopic b-waves were much more similar to those of the PBS-injected eye. The implicit time (time to peak) of the mRho ASO3-injected eye a-waves were also shorter than those of the PBS-injected eye at each stimulus intensity.
response (153 ± 27%) as compared with the PBS-injected contralateral eyes (Fig. 4A, top panel). Injection of mRHO ASO3 improved the scotopic a-wave response amplitude at all stimulus intensities (Fig. 4A, top panel). This improved response was not observed in the control ASO-treated eyes (Fig. 4B, top panel). No significant changes were observed in the scotopic or photopic b-waves for either of the treatments (Figs. 4A, 4B, bottom panels). Four rats in the mRHO ASO3 group and four rats in the control ASO group displayed a flat ERG response presumed to be due to physical damage to the eye from the aggressive dosing protocol, so these rats were excluded from analysis.

Representative waveforms from a double-injected P23H-1 rat are shown in Figure 4C, which illustrate the significantly larger scotopic a-wave as a result of the mRHO ASO3 injections compared with the PBS injections; the amplitudes increased with increasing stimulus intensities. As seen in the amplitude versus intensity curves (Fig. 4A), the scotopic and photopic b-waves were much more similar to the PBS-injected eye than were the scotopic a-waves at a given stimulus intensity (Fig. 4C). In addition, Figure 4C shows that mRHO ASO3 improves (shortens) the implicit time (time from stimulus to peak response) of the scotopic a-waves than that seen in the PBS-injected control eyes.

Necropsy was performed on day 45 and one-half of the eyes in each group were subjected to ONL measurements and the other half were used for RNA analysis. The mRHO ASO3-treated eyes had a thicker average ONL throughout most of the retina than that of their contralateral PBS-injected eyes (Fig. 5A). Control ASO-treated eyes had a similar ONL thickness to
contralateral PBS-treated eyes (Fig. 5B). A representative rat was selected to visually compare an area of the contralateral PBS eye (4–6 rows) with the mRHO ASO3 eye (7–9 rows; Fig. 6A). These sections also demonstrate a consistent finding in the study that, in addition to more photoreceptor cells surviving in mRHO ASO3-injected eyes; their inner and outer segments were longer. The spidergram plot shows the sections in which the pictures were taken (Fig. 6B). All eyes that showed improvements in the ERG response also showed thicker ONL, correlating the preservation of photoreceptor cells with improved function (Fig. 6C).44

As mentioned above, four rats in the mRHO ASO3 group and four in the control ASO group had a flat ERG response. We reasoned that this damage was due to injecting at an early age (on postnatal day 10) before the eyes are fully developed29 followed by a second IVT administration 11 days later. The rationale for such an early injection was to intervene early to preserve the maximum number of photoreceptor cells; however, this approach did not take into account the vulnerability of the photoreceptor cells during the final stages of development. For this reason, a second study was carried out in which treatments were administered only once on postnatal day 14 around the time the eyes open. In these rats, the mRHO ASO3-treated eyes had an improved scotopic a-wave ERG response and the maximum amplitude was significantly greater (181 ± 39%) than that of PBS-treated eyes (Fig. 7A, top)

FIGURE 6. Preservation of ONL in P23H-1 transgenic rats after mRHO ASO3 treatment and correlation of ERG responses with ONL thickness. (A) Representative retinal micrographs of P23H-1 rhodopsin transgenic rat eyes from the PBS or mRHO ASO3-treated eye 30 days post IVT injection. (B) Spidergram profile from the same rat showing the ONL thickness throughout the retina. Highlighted sections show the region from which the micrographs were taken. (C) Correlation comparison of ERG amplitude responses and ONL thickness measurements ($R^2 = 0.4399, P < 0.0027$).
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DISCUSSION

In this report, we demonstrated that IVT administration of ASO can lower rhodopsin levels in a rodent eye. These effects were observed upon IVT injection of ASO dissolved in a simple water-based solution; thus, there was no need for a complex delivery system. In addition, the ASO distributed broadly into all layers in the eye and exhibited a long duration of action. In a rabbit eye the half-life of second generation ASOs was calculated to be approximately 44 days \(^{27}\) in the retina supporting a long duration of action. This indicates that patients could be dosed quarterly or even less frequently. Furthermore, the ASO approach for ocular diseases has been applied to clinical trials.

panel), although the maximum amplitude achieved was slightly less with one injection of mRHO ASO3 than with two injections (Figs. 4A, 7A, top panels). Moreover, no flat ERG responses were observed with a single injection. Again, no significant effects were observed on the scotopic or photopic b-wave after treatment (Fig. 7A, bottom panel). The control ASO eyes did not differ significantly in any of the ERG responses from those of PBS-treated eyes (Figs. 7A, 7B). Thus, the amplitude responses after ASO treatment in this study were similar to those observed in the previous study with two injections, but no negative effects were observed. Apparently the slight benefit (greater a-wave amplitude) gained from a second dose did not outweigh the potential damage of deleterious effects from a second intervention given shortly after the first injection (11 days) in these young animals.

Eyes from this study were also evaluated for rat and mouse rhodopsin mRNA expression. Rat rhodopsin expression was higher for individual rats that had a 100% or greater ERG response in the mRHO ASO3 group as compared with their respective PBS-treated eye by \(qRT-PCR\) (Fig. 8D), confirming the allele specificity that was observed in normal animals. Additionally, four treated eyes from the transgenic rats were fixed in Davidson’s solution and an in situ RNA hybridization assay (ISH) was used to visualize the mRHO ASO3 activity specifically against mutant murine rhodopsin mRNA expression (Fig. 8E). In situ RNA hybridization assay analysis of rhodopsin RNA levels demonstrated a similar reduction of RNA expression in the ASO-treated eye as compared with \(qRT-PCR\).
FIGURE 8. mRHO ASO treatment preserved rat rhodopsin expression, which correlates with better ERG response in P23H transgenic rats after a single IVT injection. The percentage change in scotopic a-wave amplitude response (top) or rat endogenous rhodopsin RNA levels (bottom) from the eyes of the individual animals treated with (A) mRHO ASO3 compared with their contralateral PBS treated eye or (B) control ASO compared with their contralateral PBS eye, 0% indicates no change. (C) Correlation comparison of ERG amplitude response and the percentage change in the endogenous rat rhodopsin RNA levels ($R^2 = 0.798, P < 0.0001$). (D) Mouse and rat rhodopsin mRNA expression normalized to rat CRX ($n = 19$) and (E) representative histology image from ISH comparison of PBS and mRHO ASO3-treated mouse eyes 4 days after a 50-μg IVT injection administered on postnatal day 16 in the P23H transgenic rat Line 1. All values were expressed as mean ± SEM, t-test, ****P < 0.0001.
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In the present studies, we demonstrated that ASO treatment against murine \textit{rhodopsin} mRNA containing the P23H mutation can slow the rate of degeneration of photoreceptor cells in a rat model of adRP using an antisense inhibitor designed to selectively reduce the mutant murine \textit{rhodopsin} allele. Both the photoreceptor cell structure and function were preserved 30 days following mRHO ASO treatment in the P23H-1 transgenic rat as indicated by a thicker ONL and greater ERG a-wave maximum responses, compared with contralateral control eyes. In an extensive ERG study of P23H-1 rats, Machida et al.\textsuperscript{30} concluded that the correlation between species provides a level of confidence when translating ASO activity from one species to another as long as the target gene sequence is the same, as is the case in a transgenic animal model.

In these present studies, we demonstrated that ASO treatment against murine \textit{rhodopsin} mRNA containing the P23H mutation can slow the rate of degeneration of photoreceptor cells in a rat model of adRP using an antisense inhibitor designed to selectively reduce the mutant murine \textit{rhodopsin} allele. Both the photoreceptor cell structure and function were preserved 30 days following mRHO ASO treatment in the P23H-1 transgenic rat as indicated by a thicker ONL and greater ERG a-wave maximum responses, compared with contralateral control eyes. In an extensive ERG study of P23H-1 rats, Machida et al.\textsuperscript{30} concluded that the correlation between species provides a level of confidence when translating ASO activity from one species to another as long as the target gene sequence is the same, as is the case in a transgenic animal model.

The maximal scotopic a-wave responses from ASO-treated eyes were approximately 150% to 180% greater than those from contralateral control eyes. To understand the therapeutic potential of the ASO treatment, the a-wave amplitudes would ideally be compared with those of normal, age-matched wild-type Sprague-Dawley rats, but no such contemporaneously measured ERGs were available. To make an approximate comparison, we used the maximal scotopic a-wave response amplitudes of wild-type Sprague-Dawley rats close to the age examined in the present experiments as measured in four different laboratories, including our own; this average value was $410 \mu V$ ($450 \mu V$, fig. 3A in Machida et al.\textsuperscript{30}; $390 \mu V$, calculated from text and fig. 1A in Wong et al.\textsuperscript{45}; $415 \mu V$, fig. 4B in Orhan et al.\textsuperscript{34}; $385 \mu V$, LaVail MM, Nielsen GK, Matthes MT, unpublished observations, 2011). Thus, it is not surprising in our study that while the a-wave showed a significant improvement from mRHO ASO3 treatment, the b-wave showed none. Similarly, the lack of improvement of the cone-dominated photopic b-wave response is not surprising given the status of the photopic ERG in P23H-1 rats at their age in the present studies. Machida et al.\textsuperscript{30} found that “the photopic ERG was normal at 4 weeks and began to decline by 8 weeks of age.” In the present studies, the eyes were injected around 2 weeks of age (at either P10 or P14), and then studied at just less than 7 weeks of age. Thus, for most of the experimental period, the photopic b-wave was indistinguishable from that in normal rats, so little or no improvement would be expected.

The maximal scotopic a-wave responses from ASO-treated eyes were approximately 150% to 180% greater than those from contralateral control eyes. To understand the therapeutic potential of the ASO treatment, the a-wave amplitudes would ideally be compared with those of normal, age-matched wild-type Sprague-Dawley rats, but no such contemporaneously measured ERGs were available. To make an approximate comparison, we used the maximal scotopic a-wave response amplitudes of wild-type Sprague-Dawley rats close to the age examined in the present experiments as measured in four different laboratories, including our own; this average value was $410 \mu V$ ($450 \mu V$, fig. 3A in Machida et al.\textsuperscript{30}; $390 \mu V$, calculated from text and fig. 1A in Wong et al.\textsuperscript{45}; $415 \mu V$, fig. 4B in Orhan et al.\textsuperscript{34}; $385 \mu V$, LaVail MM, Nielsen GK, Matthes MT, unpublished observations, 2011). Thus, the maximal scotopic a-wave amplitude resulting from two ASO injections (Fig. 4) was approximately 175 $\mu V$, or approximately 43% of that in wild-type animals. The response from the single ASO injections (Fig. 7) was approximately 120 $\mu V$, or approximately 29% of that in wild-type animals. These are relatively high percentages of normal when the very rapid, aggressive rate of photoreceptor degeneration is considered. Presumably, the relative degree of ASO-mediated protection would be greater with a milder, slower retinal degeneration, but this remains to be determined experimentally with both a slower degeneration model and contemporaneously measured wild-type controls. This would also allow a better understanding of ASO treatment on scotopic and photopic b-wave responses and implicit times than in the rapid P23H-1 retinal degeneration model.

This is the first demonstration of an oligonucleotide-based inhibitor delivered directly into the eye that preserves photoreceptor cell structure and function in an animal model that is reflective of the disease in humans. Although studies have been reported demonstrating allele-selective rescue of photoreceptor cells in the P23H transgenic Line 3,\textsuperscript{20} which has a slower rate of degeneration and a less severe phenotype than the transgenic line 1 used in this study, those earlier reports used an AAV-mediated ribozyme injected subretinally.\textsuperscript{22} Since wild-type \textit{rhodopsin} expression is necessary for preservation of photoreceptor cell function, effective gene-based therapeutic approaches must preferentially inhibit mutant \textit{rhodopsin} while preserving normal \textit{rhodopsin} expression, or therapy must include a replacement of the wild-type protein. In dominant negative diseases, such as P23H adRP data suggest that significant phenotypic improvements can result from modest reductions in the mutant protein when wild-type protein expression is preserved.\textsuperscript{20,21} Characterization of several different lines of transgenic animals and affected adRP patients demonstrate a negative correlation of normal to mutant \textit{rhodopsin} expression with disease severity.\textsuperscript{46,47} In addition, it has been demonstrated that increasing expression of normal \textit{rhodopsin} in animal models of adRP results in a slower rate of degeneration of the photoreceptor cells and preservation of vision for longer periods of time.\textsuperscript{57,58} Here, we achieved a 30% reduction in mutant \textit{rhodopsin} expression and no reduction in the normal rat rhodopsin expression through allele-specific antisense targeting. This reduction results in an increase in the amount of normal to mutant rhodopsin expression supporting a slower rate of photoreceptor degeneration as demonstrated by ONL and ERG analyses.

An ideal treatment for adRP diseases would be an allele-selective therapeutic that prevents expression of the diseased allele, while maintaining expression of the wild-type variant. Oligonucleotide-based therapeutics are uniquely suited for targeting autosomal genetic diseases, as these agents can suppress the production of the mutant protein while preserving the wild-type protein by targeting the mRNA directly through Watson-Crick interactions.\textsuperscript{23,49} Furthermore, ASOs can provide substantial activity in targeting a single base point mutation, while preserving the wild-type allele using optimized ASO designs.\textsuperscript{20} In the clinic, it will be necessary to use such an ASO that demonstrates selectivity for the mutant allele having a single nucleotide change.

In conclusion, allele-selective targeting of mutant rhodopsin resulted in improved photoreceptor cell preservation and cell viability in a rat model of a dominant negative retinal degenerative disease.

Acknowledgments
The authors thank Bea DeBrosse-Serra for histology work, Tracy Reigle for her valuable help in formatting all of the figures, Mahleen David for her assistance in manuscript submission, and Scott Henry for his support and input into this project.

Supported in part by research grants from the NIH (EY001919, EY000642, and EY002162; MML) and from the Foundation Fighting Blindness (MML)
Disclosures: S.F. Murray, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); A. Jazayeri, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); M.T. Matthes, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (R); D. Yasumura, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (R); H. Yang, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (R); R. Peralta, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); A. Watt, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); S. Freier, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); G. Hung, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); P.S. Adamson, GlaxoSmithKlein (E); S. Guo, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); B.P. Monia, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); M.M. LaVail, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E); M.L. McCaleb, Isis Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (I, E)

References


