Epithelial Membrane Protein-2 in Human Proliferative Vitreoretinopathy and Epiretinal Membranes
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PURPOSE. To determine the level of epithelial membrane protein-2 (EMP2) expression in preretinal membranes from surgical patients with proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) or epiretinal membranes (ERMs). EMP2, an integrin regulator, is expressed in the retinal pigment epithelium and understanding EMP2 expression in human retinal disease may help determine whether EMP2 is a potential therapeutic target.

METHODS. Preretinal membranes were collected during surgical vitrectomies after obtaining consents. The membranes were fixed, processed, sectioned, and protein expression of EMP2 was evaluated by immunohistochemistry. The staining intensity (SI) and percentage of positive cells (PP) in membranes were compared by masked observers. Membranes were categorized by their cause and type including inflammatory and traumatic.

RESULTS. All of the membranes stained positive for EMP2. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy–induced membranes (all causes) showed greater expression of EMP2 than ERMs with higher SI (1.81 vs. 1.38; P = 0.07) and PP (2.08 vs. 1.54; P = 0.09). However all the PVR subgroups had similar levels of EMP2 expression without statistically significant differences by Kruskal-Wallis test. Inflammatory PVR had higher expression of EMP2 than ERMs (SI of 2.58 vs. 1.38); however, this was not statistically significant. No correlation was found between duration of PVR membrane and EMP2 expression. EMP2 was detected by RT-PCR in all samples (n = 6) tested.

CONCLUSIONS. All studied ERMs and PVR membranes express EMP2. Levels of EMP2 trended higher in all PVR subgroups than in ERMs, especially in inflammatory and traumatic PVR. Future studies are needed to determine the role of EMP2 in the pathogenesis and treatment of various retinal conditions including PVR.
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Proliferative vitreoretinopathy (PVR) is the most frequent cause of recurrent retinal detachment following surgical repair, which has been reported to occur in up to 10% of cases.1,2 Ocular trauma increases the risk of developing PVR, with rates of up to 43% following ocular perforation.3 Many risk factors for PVR have been identified including vitreous hemorrhage, severe trauma, longstanding retinal detachments, extensive retinal detachments, the use of intraocular tamponade such as gas during surgery, extensive use of cryotherapy or photocoagulation, and surgical failures.1,2 The extent of ocular/retinal damage most likely causes increased inflammation and cytokine production, inducing an increase in PVR. Many cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) are increased in eyes with PVR; however, the level of cytokine production has not been found to directly correlate with PVR severity.4 The pathogenesis of PVR is complex, and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells have been implicated as one of the several cell types that play a key role in disease pathogenesis.5 Following trauma or retinal detachment, RPE cells are released into the vitreous, or these cells can be stimulated to migrate to the vitreous from their subretinal location. These RPE cells can then proliferate, de-differentiate, and undergo an epithelial to mesenchymal transformation (EMT), to help create the preretinal membranes of PVR.6 The RPE cells also likely cause membrane contraction and tractional forces that can result in recurrent retinal detachments and vision loss.2,6

Prevention of membrane growth and contraction is a principal goal in inhibiting the PVR response. In an in vitro experimental PVR model, we have demonstrated that the activation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) through ligation of integrins (α1, α2, and α3) is a crucial control point for collagen gel contraction.7 The tetraspan (4-TM) superfamily is a class of proteins that controls the types of intracellular trafficking and signaling molecules assembled with integrins and other receptor complexes.8 Our laboratory has found that epithelial membrane protein-2 (EMP2), a member of this 4-TM family, regulates specific integrin distribution and signaling though FAK.9 Epithelial membrane protein is highly expressed in RPE10 and is a member of the growth arrest–specific gene 3/ peripheral myelin protein 22 (GAS3/PMP22) 4-TM protein family.10–16 Therefore, as EMP2 is a regulator of integrins, and
highly expressed in the RPE (a common cell found in intraocular fibrosis), it was chosen as a target in rabbit model of PVR. In these studies, an antibody against EMP2 is found to inhibit the development of PVR.17

In this study, we verified the expression of EMP2 in human preretinal membranes from eyes with PVR secondary to retinal detachment and self-limited preretinal membranes from epiretinal membrane (ERM), and we determine whether the level of expression of this protein may correlate with disease etiology or severity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ocular Tissues and Control Specimens

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of California, Davis, for using human PVR membranes. All procedures conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki for research involving human subjects. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before vitrectomy surgery. Thirty-five fresh tissue specimens were collected during pars plana vitrectomy from patients scheduled for surgery as part of standard of care after obtaining appropriate full informed consent. Inclusion criteria included any patients undergoing vitrectomy surgery for the removal of intraocular preretinal membranes with an adequate amount of membrane for analysis. Exclusion criteria included patients without enough membranes for evaluation. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy patients were clinically diagnosed with intraocular membranes secondary to retinal detachment, trauma, diabetes, or inflammation clinically diagnosed as PVR causing retinal traction. Spontaneous ERMs were from patients without previous or active retinal detachment or history of PVR. The surgical specimens were immediately fixed in 4% formalin and transported to the laboratory for processing and staining for grading EMP2 expression. For positive and negative controls, donor tissues were also fixed in 4% formalin within 24 to 48 hours postmortem.

Membranes were categorized by cause and type of preretinal membrane: PVR, idiopathic ERM, PVR-trauma, PVR-inflammatory, and PVR-PDR (proliferative diabetic retinopathy). Of note, all PVR patients had a history of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment, including the patients with PDR. Duration of the membrane was recorded from the time of diagnosis to date of surgical membrane retrieval.

Tissue Preparation for Immunohistochemistry

The membranes were fixed and processed for paraffin embedding by using routine immunohistochemical processing. The membranes were sectioned at 5-μm thickness.

Immunohistochemistry

Sections were then stained for protein expression including expression of EMP2 by immunohistochemical analysis. For immunostaining, specimens were deparaffinized in xylene and hydrated in ethanol. Sections were then permeabilized in 5% normal goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA) in tris buffered saline with tween 20. Rabbit anti-human EMP2 primary antibody was applied with a 1:800 dilution in blocking buffer. Sections were washed before the addition of a goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Vectastain ABC; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) with a dilution of 1:200 in TBS. The protein of interest was detected with Vector VIP (Vector) and a methyl green (Vector) counterstain was applied.

Rating of Immunohistochemistry

Four masked observers (LKG, SM, LM, SP) rated the slide for its staining intensity (SI) and percentage positive cells (PP) on the basis of a previously described protocol.18 Briefly, for SI, the rating scale ranged from 0 with no staining to 4 with a maximum expression, which was equal staining to the positive control (Fig. 1). The PP was also rated for the extent of staining on the specimen from 0 (minimal positive cells) to 4 (equaling the positive control) for maximum percentage.

RNA Isolation/RT-PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on the isolated RNA by using the Quantitect probe RT-PCR kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) on the DNA Engine Opticon Monitor 2 (MJ Research, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA). For EMP2 genomic RNA detection, the long terminal repeat (LTR) forward primer (5’-TCCTCTCCACCATTCTC-3’), LTR reverse primer (5’-AAACCTCTTCCCTCGTTCA-3’), and the fluorogenic probe.
Expression of EMP2 in Spontaneous ERMs

Spontaneous epiretinal membranes or macular puckers (ERMs) were also found to have high expression of EMP2. All of these membranes (14 of 14) were rated positive for EMP2 by SI rating, and 93% (13 of 14) of ERMs were rated as positive by PP scale. Epiretinal membranes were rated with an average SI of 1.61 and an average PP of 1.74. Compared to all causes of PVR, ERMs had lower EMP2 SI (1.38 vs. 1.81; \( P = 0.07 \)) and PP (1.54 vs. 2.08; \( P = 0.09 \)) (Fig. 3). These \( P \) values were calculated by Wilcoxon rank sum test difference.

Comparative Quantification of EMP2 Expression in PVR Membranes

Proliferative vitreoretinopathy membranes were then compared by etiology of the PVR as follows: (1) PVR–all groups, from all patients with retinal detachment from any cause; (2) PVR-trauma, from patients with retinal detachment following penetrating ocular trauma; (3) PVR-inflammatory, from patients with retinal detachment following endophthalmitis or uveitis; (4) PVR–post RD, from patients with PVR from retinal detachment (RD) only; and (5) PVR-PDR, from patients with PDR and PVR. When comparing the membranes from these various causes of PVR membranes, inflammatory PVR was rated with the highest levels of EMP2 expression (Fig. 4). Traumatic PVR was also rated with high levels of EMP2 expression.

Kruskal-Wallis test did not find any group to be significantly different from each other in terms of SI (\( P = 0.31 \)) and PP (\( P = 0.39 \)). However, inflammatory PVR had increased EMP2 expression as compared to idiopathic ERMs (SI: 2.58 vs. 1.38). Inflammatory PVR also had increased EMP2 expression as compared to PVR–post RD (SI: 2.58 vs. 1.52). Traumatic PVR versus PVR–post RD also had increased SI (1.78 vs. 1.52); however, these differences were not found to be statistically significant by Kruskal-Wallis test. Proliferative vitreoretinopathy associated with diabetic PDR was also found to have higher expression of EMP2 than ERMs and PVR–post RD; however, this group only had two samples, which limits statistical analysis.

Duration of PVR and EMP2 Expression and the Age of Patient

The EMP2 expression in these membranes was also evaluated by duration of the PVR membranes. Duration was calculated on membranes with a known start date (e.g., date of prior surgery, date of retinal detachment, date of trauma); 14 membranes of the total 24 PVR membranes had reliable dates of duration based on patient history. There was no significant trend for duration of PVR and EMP2 expression with an \( R^2 \) coefficient of 0.008 (SI) and 0.013 (PP) (Fig. 5). Age of the patient was also compared to EMP2 expression and no significant association was found between the patient’s age and EMP2 expression with an \( R^2 \) coefficient of 0.021 (SI) and 0.0006 (PP).
Proliferative vitreoretinopathy membrane samples from eight patients were divided, separating one for RNA samples and the other for fixation and histology. These samples, like the others reported, were found to be positive for EMP2 by immunohistochemical analysis. Quality RNA was obtained from six patient samples. All of these PVR samples were positive for EMP2 mRNA expression by RT-PCR testing (Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Integrins have been implicated in the pathogenesis of PVR formation, which has identified them as potential therapeutic targets in many studies.19–23 As integrins are known to mediate...
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