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**PURPOSE.** Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) was supplemented in a single-site, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial designed to slow vision loss associated with X-linked retinitis pigmentosa (XLRP); the DHAX Trial. We previously reported no significant differences between supplemented and placebo groups in intent-to-treat analysis of primary ERG outcomes. Assessed herein are hypothesis-generating measures of ancillary visual function outcomes in participants fully adhering to trial protocol.

**METHODS.** Male participants with XLRP (range, 7–31 years) received 30 mg DHA/kg/d (n = 29) or placebo (n = 22) for 4 years. Visual outcomes were measured annually and red blood cell (RBC) DHA determined every 6 months.

**RESULTS.** Oral DHA supplementation increased mean RBC-DHA levels by 4-fold (P < 0.0001) over placebo. No group differences in progression were found for visual acuity (P = 0.11), shape discrimination (P = 0.18), or fundus appearance (P = 0.70). Optical coherence tomography (OCT) became available during year 2 of the trial; no group differences were seen in ellipsoid zone constriction (P = 0.87) over 2 years. Yearly rates of progression were reduced for dark-adapted thresholds (P = 0.06) and visual field sensitivity for foveal, macular, peripheral, total, and ellipsoid zone regions by DHA supplementation (P = 0.039, P = 0.031, P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001, and P = 0.033). Rates of visual field sensitivity decline were dependent on RBC-DHA (P = 0.046 to <0.0001).

**CONCLUSIONS.** Supplementation of DHA significantly elevated blood DHA levels and reduced the rate of progression in final dark-adapted thresholds and visual field sensitivity. From the relationship between RBC-DHA and the rate of field sensitivity loss, we can extrapolate that an RBC-DHA level of 17% could minimize the decline in field sensitivity. (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00100250.)
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**X-linked retinitis pigmentosa** (XLRP) is a rare genetic retinal degenerative disease characterized by early childhood nightblindness, diminished visual acuity, appearance of retinal pigmentation, and severe progressive visual field constriction.1-3 Many patients with XLRP have lower than normal blood lipid levels of the n3 fatty acid, docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22:6n3) found highly enriched in the retina and brain.4,5 Using stable isotope methodology, patients with XLRP were found to have a reduction in the synthesis of DHA from shorter chain n3 fatty acids.6 Thus, oral supplementation of DHA may bypass this metabolic obstacle and possibly slow disease progression.

Although randomized trials employing n3 fatty acid-rich fish, fish oil, or DHA to slow progression have been conducted in patients with RP, a compelling demonstration of efficacy remains elusive. In a clinical trial of patients with retinitis pigmentosa (RP), 15,000 IU vitamin A plus either 1200 mg DHA/d or placebo resulted in no statistically significant group differences in peripheral visual fields, cone ERG function or visual acuity.7 However, subgroup analysis indicated that visual fields could be preserved by vitamin A plus DHA supplementation for two years.8 In addition, a subsequent analysis of dietary questionnaire data revealed that patients with RP taking vitamin A and consuming ≥200 mg DHA/d, attributable to two or more meals of fish, had a significantly reduced rate of visual acuity loss.9

In a 4-year trial in which participants with XLRP took 400 mg DHA/d,10 RBC-DHA levels were elevated to a mean of 6.9%, 2.5-fold greater than in the placebo group. Although rod and cone ERG loss was slowed in the DHA-supplemented group, statistical significance was not attained; no differences were found in progression of visual acuity or field sensitivity. A correlation was found between RBC-DHA and cone ERG function such that a target RBC-DHA level of ~13% might eliminate cone ERG loss. Thus, a dose of 30 mg DHA/kg
bodyweight/d was proposed for use in the DHAX trial. We previously reported that no significant group differences were found in the primary and secondary outcome measures of 31-Hz cone and rod ERG function.11 None of the studies with DHA supplementation have shown significant safety risks in participants with XLRP.12,13 Here, we examine ancillary outcome measures of the DHAX trial focusing on potential hypothesis-generating analysis of data from participants following the designated protocol for the entire 4-year trial.

**METHODS**

**Study Population and Trial Design**

Details of recruitment, randomization, inclusion criteria, participant flow and trial design for this single-site, placebo-controlled, randomized, double-masked, clinical trial were provided previously.11 Briefly, male patients diagnosed with XLRP (aged 7–31 years) were enrolled and randomized to receive either a daily supplement enriched with DHA or placebo for 4 years. As observed in natural history studies of RP,14,15 lengthy clinical trials (i.e., 3–4 years) appear necessary to assess modification of disease progression. Participant dropout characteristics were given in our primary outcome report11 which defined a modified intent-to-treat cohort ($n = 60$) completing at least the first year of testing. Five participants in the placebo group and three in the DHA group failed to complete the trial and one in the DHA group was nonadherent to protocol. Thus, only participants who completed the trial with evidence of adherence to the protocol comprised the prespecified per protocol cohort ($n = 51$). Of 22 participants in the placebo group, 20 had RPGR mutations (91%), one had an RP2 mutation (5%), and one did not have an identified mutation (5%). Of 29 participants in the DHA-supplemented group, 25 had RPGR mutations (86%), three had RP2 mutations (10%), and one did not have an identified mutation (4%). The nine dropouts from the modified intent-to-treat cohort had RPGR mutations.

Informed consent was obtained from participants and/or parents of minors. Research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas. Study oversight was conducted by a data and safety monitoring committee.11,13

**Intervention**

Intervention assignment was determined using a computer-generated randomization schedule with varying block size design. All testing personnel were masked to treatment assignment. DSM Nutritional Products supplied gelatin capsules containing DHA-enriched triglyceride (DHASCO) or corn/soy oil (placebo) triglycerides. The 500-mg capsules contained 200 mg DHA or placebo oil with a sufficient amount of capsules provided to achieve a dose of 30 mg DHA/kg/d. Both placebo and DHA capsules contained small amounts of vitamins E and C as antioxidants (12.5 mg each) and a food-grade orange extract as flavoring (5.9 mg/500 mg capsule). Fatty acid content of capsules has been presented previously.11,13 Neither participants nor investigators were able to distinguish the placebo and active capsules based on appearance, smell, or taste. Adherence to protocol was monitored by blood fatty acid analysis as detailed previously.11,13

All participants received commercial multivitamins in order to maintain a minimum antioxidant status and provided 100% of the recommended daily amounts of vitamins A, B6, B12, C, D, and E.13

**Functional and Biochemical Evaluation**

Clinical, anthropometric, ERG, and biosafety assessments for the DHAX trial have been previously reported.11,13 At baseline (year 0) and annually (years 1 through 4), ancillary exploratory tests were conducted at our institution, including visual acuity, final dark-adapted threshold, shape discrimination threshold, fundus appearance progression, and ellipsoid zone (EZ) anatomic assessment from ocular coherence tomography (OCT). In addition, foveal, macular, peripheral, total, and EZ visual field sensitivity (VFS) measures were made annually.

**Visual Acuity, Shape Discrimination and Dark-Adapted Thresholds**

Best-corrected visual acuity was assessed by electronic Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study for both OD and OS. Individual shape discrimination thresholds were determined and averaged between eyes as minimal detectable radial modulation amplitude on charts of varying circular D4 contour and radial deformed visual stimuli.16 Pupils were maximally dilated using 1.0% cyclopentolate hydrochloride and 2.5% phenylephrine hydrochloride. Immediately after dilation, one eye was dark-adapted for 45 minutes using a black patch (Berner Corp., Mishawaka, IN, USA) and opaque adhesive occlusers (Coverlet Eye Occlusors; Beiersdorf, Inc., Cincinnati, OH, USA). Final dark-adapted thresholds (average of 10 determinations) were obtained with an $11^\circ$ test stimulus located $7^\circ$ below fixation on a Goldmann-Weekers dark-adaptometer.

**Fundus Imaging**

Digitized color fundus images (Escalon Medical Corp., Ardmore, PA, USA) included the ETDRS seven standard $60^\circ$ fields and one additional nasal view for each eye at baseline and annual visits (Canon CF-60UD; Melville, NY, USA). After completing all visits, progression of disease was assessed using a grading technique similar to that described previously.10,17 After brightness and blue balancing, eight image pairs per eye from year 0 and year 4 were uploaded online (Viewlet Builder 7; Qarbon, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) for side-by-side comparison by five masked judges. A group training session was followed by individual fundus image judging. In a subsequent group adjudication session, consensus scores were determined. For each set of images, two judgments were made: right or left position of 4-year images, and degree of progression grade based on changes in: (1) appearance of new retinal pigment, (2) vessel caliber, (3) retinal pigment atrophy, and (4) optic disc pallor. Progression for each image set was assessed as 0 (no change); 1 (minimal change); 2 (moderate change); and 3 (severe change). Representative fundus images for each grade are depicted in Figure 1.

**Humphrey Visual Fields**

Visual fields were obtained from the participant’s eye with poorer visual acuity on a model 640 Humphrey Field Analyzer (Zeiss-Humphrey Systems, Dublin, CA, USA). To minimize practice effects, two fields per eye were obtained before year 0 (baseline). Program 30-2, spot size V was used to assess static perimetric thresholds at 75 locations within the central $30^\circ$. For participants with fields $>$30', program 30/60-2 was used to test 60 locations between $30^\circ$ and $60^\circ$. Foveal VFS was determined from a single stimulus location ($<3^\circ$). Macular VFS was the summed sensitivity of four locations within a macular annulus extending from 3.4 to $10^\circ$ and peripheral VFS is the sum of 130 locations out to $60^\circ$ excluding foveal and
Ellipsoid Zone (EZ) Anatomical and EZ Transitional Sensitivity Assessment

As described previously, starting with the third trial visit, horizontal midline frequency-domain OCT scans were obtained yearly with an OCT device (Spectralis HRA+OCT; Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany). Automatic real-time registration was used with an average of 100 scans. All scans had a quality index of greater than 25 dB. Repeat scans were captured with the aid of automatic registration to ensure the same scan placement on each test. Semi-automated segmentation was aided by previously published routines. The dense EZ outer photoreceptor segment band lies between the hyper-reflective inner segment band (IS) and the hyper-reflective RPE band (Fig. 2). The anatomic EZ width was defined by the nasal and temporal points where the thickness of the outer segment layer declined to zero. Based on the segmented scans, two visual field locations at the nasal and temporal transition zones were selected for each patient for evaluation of EZ transitional sensitivity. The rate of change in EZ transitional VFS at these locations from baseline to year 4 was calculated for each participant.

Statistical Analysis

This report focuses on analysis of data from the per protocol compliant cohort who completed the trial (n = 51). The statistical significance of variations in each ancillary outcome measure was determined using a repeated measures mixed model regression analysis with restricted maximum likelihood estimation. Additional statistical analyses were performed using two-tailed t-tests to compare group differences in overall trial changes. For visual acuity and shape discrimination, data for both eyes was averaged as XLRP is a bilateral disease although interclass correlation analysis was conducted. We used χ² and Kendall’s tau-B tests for comparison of fundus imaging results. Regression analysis was used to assess the association between visual function outcomes and RBC-DHA levels. Statistical analyses were performed with commercial software (SPSS ver. 22; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA, and Statistica ver.12; StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

For the per protocol cohort, anthropometric, blood chemistry, ERG, and ancillary ocular characteristics at baseline were balanced between randomized groups with the exception of poorer visual field sensitivities in the DHA group (Table 1). Mean RBC-DHA levels in the XLRP cohort were comparable to normally-sighted controls although other visual function measures were characteristically abnormal.

RBC-DHA Levels

At each trial visit beyond baseline, RBC-DHA in the DHA-supplemented group of the per protocol cohort was significantly elevated above baseline and above the placebo group (P < 0.0001 for both). The mean RBC-DHA level from 0.5 to 4 years in the DHA group was 10.9% ± 0.3% with individuals ranging from 8.9% to 14.0%. In contrast, RBC-DHA in the placebo group changed very little over the course of the trial reflecting good compliance with protocol and abstaining from over-the-counter supplementation with individuals ranging from 1.7% to 4.3% with a mean of 2.7 ± 0.1% (Fig. 3).

Ancillary Ocular Functions

For the per protocol cohort, no significant differences between placebo and DHA groups were evident using mixed model analysis of visual acuity as measured by averaging across both eyes (P = 0.19; Table 2) or by interclass correlation analysis (P = 0.63). Similarly, no statistically significant group differences were found for shape discrimination threshold. There was a trend toward a reduced annual rate in progression of final dark-adapted thresholds in the DHA-supplemented group compared to placebo (P = 0.059; Table 2).

Fundus Appearance

In side-by-side comparison of 50 image sets from the per protocol cohort (one set was inadvertently omitted), the...
percentages of “no change,” “minimal,” “moderate,” and “severe” in the placebo group were 5%, 27%, 27%, and 41% compared to 11%, 25%, 36%, and 28% in the DHA group. Disease progression of four sets were judged to have “no change” between year 0 and year 4 and were excluded from further analysis (3 from the DHA group and one from placebo). No significant differences were found in the degree of disease progression between placebo and DHA-supplemented groups ($\chi^2; P = 0.67$; Kendall’s tau-B: $P = 0.65$).

**Visual Field Sensitivity (VFS)**

Foveal VFS in the placebo and DHA groups of the per protocol cohort were not significantly different at trial year 1 ($P = 0.057$), year 2 ($P = 0.26$), year 3 ($P = 0.33$), or year 4 ($P = 0.55$). However, in the DHA group, the change from baseline to year 4 in foveal VFS was significantly less than for placebo ($P = 0.039$; Table 2). Similarly, the yearly group differences in macular VFS were not significant ($P > 0.12$), but the overall annual rate of loss of macular VFS was significantly reduced in DHA-supplemented participants ($P = 0.031$).

Peripheral VFS measures were not statistically different between groups at year 1 ($P = 0.19$), year 2 ($P = 0.24$), year 3 ($P = 0.18$), or year 4 ($P = 0.55$). However, yearly changes in peripheral VFS were significant: year 1 ($P = 0.017$), year 2 ($P = 0.006$), year 3 ($P = 0.019$), and year 4 ($P < 0.0001$). The annual rate of peripheral VFS progression for the overall reduction of

### Table 1. Baseline Demographics and Ocular Characteristics of Per Protocol Cohort of the 4-Year DHAX Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Characteristic</th>
<th>Placebo, $n = 22$</th>
<th>DHA, $n = 29$</th>
<th>Normals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SE (Range)</td>
<td>Mean ± SE (Range)</td>
<td>Mean ± SE or Lower Limit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>14.5 ± 1.2 (7-28)</td>
<td>15.8 ± 1.6 (7-31)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC-DHA, % of total fatty acids</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.12 (1.9-3.8)</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.17 (2.0-6.3)</td>
<td>2.90 ± 0.15†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity†, letters correct</td>
<td>71.0 ± 1.7 (52-82)</td>
<td>71.9 ± 1.8 (48-87)</td>
<td>85§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final dark-adapted threshold, log lapostilbs</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.24 (1.6-5.2)</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.21 (1.5-5.7)</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.02§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape discrimination threshold†, % modulation</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.09 (0.32-2.26)</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.05 (0.28-1.45)</td>
<td>~0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foveal VFS, dB</td>
<td>35.6 ± 0.9 (22-39)</td>
<td>31.5 ± 0.6 (25-37)</td>
<td>&gt;37§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular VFS, dB</td>
<td>27.8 ± 1.0 (16-35)</td>
<td>24.8 ± 1.0 (11-35)</td>
<td>&gt;35§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral VFS, dB</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.1 (2.1-22.0)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.8 (1.0-16.0)</td>
<td>&gt;16.6§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VFS, dB</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.1 (3.0-22.5)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.8 (1.6-16.5)</td>
<td>&gt;17.3§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ transitional sensitivity, dB</td>
<td>25.8 ± 0.7 (17.5-53.5)</td>
<td>24.9 ± 0.8 (15.3-33.0)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A, normative values for EZ transitional sensitivity not available.

* Protocol deviation: participant volunteered to limit his fish intake if enrolled in trial.
† Average of right and left eyes, OD and OS.
‡ Normative values ($n = 29$ age-matched).11
§ Lower limit or mean normative values ($n = 229$).15
|| Mean normative value16 for teenager to adult.

---

**Ancillary Outcomes of the DHAX Trial**

**Figure 2.** Ellipsoid zone anatomic and EZ transitional visual field sensitivity. Identified by semiautomated segmentation of retinal frequency-domain OCT from participant G101 (segmentation lines not shown) is the dense layer of outer photoreceptor segments termed the EZ which lies between the hyperreflective ISe and the hyperreflective RPE band. The anatomic EZ width was defined by the nasal and temporal points where the outer segment layer has declined to zero (△) at trial year 2 (A) and year 4 (B). By superimposing the visual fields over the segmented scans, two nasal visual field locations just inside the EZ edge were selected for each patient for evaluation of EZ transitional visual field sensitivity (V).
loss in the placebo group of 113 dB/y compared with 50 dB/y in the DHA group was highly significant (P < 0.0001; Table 2). In a post hoc summation of foveal + macular + peripheral VFS, total VFS showed a similar functional profile. DHA-related change in total VFS was significant at each yearly time point (year 1 [P = 0.016]; year 2 [P = 0.005], year 3 [P = 0.018], and year 4 [P < 0.0001]). By mixed-model analysis, the overall 56% reduction in the annual rate of loss was highly significant (P < 0.0001; Table 2, Fig. 4). In a modified intent-to-treat cohort (n = 60), similar significant group differences in VFS were observed (macular VFS, P = 0.03; peripheral VFS, P < 0.0001; total VFS, P < 0.0001). The visual field sensitivity results among the per protocol DHA-supplemented group were not significant at each time point between 0.5 and 4 years; P < 0.0001.

### RBC-DHA and VFS

Intervention-related changes in foveal, macular, and peripheral VFS over the 4-year trial were inversely correlated with RBC-DHA (r = –0.29, P = 0.046; r = –0.45, P = 0.001; and r = –0.55, P < 0.0001, respectively) such that participants with higher RBC-DHA levels had less change in all visual fields. Similarly, the correlation of RBC-DHA content to change in total VFS was significant (n = 51; r = –0.55, P < 0.0001; Fig. 5). To assess if participants with early stage XLRP might be differentially influenced by DHA compared with those with more advanced RP, total VFS in subgroups of younger than 14 years of age (placebo, n = 12; DHA, n = 17) and older participants (placebo, n = 10; DHA, n = 12) were analyzed. The Pearson correlation between RBC-DHA and total VFS for older participants was less (n = 22; r = –0.46; P = 0.031) than in young participants (n = 29; r = –0.63; P = 0.0002). Extrapolation of the trendline for all participants to the zero intercept (Fig. 5) yields a level of RBC-DHA in which the loss of total VFS by year 4 could be eliminated (17% RBC-DHA). The level of RBC-DHA estimated to maximally reduce loss of total VFS in the older subgroup was 21% compared to 15% in those with earlier stage XLRP.

### EZ Anatomic Width and EZ Transitional VFS

Over a 2-year span of the trial, the mean annual loss of the retinal outer segment layer, depicted by width of the EZ, for the placebo group was 0.83 (±0.15 SE) mm/y (8.6% loss/y) and did not differ significantly from the DHA group (0.75 [±0.10 SE] mm/y; 6.9% loss/y; P = 0.61).

---

**TABLE 2. Yearly Rates of Change* in Functional Outcome Measures for per Protocol Cohort**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome Units</th>
<th>Placebo, n = 22</th>
<th>DHA, n = 29</th>
<th>Effect of Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity (letters correct)†</td>
<td>Mean ± SE (%) Change per Year</td>
<td>Mean ± SE (%) Change per Year</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final dark-adapted threshold (log &amp; apostils)</td>
<td>1.43 ± 1.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>–0.8 ± 0.8 (–2.9)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shape discrimination threshold (% modulation)†</td>
<td>0.163 ± 0.006 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.099 ± 0.005 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foveal VFS, dB</td>
<td>–0.47 ± 0.03 (–1.4)</td>
<td>–0.02 ± 0.05 (–0.08)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macular VFS, dB</td>
<td>–0.85 ± 0.03 (–3.1)</td>
<td>–0.42 ± 0.05 (–1.7)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral VFS, dB</td>
<td>–0.86 ± 0.02 (–7.3)</td>
<td>–0.39 ± 0.02 (–4.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total VFS, dB</td>
<td>–0.86 ± 0.02 (–6.9)</td>
<td>–0.39 ± 0.02 (–4.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ transitional sensitivity, dB</td>
<td>–1.16 ± 0.23 (–4.5)</td>
<td>–0.55 ± 0.16 (–2.2)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant group differences in bold.
† Determined by mixed model analysis.
‡ Average of right and left eyes, OD and OS.
The visual field sensitivity of points in the transitional zone (just inside the EZ edge) were tracked for each participant from baseline to Year 4. The annual rate of loss of the EZ transitional VFS in the placebo group was twice that of the DHA group (P = 0.033; Table 2).

![Figure 5](https://iovs.arvojournals.org/pdfaccess.ashx?url=/data/journals/iovs/934564/) Association of RBC-DHA and 4-year loss of tVFS. Values are mean RBC-DHA (in total percent of fatty acids) for each per protocol participant between year 0.5 and year 4 compared with loss of tVFS (in dB) from year 0 to year 4 for placebo (○) and DHA (□) groups. The dashed line represents a Pearson regression (r = −0.55, P < 0.0001) with an intercept = 17% RBC-DHA with (7) indicating absence of tVFS loss.

**DISCUSSION**

A daily supplementation of DHA at 30 mg/kg bodyweight for 4 years elevated RBC-DHA to a mean of 10.9% compared with 2.7% of total fatty acids in participants receiving placebo. Despite a 4-fold difference in RBC-DHA, visual acuity, shape discrimination threshold, fundus appearance and EZ anatomical width were not significantly different between groups. These results are consistent with our previous report that no significant group differences were found for the primary and secondary outcomes of cone and rod ERG function. In contrast, psychophysical measures of final dark-adapted thresholds in the DHA-supplemented group showed less progression over 4 years compared with the placebo group (Table 2). In addition, DHA-supplementation resulted in significant reductions in the annual rates of disease progression for measures of foveal, macular, peripheral, total, and EZ transitional VFS. Since unbalanced VFS values were evident at baseline, different stages of disease progression may have existed between groups prior to treatment. To minimize quasi-floor and ceiling effects, data were sorted into quintiles based on total VFS at baseline. Total VFS data from the balanced, different stages of disease progression may have transitional VFS. Since unbalanced VFS values were evident at significant reductions in the annual rates of disease progression (Table 2). In addition, DHA-supplementation resulted in dashed line represents a Pearson regression (r = −0.55, P < 0.0001) with an intercept = 17% RBC-DHA with (7) indicating absence of tVFS loss.

By extrapolation of results comparing mean RBC-DHA and loss of total VFS, a 17% level of RBC-DHA may fully attenuate visual field loss. To attain this level of RBC-DHA, it is estimated that a dose of 45 mg DHA/kg bodyweight/d would be needed. This high DHA dose is within the range of natural nutrient as breastmilk compositions throughout the world provide between 3 and 84 mg/kg/d of DHA dependent upon maternal dietary intake. In another “at risk” population, intervention studies with preterm infants routinely use DHA levels ranging from 42 to 59 mg/kg/d to improve functional outcome measures. Improvements in select outcomes were found in other studies where patients with the neural degenerative disease, Rett syndrome, receiving 72.9 mg DHA/kg/d and children with cystic fibrosis receiving 50 mg DHA/kg/d had RBC-DHA elevated from 2.8% to 11%. Patients with hypertriglyceridemia are routinely prescribed four 1-g capsules containing 3.36 g eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) plus DHA/d (Omacor/Lovaza), which for average men (88 kg) and women (75 kg) equates to 38 and 45 mg n3/kg/d, respectively. Children with necrotizing enterocolitis for whom an intravenous fish oil emulsion was the exclusive source of lipid over many months attained a mean whole blood level of 23.8% EPA+DHA (Brenna JT, unpublished observations, 2015). Thus, it remains uncertain if a maximum ceiling for blood DHA (and/or EPA) has been attained; however, the safety profile of high dose DHA is favorable. Dietary n3 fatty acids are necessary for optimal structure and function of cell membranes; however, these have been systematically diminished in Western diets. The obsession with increasing shelf life for preserved foods has led to unhealthy imbalances in n6-to-n3 ratios; thus marked elevation of n3 fatty acids in the diet by fish consumption or fish oil/DHA supplementation should not be considered pharmaceutical but is nutritionally sound.

Strengths of the DHAX trial include genetic homogeneity, use of a single study site, a long intervention period, RBC-DHA monitoring of compliance, and close adherence to protocol. Limitations include small cohort sizes, variability in measures of field sensitivity, and inconsistent results of outcome measures. Assessment of a modified intent-to-treat cohort showed similar functional results to the Per Protocol cohort, thus drop-outs did not impose an untoward bias.

Supplementation of DHA supplementation in ERG and DHA-enriched phosphatidylcholine bilayers both exhibited 2- to 6-fold enhancement of rhodopsin formation, CGMP phosphodiesterase activity and metarhodopsin-transducin complex formation compared to membranes and bilayers containing primarily the n6 long-chain fatty acid, docosapentaenoic acid (22:5n6). A reduction in apoptotic cell death as indexed by DNA fragmentation and caspase-3 was found in mouse neurons exposed to DHA. The neuroprotective derivative of DHA, neuroprotectin, NPD1, has been shown to promote survival, inhibit cell death and scavenge free radicals in photoreceptors and brain neural cells. In addition, we described the influence of DHA on expression of genes associated with neurogenesis and both pro- and antiapoptosis in cultured human fetal retina. Thus, several viable mechanisms may explain why optimizing the DHA content of retinal membranes may be beneficial in slowing progression in retinal degenerative diseases.
Ancillary Outcomes of the DHAX Trial

reduced the elevation in final dark-adapted thresholds and significantly slowed visual field sensitivity loss. From the relationship between RBC-DHA and the rate of field sensitivity loss, we can extrapolate that a level of 17% could minimize the decline in field sensitivity.
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